Permutation Tableaux and the Dashed Permutation Pattern 32–1

William Y.C. Chen[1] and Lewis H. Liu[2]

Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China

[1]chen@nankai.edu.cn, [2]lewis@cfc.nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

We give a solution to a problem posed by Corteel and Nadeau concerning permutation tableaux of length n and the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 32–1 in permutations on $[n]$. We introduce the inversion number of a permutation tableau. For a permutation tableau T and the permutation π obtained from T by the bijection of Corteel and Nadeau, we show that the inversion number of T equals the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 32–1 in the reverse complement of π. We also show that permutation tableaux without inversions coincide with L-Bell tableaux introduced by Corteel and Nadeau.

Keywords: Permutation tableaux, dashed permutation patten

AMS Classification: 05A05, 05A19

1 Introduction

Permutation tableaux were introduced by Steingrímsson and Williams [13] in the study of totally positive Grassmannian cells [11, 12, 16]. They are closely related to the PASEP (partially asymmetric exclusion process) model in statistical physics [5, 8, 9, 10, 14]. Permutation tableaux are also in one-to-one correspondence with alternative tableaux introduced by Viennot [15].

A permutation tableau is defined by a Ferrers diagram possibly with empty rows such that the cells are filled with 0's and 1's subject to the following conditions:

(1) Each column contains at least one 1.

(2) There does not exist a 0 with a 1 above (in the same column) and a 1 to the left (in the same row).

The length of a permutation tableau is defined as the number of rows plus the number of columns. A 0 in a permutation tableau is said to be restricted if there is a 1 above. Among the restricted 0's in a row, the rightmost one plays a special role, which is called a rightmost restricted 0. A row is said to be unrestricted if it does not contain any restricted

0. A permutation tableau T of length n is labeled by the elements in $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ in increasing order from the top right corner to the bottom left corner. The set $[n]$ is referred to as the label set of T. We use (i, j) to denote the cell with row label i and column label j.

For example, Figure 1.1 exhibits a permutation tableau of length 11 which contains an empty row. There are two rightmost restricted 0's at cells (5,9) and (8,10), and there are four unrestricted rows labeled by $1, 2, 7$, and 11.



Figure 1.1: Permutation tableau

It is known that the number of permutation tableaux of length n is $n!$. There are several bijections between permutation tableaux and permutations, see Corteel and Nadeau [7], Steingrímsson and Williams [13]. The second bijection in [13] connects the number of 0's in a permutation tableau to the total number of occurrences of the dashed patterns 31–2, 21–3 and 3–21. This bijection also yields a relationship between the number of 1's and the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 2–31 in a permutation, as well as a relationship between the number of cells in the Ferrers diagram and the number of occurrences of dashed patterns 1–32 and 32–1 in a permutation. In answer to a question of Steingrímsson and Williams [13], Burstein [2] found a classification of zeros in permutation tableaux and its connection to the total number of occurrences of dashed patterns 31–2 and 21–3 , and the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 3–21.

On the other hand, the second bijection of Corteel and Nadeau [7] implies that the number of non topmost 1's in a permutation tableau equals the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 31–2 in the corresponding permutation. They raised the problem of finding a statistic on permutation tableaux that has the same distribution as the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 32-1 in permutations.

Let us recall the definition of dashed permutation patterns introduced by Babson and Steingrímsson [1]. A dashed pattern is a permutation of $[k]$ for $k \leq n$ containing dashes indicating that the entries in a permutation of $[n]$ need not occur consecutively. In this notation, a permutation pattern $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_k$ in the usual sense may be rewritten as $\sigma = \sigma_1$–σ_2–$\ldots$–σ_k. For example, we say that a permutation π on $[n]$ avoids a dashed pattern 32–1 if there are no subscripts $i < k$ such that $\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i > \pi_k$. Claesson and Mansour [4] found explicit formulas for the number of permutations containing exactly i occurrences of a dashed pattern σ of length 3 for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The main idea of this paper is to introduce the inversion number of a permutation tableau (see Definition 2.2). We show that the inversion number of a permutation tableau of length n has the same distribution as the number of occurrences of dashed pattern 32-1 in a permutation of $[n]$. To be more specific, for a permutation tableau T and the permutation π obtained from T by the first bijection of Corteel and Nadeau, we prove that the inversion number of T equals the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 32–1 in the reverse complement of π. This gives a solution to the problem proposed Corteel and Nadeau [7].

This paper is ended with a connection between permutation tableaux without inversions and L-Bell tableaux introduced by Corteel and Nadeau in [7]. We show that a permutation tableau has no inversions if and only if it is an L-Bell tableau.

2 The inversion number of a permutation tableau

In this section, we define the inversion number of a permutation tableau (see Definition 2.2). We show that the inversion number of permutation tableau T equals the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 32–1 in the reverse complement of the permutation π corresponding to T under the bijection ξ of Corteel and Nadeau.

Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \ldots \pi_n$. Denote by $f_\sigma(\pi)$ the number of occurrences of dashed pattern σ in π. The reverse complement of π is denoted by

$$\bar{\pi} = (n + 1 - \pi_n, \ldots n + 1 - \pi_2, n + 1 - \pi_1),$$

here a permutation is also written in the form of a vector.

The main result of this paper is stated as follows. Throughout this paper ξ denotes the bijection of Corteel and Nadeau. For completeness, a brief description of ξ will be given later.

Theorem 2.1. *Let T be a permutation tableau. Let $inv(T)$ be the number of inversions of T. Then we have*

$$inv(T) = f_{32\text{–}1}(\bar{\pi}). \tag{2.1}$$

Since an occurrence of the dashed pattern 32–1 in $\bar{\pi}$ corresponds to an occurrence of the dashed pattern 3-21 in π, relation (2.1) can be restated as

$$\mathrm{inv}(T) = f_{3\text{–}21}(\pi). \tag{2.2}$$

Corteel and Nadeau [7] have shown that a permutation tableau is uniquely determined by the topmost 1's and the rightmost restricted 0's. For a permutation tableau T, the alternative representation of T is defined by Corteel and Kim [6] as the diagram obtained from T by replacing the topmost 1's with $\uparrow$'s, replacing the rightmost restricted 0's with $\leftarrow$'s and leaving the remaining cells blank. In this paper, we use black dots and white dots to represent the topmost 1's and rightmost restricted 0's in an alternative representation, as illustrated in Figure 2.1.

Note that for each dot in an alternative representation of a permutation tableau, there is an unique path, called an alternating path, to a black dot on an unrestricted row. More precisely, an alternating paths is defined as follows. For a white dot we can find a black dot at the top as the next dot. For a black dot which is not on an unrestricted row, there is a white dot to the left as the next dot. Figure 2.1 shows two alternating paths.

For an alternative representation of a permutation tableau, we may use the row and column labels to represent an alternating path. It is evident that a black dot is determined by a column label and a white dot is determined by a row label. Hence an alternating path can be represented by an alternating sequence of row and column labels ending with a column label of a black dot on an unrestricted row.

For example, for the black dot in cell $(5, 6)$, the alternating path can be expressed as $(6, 5, 12)$. For the white dot in cell $(7, 10)$, the corresponding alternating path takes the form $(7, 10, 4, 11)$.



Figure 2.1: Alternative representation of a permutation tableau

For two alternating paths P and Q of T, we say that P contains Q if Q is a segment of P. Assume that P and Q does not contain each other. We proceed to define the order relation on P and Q. Clearly, if P and Q intersect at some point, then they will share the same ending segment after this point. If this is the case, we will remove the common dots of P and Q, and then consider the resulting alternating paths P' and Q'. We say that $P > Q$ ($P < Q$) if one of the following four conditions holds:

(1) The paths P and Q do not intersect, and the ending dot of P is below (above) the ending dot of Q.

(2) The paths P and Q do not intersect, and the ending dot of P is to the right (to the left) of the ending dot of Q.

(3) The paths P and Q intersect, and the ending dot of P' is below (above) the ending dot of Q'.

(4) The paths P and Q intersect, and the ending point of P' is to the right (to the left) of the ending dot of Q'.

For any two alternating paths P and Q that do not contain each other, it can be seen that either $P > Q$ or $P < Q$ holds. Using this order, we can define the inversion number of an alternative representation T of a permutation tableau, which is also considered as the inversion number of the original permutation tableau.

Definition 2.2. *Suppose that j is a column label of T and P_j is the alternating path starting with the black dot with column label j. Let k be a label of T with $j < k$ such that k is not a label of a dot on P_j. In other words, P_k is not contained in P_j, where P_k denotes the alternating path with the dot with label k. We say that the pair of labels (j, k) is an inversion of T if $P_j > P_k$. The total number of inversions of T is denoted by* inv(T).

Analogous to the inversion code of a permutation, for a column label j we define $w_j(T)$ as the number of inversions of T that are of the form (j, k). Hence

$$\mathrm{inv}(T) = \sum_{j \in C(T)} w_j(T),$$

where $C(T)$ is the set of column labels of T.

For example, Figure 2.2 gives two permutation tableaux in the form of their alternative representations. For the alternative representation T on the left, we have $C(T) = \{2, 3\}$. Since $P_2 > P_3$, we see that $w_2(T) = 1$, $w_3(T) = 0$, and $\mathrm{inv}(T) = 1$. For the alternative representation T' on the right, we have $C(T') = \{3, 5\}$. Since $P_3 > P_4$ and $P_3 > P_5$, we find $w_3(T') = 2$, $w_5(T') = 0$, and $\mathrm{inv}(T') = 2$.

Figure 3.2: Two examples

We now proceed to present a proof of Theorem 2.1. For completeness, we give a brief description of the bijection ξ of Corteel and Nadeau from permutation tableaux to permutations.

Assume that T is the alternative representation of a permutation tableau. Let $\xi(T) = \pi = \pi_1\pi_2\ldots\pi_n$. The bijection is a recursive procedure to construct π. Starting with the sequence of the labels of free rows in increasing order. Then successively insert the column labels of T. Let j be the maximum column label to be inserted. If the cell (i,j) is filled with a black dot, then insert j immediately to the left of i. If column j contains white dots in rows $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$, then insert $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$ in increasing order to the left of j. Repeating this process, we obtain a permutation π.

For the sake of presentation, we give three lemmas that will be needed in the proof of theorem 2.1. The first lemma was established by Corteel and Nadeau [7].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\pi = \xi(T)$. Then $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ if and only π_i is a column label of T.*

The next lemma states that the labels representing an alternating path of T form a subsequence of $\xi(T)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $P = p_1p_2\cdots p_r$ be an alternating path of T starting with a dot labeled by p_1 and ending with a black dot labeled by p_r. Then $p_1p_2\cdots p_r$ is a subsequence of $\xi(T)$.*

Proof. Assume that the alternating path P ends with a black dot at cell (i, p_r), where i is an unrestricted row label. Since the ending dot represents a topmost 1, by the construction of ξ, we see that p_r is inserted to the left of i. Note that the cell (p_{r-1}, p_r) is filled with a white dot representing a rightmost restricted 0, so p_{r-2} is inserted to the left of p_{r-1}. Since the path P is alternating with respect to black and white dots, we deduce that $p_{r-3}, \cdots, p_2, p_1$ are inserted one by one such that p_i is inserted to the left of p_{i+1} for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$. It follows that $p_1p_2\cdots p_r$ is a subsequence of the permutation $\xi(T)$. This completes the proof. ∎

Given two labels i and j of T, the following lemma shows that the relative order of i and j in $\xi(T)$ can be determined by the order of the alternating paths starting with the two dots labeled by i and j.

Lemma 2.5. *Let P_i and P_j be two alternating paths of T starting with two dots labeled by i and j respectively. Then i is to the left of j in $\xi(T)$ if and only if $P_i < P_j$ or P_j is contained in P_i.*

Proof. If P_j is contained in P_i, by Lemma 2.4 we see that i is to the left of j. Otherwise, let $P_i = i_1i_2\ldots i_s$ and $P_j = j_1j_2\ldots j_t$, where $i = i_1$ and $j = j_1$ respectively. Assume that P_i and P_j do not intersect. We wish to prove that j_1 is to the right of i_s in $\xi(T)$, since i_1 is to the left of i_s by Lemma 2.4.

If P_i and P_j intersect at some dot, let P_i' and P_j' be the alternating paths by removing the common dots of P_i and P_j. Suppose that the ending dot of P_i' is labeled by i_{s-m}. Then the ending dot of P_j' is labeled by j_{t-m}. In this case, we aim to show that j_1 is to the right of i_{s-m} in $\xi(T)$, since i_1 is to the left of i_{s-m} by Lemma 2.4.

Consider the following four cases corresponding to the order on P_i and P_j. In the first two cases, P_i and P_j do not intersect, that is, $i_m \neq j_r$ for any $m = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ and $r = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. Suppose that the ending black dots of P_i and P_j are in cells (r_{P_i}, i_s) and (r_{P_j}, j_t) respectively, where r_{P_i} and r_{P_j} are the labels of unrestricted rows.

Case 1. $r_{P_i} < r_{P_j}$. First of all, r_{P_i} is to the left of r_{P_j} in $\xi(T)$. Since both cells (r_{P_i}, i_s) and (r_{P_j}, j_t) are filled with black dots, the element i_s is inserted immediately to the left of r_{P_i}, while j_t is inserted immediately to the left of r_{P_j}. This implies that j_t is to the right of r_{P_i} in $\xi(T)$. Hence j_t is to the right of i_s.

Since the path P_j alternates with black and white dots, the cell (j_t, j_{t-1}) is filled with a white dot. Thus j_{t-1} is inserted to the left of j_t but to the right of r_P, that is, j_{t-1} is to the right of i_s. Repeating the above procedure, we reach the conclusion that the label j_r is to the right of i_s for $r = t, t-1, \ldots, 1$. In particular, j_1 is to the right of i_s, so that j_1 is to the right of i_1.

Case 2. $r_{P_i} = r_{P_j}$ and $j_t < i_s$. In the implementation of the algorithm ξ, i_s is inserted immediately to the left of r_{P_i} and then j_t is inserted immediately to the left of $r_{P_j} = r_{P_i}$. Hence j_t is to the right of i_s. Inspecting the relative positions of i_s and j_r for $r < t$ like we have done in Case 1, we infer that j_r is to the right of i_s for $r = t, t-1, \ldots, 1$. So we arrive at the assertion that j_1 is to the right of i_1.

Case 3. The ending point of P'_j is below the ending point of P'_i, that is, $j_{t-m} > i_{s-m}$. In this case, both cells (i_{s-m}, i_{s-m+1}) and (j_{t-m}, j_{t-m+1}) are filled with white dots. To construct π from T according to ξ, both elements i_{s-m} and j_{t-m} are inserted to the left of the element $i_{s-m+1} = j_{t-m+1}$ in increasing order. Hence i_{t-m} is to the left of j_{t-m}. Considering the relative positions of i_{s-m} and j_r for $r < t-m$ as in Case 1, we deduce that j_r is to the right of i_{s-m} for $1 \leq r < t-m$. Therefore j_1 is to the right of i_{s-m}, and hence to the right of i_1.

Case 4. The ending point of P'_j is to the right of the ending point of P'_i, that is, $j_{t-m} < i_{s-m}$. Observe that the element i_{s-m} is inserted immediately to the left of i_{s-m+1}. Then the element j_{t-m} is inserted immediately to the left of $j_{t-m+1} = i_{s-m+1}$. It follows that j_{t-m} is to the right of i_{s-m}. Using the same argument as in Case 1 for the elements i_{s-m} and j_r with $r < t-m$, we conclude that j_r is to the right of i_{s-m} for $1 \leq r < t-m$. Consequently, j_1 is to the right of i_{s-m}, and hence to the right of i_1.

In summary, we see that if $P_i < P_j$, then i is to the left of j in $\xi(T)$. It remains to show that if i is to the left of j in $\xi(T)$, then we have $P_i < P_j$ or P_j is contained in P_i. The proof is essentially the reverse procedure of the above argument, and is omitted. ∎

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\xi(T) = \pi = \pi_1\pi_2\ldots\pi_n$. Combining Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, we find that the subsequence $\pi_i\pi_j\pi_{j+1}$ of π is an occurrence of the dashed pattern 3–21 if and only if (π_j, π_i) is an inversion of T. It follows that

$$\mathrm{inv}(T) = f_{3\text{–}21}(\pi),$$

which is equivalent to the statement of the theorem. ∎

Figure 3.4 gives a permutation tableau T of length 10 and its alternative representation. For this example, we see that $C(T) = \{5, 6, 7, 9\}$, $w_5(T) = 4$, $w_6(T) = 3$, $w_8(T) = 1$ and $w_9(T) = 0$. Hence we have $\mathrm{inv}(T) = 8$. On the other hand,

$$\pi = \xi(T) = (9, 2, 7, 8, 1, 6, 5, 3, 4).$$

This gives $\bar{\pi} = (6, 5, 7, 4, 9, 2, 3, 8, 1)$. It can be checked that the number of occurrences of the dashed pattern 32–1 in $\bar{\pi}$ equals 8.



Figure 3.2: A permutation tableau and its alternative representation

3 Connection with L-Bell tableaux

In this section, we show that a permutation tableau has no inversions if and only if it is an L-Bell tableau as introduced by Corteel and Nadeau [7]. Recall that an L-Bell tableau is a permutation tableau such that any topmost 1 is also a left-most 1.

It has been shown by Claesson [3] that the number of permutations of $[n]$ avoiding the dashed pattern 32–1 is given by the n-th Bell number B_n. Together with Theorem 2.1 we are led to the following correspondence.

Theorem 3.1. *The number of permutation tableaux T of length n such that $inv(T) = 0$ equals B_n.*

On the other hand, the following relation was proved by Corteel and Nadeau [7].

Theorem 3.2. *The number of L-Bell permutation tableaux of length n equals B_n*

By the definition of an inversion of a permutation tableau, it is straightforward to check that an L-Bell tableau has no inversion. Combining Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following connection.

Theorem 3.3. *Let T be a permutation tableau. Then $inv(T) = 0$ if and only if T is an L-Bell tableau.*

Here we give a direct reasoning of the above theorem. Let T be an alternative representation of a permutation tableau without inversions. It can be seen that the permutation tableau corresponding to T is an L-Bell tableau if and only T satisfies the following conditions:

(1) Each row contains at most one black dot.

(2) There is no empty cell that has a black dot above and a black dot immediately to the right.

We wish to prove that if $\mathrm{inv}(T) = 0$, then T satisfies the above conditions.

Assume that there is a row containing two black dots, say, at cells (i, j) and (i, k) with $j < k$. Then (j, k) is an inversion of T, a contradiction. Thus condition (1) holds.

For condition (2), assume to the contrary that there exists an empty cell (i, j) such that there is a black dot above and a black dot immediately to the right. Without loss of generality, we may assume that i is the minimal row label of an empty cell subject to the above assumption. Now we choose j to be the maximal column label. Assume that the black dot in row i and the black dot in column j appear at cells (i, k) and (t, j) respectively. Note that we have $t < i$. Since $j < k$ and $\mathrm{inv}(T) = 0$, we must have $P_j < P_k$. This implies that the unrestricted row containing the ending black dot of P_j must be above row t. Assume that this ending black dot is in column m. Then there exists a white dot in row t. Suppose that it occurs at cell (t, s). Clearly, we have $s \leq m$. Moreover, we see that no cell (x, y) for $x > t, y > s$ can be filled with a white dot. On the other hand, no cell (x, y) for $x < t, j < y < s$ can be filled with a black dot.

By walking backwards from the ending black dot along the path P_j, we can find a black dot in column s on the alternating path P_j which is also on the alternating path P_k. So we deduce that the next white dot on P_j is below the next white dot on P_k, that is, $P_j > P_k$. But this implies that (j, k) is an inversion of T, again a contradiction. Hence the proof is complete. ∎

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the 973 Project, the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Education, and the National Science Foundation of China.

References

[1] E. Babson and E. Steingrímsson, Generalized permutation patterns and a classification of the Mahonian statistics, Sém. Lothar. Combin. 44 (2000) Research article B44b.

[2] A. Burstein, On some properties of permutation tableaux, Ann. Combin. 11 (2007) 355–368.

[3] A. Claesson, Generalized pattern avoidance, European J. Combin. 22 (2001) 961–971.

[4] A. Claesson and T. Mansour, Counting occurrences of a pattern of type (1, 2) or (2, 1) in permutations, Adv. Appl. Math. 29 (2002) 293–310.

[5] S. Corteel, R. Brak, A. Rechnitzer and J. Essam, A combinatorial derivation of the PASEP stationary state, Electron. J. Combin 13 (2006) #R108.

[6] S. Corteel and J. S. Kim, Combinatorics on permutation tableaux of type A and type B, arXiv:1006.3812.

[7] S. Corteel and P. Nadeau, Bijections for permutation tableaux, European J. Combin. 30 (2009) 295–310.

[8] S. Corteel and L. Williams, Tableaux combinatorics for the asymmetric exclusion process I, Adv. Appl. Math. 37 (2007) 293–310.

[9] S. Corteel and L. Williams, Tableaux combinatorics for the asymmetric exclusion process II, arXiv:0810.2916.

[10] S. Corteel and L. Williams, A Markov chain on permutations which projects to the PASEP, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2007) Art. ID rnm055.

[11] T. Lam and L. Williams, Total positivity for cominuscule Grassmannians, New York J. Math. 14 (2008) 53–99.

[12] A. Postnikov, Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks, arXiv:math/0609764.

[13] E. Steingrímsson and L. Williams, Permutation tableaux and permutation patterns, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 114 (2007) 211-234.

[14] X. Viennot, Catalan tableaux, permutation tableaux and the asymmetric exclusion process, FPSAC 2007, Tianjin, China.

[15] X. Viennot, Alternative tableaux, permutations and partially asymmetric exclusion process, Isaac Newton institute, April 2007.

[16] L. Williams, Enumeration of totally positive Grassmann cells, Adv. Math. 190 (2005) 319–342.