Applicability of the q-Analogue of Zeilberger's Algorithm

William Y.C. Chen[1], Qing-Hu Hou[2] and Yan-Ping Mu[3]

Center for Combinatorics, LPMC
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

E-mail: [1]chen@nankai.edu.cn, [2]hou@nankai.edu.cn, [3]myphb@eyou.com

Abstract

The applicability or terminating condition for the ordinary case of Zeilberger's algorithm was recently obtained by Abramov. For the q-analogue, the question of whether a bivariate q-hypergeometric term has a qZ-pair remains open. Le has found a solution to this problem when the given bivariate q-hypergeometric term is a rational function in certain powers of q. We solve the problem for the general case by giving a characterization of bivariate q-hypergeometric terms for which the q-analogue of Zeilberger's algorithm terminates. Moreover, we give an algorithm to determine whether a bivariate q-hypergeometric term has a qZ-pair.

AMS Classification: 33F10, 68W30

Keywords: Zeilberger's algorithm, q-hypergeometric term, Z-pair, qZ-pair, proper hypergeometric term, q-proper hypergeometric term.

1 Introduction

Zeilberger's algorithm [10,16,19], also known as the method of *creative telescoping*, is devised for proving hypergeometric identities of the form

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F(n,k) = f(n),$$

where $F(n,k)$ is a bivariate hypergeometric term and $f(n)$ is a given function (for most cases a hypergeometric term plus a constant). The algorithm can be easily adapted to the q-case, which is called the q-analogue of Zeilberger's algorithm [7,12,15,18]. Let N and K be the shift operators with respect to n and k respectively, defined by

$$NT(n,k) = T(n+1,k) \qquad \text{and} \qquad KT(n,k) = T(n,k+1).$$

Given a bivariate q-hypergeometric term $T(n,k)$, the q-analogue of Zeilberger's algorithm aims to find a qZ-*pair* (L,G), where L is a linear difference operator with coefficients in the ring of polynomials in q^n

$$L = a_0(q^n)N^0 + a_1(q^n)N^1 + \cdots + a_r(q^n)N^r$$

and G is a bivariate q-hypergeometric term $G(n,k)$ such that

$$LT(n,k) = (K-1)G(n,k).$$

Zeilberger's algorithm has been widely used as a powerful tool to prove hypergeometric identities. It was an open question when the algorithm terminates.

arXiv:math/0410222v1 [math.CO] 8 Oct 2004

This problem was solved recently by Abramov [1,2]. For the q-analogue of Zeilberger's algorithm, Le [13] found a solution to the termination problem for the case of rational functions. In this paper we provide a complete solution for the general q-case.

We begin with an additive decomposition of univariate q-hypergeometric terms. Using this decomposition, a univariate q-hypergeometric term $T(n)$ can be represented as
$$T(n) = (N-1)T_1(n) + T_2(n),$$
where $T_1(n)$ and $T_2(n)$ are q-hypergeometric terms, and $T_2(n)$ has the following form
$$T_2(n) = \frac{u_1(q^n)}{u_2(q^n)} \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{f_1(q^j)}{f_2(q^j)},$$
where u_1, u_2, f_1, f_2 are polynomials and for any integer m, $u_2(x)$ and $u_2(xq^m)$ have no common factors except for a power of x. Consequently, a bivariate q-hypergeometric term $T(n,k)$ can be decomposed as
$$T(n,k) = (K-1)T_1(n,k) + T_2(n,k) \tag{1.1}$$
such that
$$T_2(n,k) = T(n,k_0)V(q^n,q^k) \prod_{j=k_0}^{k-1} F(q^n,q^j),$$
where V, F are rational functions and the denominator v_2 of V satisfies the conditions that for any integer m, $v_2(x,y)$ and $v_2(x,yq^m)$ have no common factors except for a power of y. The polynomial $v_2(x,y)$ with the above property is called ε_y-free. We should note that the above decomposition does not solve the minimal additive decomposition problem and is not unique (see [5] for a precise definition). However, for the purpose of constructing a qZ-pair, it turns out that one may choose any decomposition.

Then we consider the structure of bivariate q-hypergeometric terms. The structure of ordinary hypergeometric terms has been studied by Ore [14], Sato-Shintani-Muro [17], Abramov-Petkovšek [6] and Hou [11]. To a large extent, the q-case is analogous to the ordinary case. For each bivariate q-hypergeometric term, we associate it with a normal representation (q-NR) which consists of four polynomials r, s, u, v. Based on the properties of the representation, we may give a definition of q-proper hypergeometric terms and prove that under the condition that v is ε_y-free, a bivariate q-hypergeometric term has a qZ-pair if and only if it is a q-proper term. Applying the decomposition (1.1), we deduce that for any bivariate q-hypergeometric term T, it has a qZ-pair if and only if T_2 is q-proper.

We conclude with some examples.

2 ε-Free Decomposition

Throughout the paper, we let $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of integers, positive integers and nonnegative integers, respectively. For integers (or polynomials) a, b, we denote by $\gcd(a,b)$ the (monic) greatest common divisor of a and b. We also write $a \perp b$ to indicate that a and b are relatively prime, i.e., $\gcd(a,b) = 1$.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic zero, $q \in \mathbb{F}$ a nonzero element which is not a root of unity, and x transcendental over $\mathbb{F}$. Denote by ε the unique automorphism of $\mathbb{F}(x)$ which fixes $\mathbb{F}$ and satisfies $\varepsilon x = qx$. Then $\mathbb{F}(x)$ together with the *q-shift operator* ε is a difference field [8]. Let r and s be two polynomials. We say that r/s is ε-*reduced* if $r \perp \varepsilon^h s$ for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To be more specific, the rational functions involved in the q-hypergeometric terms (see Definition 2.4) are rational functions of q^n. However, for a rational function $R \in \mathbb{F}(x)$, we have

$$N\,R(q^n) = R(q^{n+1}) = \varepsilon R(q^n) \quad \text{and} \quad R(q^n) = 0 \;\forall\, n \geq n_0 \Leftrightarrow R(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the set of rational functions of q^n together with the shift operator N and the field $\mathbb{F}(x)$ together with the q-shift operator ε. In this paper, we adopt the notation of $\mathbb{F}(x)$ as in the work of Abramov-Paule-Petkovšek [4].

The concept of rational normal forms introduced by Abramov and Petkovšek [5] can be extended to the q-case.

Definition 2.1 *Let $R \in \mathbb{F}(x)$ be a rational function. If polynomials $r, s, u, v \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ satisfy*

(i) $R = \frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon(u/v)}{(u/v)}$ *where $u \perp v$ and u, v have no factor x,*

(ii) *r/s is ε-reduced,*

then (r, s, u, v) is called a q-rational normal form (q-RNF) of R.

Recall that a monic polynomial that has no factor x is called a q-monic polynomial by Abramov, Paule, and Petkovšek [4]. The following factorization theorem was given in [4].

Theorem 2.2 *Let $R \in \mathbb{F}(x) \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exist $z \in \mathbb{F}$ and monic polynomials $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(x) = z\frac{a(x)}{b(x)}\frac{c(qx)}{c(x)}, \\
&\gcd(a(x), b(q^n x)) = 1, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
&\gcd(a(x), c(x)) = \gcd(b(x), c(qx)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad c(0) \neq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

We call (az, b, c) a *q-Gosper form (q-GF)* of R.

Theorem 2.3 *Every rational function $R \in \mathbb{F}(x)$ has a q-RNF.*

Proof. It is clear that $(0, 1, 1, 1)$ is a q-RNF of 0. For $R \neq 0$, by Theorem 2.2, there exists a q-GF (az, b, c) of R. Applying Theorem 2.2 again to $b(x)/a(x)$, we get a q-GF (r, s, d). From the construction given in [4], we have $r \mid b$ and $s \mid a$. Hence $s(x) \perp r(xq^n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ because (az, b, c) is a q-GF. Since (r, s, d) is also a q-GF, we have $r(x) \perp s(xq^n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus s/r is ε-reduced and $(zs, r, c/\gcd(c, d), d/\gcd(c, d))$ is a q-RNF of R. ∎

The above proof provides an algorithm to generate a q-RNF of R.

Algorithm q-RNF
    if $R = 0$ then

        return $(0, 1, 1, 1)$;

    else

        compute 'q-GF' of R, we get (a, b, c);

        compute 'q-GF' of b/a, we get (r, s, d);

        return $(s, r, c/\gcd(c, d), d/\gcd(c, d))$;

We now come to the q-multiplicative representation of a general q-hypergeometric term. This is the starting point of the ε-free decomposition algorithm.

Definition 2.4 *Suppose $T(n)$ is a function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{F}$. If there exist a non-negative integer n_0 and a nonzero rational function $R(x) \in \mathbb{F}(x)$ such that $T(n + 1) = R(q^n)T(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$, then we call $T(n)$ a (univariate) q-hypergeometric term.*

Suppose (r, s, u, v) is a q-RNF of a rational function R. Then the corresponding q-hypergeometric term $T(n)$ satisfies

$$T(n) = T(n_0)\prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} R(q^j) = \frac{T(n_0)}{u(q^{n_0})/v(q^{n_0})} \cdot \frac{u(q^n)}{v(q^n)} \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{r(q^j)}{s(q^j)}, \ \forall\, n \geq n_0.$$

This leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.5 *Let $T(n)$ be a q-hypergeometric term and D, U be two rational functions such that $D(q^n)$ has neither poles nor zeros and $U(q^n)$ has no poles for all $n \geq n_0$. Suppose that*

$$T(n) = U(q^n)\prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} D(q^j), \qquad \forall\, n \geq n_0.$$

Then we call (D, U, n_0) a q-multiplicative representation (q-MR) of T.

Let $\Delta = N - 1$ be the difference operator with respect to n. The following lemma can be easily verified.

Lemma 2.6 *Let T and T_1 be two q-hypergeometric terms with q-MRs (D, U, n_0) and (D, U_1, n_0), respectively. Suppose that*

$$T_2 = T - \Delta T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad U_2 = U - D \cdot \varepsilon U_1 + U_1.$$

Then (D, U_2, n_0) is a q-MR of T_2.

For $u, v \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of all nonnegative integers h such that there exists an irreducible polynomial $p(x) \neq x$ satisfying $p(x) \,|\, u(x)$ and $p(x) \,|\, v(q^h x)$. Define qdis(u, v) to be $\max\{h \in \mathcal{R}\}$ or -1 if $\mathcal{R}$ is empty. Note that $\mathcal{R}$ is a finite set, and "qdis" is well defined. If qdis$(v, v) = 0$, we say that v is ε-*free*.

Given a q-hypergeometric term T with a q-MR (D, U, n_0). Usually the denominator u of U is not ε-free. However, translating the decomposition algorithm of [5] into the q-case, we have the following ε-free decomposition algorithm "q-decomp", which decomposes $T = \Delta T_1 + T_2$ such that T_2 has a q-MR (F, V, n_0) where the denominator of V is ε-free.

Algorithm q-decomp

Input: (D, U, n_0) \qquad Output: $U_1, F, V \in \mathbb{F}(x)$

> $d_1 := \mathrm{numer}(D);\ d_2 := \mathrm{denom}(D);$
> $U_1 := 0;\ U_2 := U;\ u_2 := \mathrm{denom}(U);$
> $N := \mathrm{qdis}(u_2, u_2);$
> for $h := N$ down to 1 do
> \qquad $v_2 := u_2/\gcd(u_2, d_2);$
> \qquad $s(x) := \gcd(v_2(x), v_2(q^{-h}x));$
> \qquad $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{u}_2) := \mathrm{pump}(s, u_2);$
> \qquad write $U_2 = a/\tilde{u}_2 + b/\tilde{s}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}[x];$
> \qquad $U_1' := -b/\tilde{s};$
> \qquad $U_1 := U_1 + U_1';\ U_2 := U_2 - D \cdot \varepsilon U_1' + U_1';$
> \qquad $u_2 := \mathrm{denom}(U_2);$
> $f_1 := d_1;\ f_2 := d_2;\ v_1 := \mathrm{numer}(U_2);\ v_2 := \mathrm{denom}(U_2);$
> $w := \gcd(d_2, v_2);$
> $v_2 := v_2/w;\ f_2 := \varepsilon w f_2/w;$
> $F := f_1/f_2;\ V := (1/w(q^{n_0})) \cdot v_1/v_2;$
> return $(U_1, F, V).$

The procedure "pump" is the same as in the ordinary case.

Algorithm pump
Input: $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[x];$ \qquad Output: $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathbb{F}[x].$

> $\tilde{f} := f;\ \tilde{g} := g/f;$
> repeat
> \qquad $d := \gcd(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g});$ \qquad $\tilde{f} := \tilde{f}d;\ \tilde{g} := \tilde{g}/d;$
> until $\deg d = 0;$
> return $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}).$

The following theorem shows that the ε-free algorithm generates the desired decomposition.

Theorem 2.7 *Let T be a q-hypergeometric term with a q-MR (D, U, n_0) and U_1, F, V be given by the algorithm q-decomp. Then there exist q-hypergeometric terms T_1 and T_2 such that*

(1) $T = \Delta T_1 + T_2.$

(2) T_1 *has a q-MR (D, U_1, n_0) and T_2 has a q-MR (F, V, n_0).*

(3) *The denominator of V is ε-free.*

Furthermore, if D is ε-reduced, so is F.

Proof. Let u_0 be the denominator of U. We first use induction to show that after iterating the loop of h in the algorithm i times, the denominator u_2 of U_2 satisfies:

(a) $\mathrm{qdis}(v_2, v_2) \le N - i,$

(b) $u_2(q^n)$ has no zeros for all $n \ge n_0,$

where $v_2 = u_2/\gcd(u_2, d_2)$, and d_2 is the denominator of D.

The case for $i = 0$ is trivial. Assume that the assertion holds for $i - 1$. Let u_2 and u_2' be the denominator of U_2 after $i - 1$ and i iterations, respectively.

Set $h = N - (i-1) > 0$ and $w_2 = \gcd(u_2, d_2)$. From the algorithm q-decomp we have

$$v_2 = u_2/w_2 \quad \text{and} \quad s = \gcd(v_2(x), v_2(q^{-h}x)).$$

Suppose the prime decomposition of s is $p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ and $v_2 = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_r^{\beta_r} v'$, $w_2 = p_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots p_r^{\gamma_r} w'$ where $v' \perp s, w' \perp s$. Then the algorithm "pump" enables us to decompose u_2 as $p_1^{\beta_1 + \gamma_1} \cdots p_r^{\beta_r + \gamma_r} \cdot (v'w')$. That is, $\tilde{s} = p_1^{\beta_1 + \gamma_1} \cdots p_r^{\beta_r + \gamma_r}$ and $\tilde{u}_2 = v'w'$. Since

$$U_2 = \frac{a}{\tilde{u}_2} + \frac{d_1}{d_2} \cdot \varepsilon \left(\frac{b}{\tilde{s}} \right),$$

it follows that u_2' divides the least common multiple of $\tilde{u}_2$ and $d_2 \varepsilon \tilde{s}$. Hence we have that u_2' divides $v'd_2 \cdot \varepsilon \tilde{s}$. Let $v'' = v' \cdot \varepsilon \tilde{s}$. Assume that there exist an integer $m \geq h$ and an irreducible polynomial $p(x) \neq x$ such that $p \mid v''$ and $p \mid \varepsilon^m v''$. We may encounter four cases:

- $p \mid v'$ and $p \mid \varepsilon^m v'$.
  From $v' \mid v_2$ and $\mathrm{qdis}(v_2, v_2) \leq h$, it follows that $m = h$. Therefore, $\varepsilon^{-h} p \mid \varepsilon^{-h} v_2$ and $\varepsilon^{-h} p \mid v_2$. Consequently, we have $\varepsilon^{-h} p \mid s$, which contradicts $v' \perp s$.

- $p \mid v'$ and $p \mid \varepsilon^{m+1} \tilde{s}$.
  Since s and $\tilde{s}$ have the same prime factors, we have $p \mid \varepsilon^{m+1} s$, implying that $p \mid \varepsilon^{m+1} v_2$. On the other hand, we have $p \mid v_2$, which contradicts $\mathrm{qdis}(v_2, v_2) \leq h$.

- $p \mid \varepsilon \tilde{s}$ and $p \mid \varepsilon^m v'$.
  In this situation, we have $\varepsilon^{-1} p \mid \tilde{s}$, which implies that $\varepsilon^{-1} p \mid \varepsilon^{-h} v_2$, or equivalently, $\varepsilon^{h-1} p \mid v_2$. On the other hand, $\varepsilon^{h-1} p \mid \varepsilon^{m+h-1} v_2$. Since $\mathrm{qdis}(v_2, v_2) \leq h$, we get $m + h - 1 \leq h$, and hence $m = 1$. Now we have $p \mid \varepsilon s$ and $p \mid \varepsilon v'$, which contradicts $v' \perp s$.

- $p \mid \varepsilon \tilde{s}$ and $p \mid \varepsilon^{m+1} \tilde{s}$.
  Similarly, we have $\varepsilon^{-1} p \mid s$ and hence $\varepsilon^{-1} p \mid \varepsilon^{-h} v_2$, i.e., $\varepsilon^{h-1} p \mid v_2$. However, we have $\varepsilon^{h-1} p \mid \varepsilon^{m+h} v_2$. Thus, we obtain $m + h \leq h$, which is also a contradiction.

In summary, we may conclude that $\mathrm{qdis}(v'', v'') \leq h - 1$. Because u_2' divides $v'' \cdot d_2$, there exist $\bar{v} \mid v''$ and $\bar{w} \mid d_2$ such that $u_2' = \bar{v}\bar{w}$. Let $v_2' = u_2'/\gcd(u_2', d_2)$. From $\bar{w} \mid \gcd(u_2', d_2)$, it follows that $v_2' \mid \bar{v}$. So we get $\mathrm{qdis}(v_2', v_2') \leq h - 1 = N - i$. Thus, we have proved (a). Since $u_2' \mid u_2 \cdot \varepsilon u_2 \cdot d_2$, (b) immediately follows from the induction hypothesis.

On the other hand, since $\tilde{s} \mid u_2$, (b) implies that $U_1(q^n)$ has no poles for all $n \geq n_0$. Let

$$T_1(n) = U_1(q^n) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} D(q^j) \quad \text{and} \quad T_2(n) = U_2(q^n) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} D(q^j). \qquad (2.2)$$

Noting that $U_2 = U - D\varepsilon U_1 + U_1$, by Lemma 2.6, we obtain $T = \Delta T_1 + T_2$.

Because $w \mid d_2$ and $d_2(q^n) \neq 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$, we can write $T_2(n)$ as

$$T_2(n) = \frac{1}{w(q^{n_0})} U_2(q^n) w(q^n) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} D(q^j) \frac{w(q^j)}{w(q^{j+1})} = V(q^n) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} F(q^j).$$

6

Let v be the denominator of V. Then (a) implies $\mathrm{qdis}(v,v) = 0$, that is, v is ε-free.

Finally, notice that $f_1 = d_1$ and $f_2 = \varepsilon w \cdot (d_2/w)$ where $w \mid d_2$. Therefore, F is ε-reduced provided that D is ε-reduced. This completes the proof. ∎

3 Bivariate q-Hypergeometric Terms

We begin this section with the definition of bivariate q-hypergeometric terms.

Definition 3.1 *Suppose $T(n,k)$ is a function from $\mathbb{N}^2$ to $\mathbb{F}$. If there exist rational functions $R_1(x,y), R_2(x,y) \in \mathbb{F}(x,y)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$T(n+1,k) = R_1(q^n, q^k)T(n,k) \quad and \quad T(n,k+1) = R_2(q^n, q^k)T(n,k),$$

for all $n, k \geq n_0$, then we call $T(n,k)$ a bivariate q-hypergeometric term.

Without loss of generality, from now on we may assume that $n_0 = 0$ and that $R_1(q^n, q^k), R_2(q^n, q^k)$ have neither zeros nor poles for all $n, k \geq 0$.

Denote by ε_x and ε_y the shift operators on $\mathbb{F}(x,y)$ defined by $\varepsilon_x x = qx$, $\varepsilon_x|_{\mathbb{F}(y)} = \mathrm{id}$ (the identity map) and $\varepsilon_y y = qy, \varepsilon_y|_{\mathbb{F}(x)} = \mathrm{id}$, respectively. The idea of q-RNF can be easily adopted to the bivariate case by taking $\mathbb{F}(y)$ as the ground field. Let $R(x,y)$ be a rational function of x and y, its q-rational normal form (q-RNF with respect to ε_x) is represented by (r, s, u, v) as in the univariate case. By using the ground field $\mathbb{F}(x)$, we may find a q-RNF of $R(x,y)$ with respect to ε_y.

Let $T(n,k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term. By definition, there exists a rational function R such that

$$T(n+1,k)/T(n,k) = R(q^n, q^k).$$

Suppose (r, s, u, v) is a q-RNF of R with respect to ε_x. We call (r, s, u, v) a q-normal representation (q-NR) of $T(n,k)$ with respect to the shift operator N. Similarly, we can define the q-NR of $T(n,k)$ with respect to the shift operator K.

We next give a characterization of the polynomials involved in the q-NR of bivariate q-hypergeometric terms.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $T(n,k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term that has a q-NR (r, s, u, v) with respect to N. Then r and s are products of polynomials having the form*

$$(x^c y^d) \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{a} p(q^{w_l} x^a y^b),$$

where p is a Laurent polynomial of one variable, $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b, c, d, w_l \in \mathbb{Z}, a \perp b$, and $w_i \not\equiv w_j \pmod{a}, \forall\, i \neq j$.

Similarly, suppose (r, s, u, v) is a q-NR of T with respect to K. Then r and s are products of polynomials having the form

$$(x^c y^d) \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{a} p(q^{w_l} x^b y^a)$$

under the same conditions.

Sketch of the proof. The proof of the ordinary case [11, Theorem 3.4] can be carried over to the q-case except that we need to consider the characterization of polynomials $f(x, y)$ such that $f(q^a x, q^b y) = C f(x, y)$ for certain integers a, b and $C \in \mathbb{F}$. ∎

Consequently, we have

Corollary 3.3 *Let $T(n, k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term that has a q-NR (r, s, u, v) with respect to N (or K respectively). Then we have*

$$T(n, k) = C \cdot \frac{u(q^n, q^k)}{v(q^n, q^k)} \cdot \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{l=1}^{uu} \prod_{j=0}^{a_l n + b_l k + c_l} f_l(q^j)}{\displaystyle\prod_{l=1}^{vv} \prod_{j=0}^{a'_l n + b'_l k + c'_l} g_l(q^j)},$$

where $C \in \mathbb{F}$, $uu, vv \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_l, b_l, c_l, a'_l, b'_l, c'_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and f_l, g_l are polynomials.

Corollary 3.3 enables us to give the following definition of q-proper hypergeometric terms.

Definition 3.4 *A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}[x, y]$ is said to be q-proper if for each of its irreducible factor $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}[x, y]$, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not both zeros, such that $p(x, y) | p(q^a x, q^b y)$. A bivariate q-hypergeometric term T is said to be q-proper if v is a q-proper polynomial where (r, s, u, v) is a q-NR of T with respect to N or K.*

Suppose that T is a bivariate q-hypergeometric term that has a q-NR (r, s, u, v) with respect to N (or K). Theorem 3.2 guarantees that r and s are both q-proper polynomials.

As in the case of ordinary bivariate hypergeometric terms ([11, Theorems 4.2]), we have an analogous "fundamental theorem" for the q-case.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $T(n, k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term. Then T is q-proper if and only if there exist polynomials $a_{ij}(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, not all zero, such that*

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq I, \, 0 \leq j \leq J} a_{ij}(q^n) T(n + i, k + j) = 0 \quad \forall n, k \geq 0.$$

Based on an analogous argument for the ordinary case as in [16, Theorem 6.2.1], we get

Corollary 3.6 *Any q-proper hypergeometric term has a qZ-pair.*

4 The Existence of qZ-Pairs

In this section, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of qZ-pairs for any bivariate q-hypergeometric term based on its q-NR with respect to K.

From Theorem 3.2, we have

Corollary 4.1 *Let $T(n,k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term that has a q-NR (r,s,u,v) with respect to K. Then there exist polynomials $f_i(x), g_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ and $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{r(q^{n+1}, q^j)}{r(q^n, q^j)} \cdot \frac{s(q^n, q^j)}{s(q^{n+1}, q^j)} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{f_i(q^{a_i k + b_i n})}{g_i(q^{a_i' k + b_i' n})}.$$

We need to consider the following ratio

$$\frac{T(n+i,k)}{T(n,k)} = \frac{T(n+i,0)}{T(n,0)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \frac{T(n+i,j+1)}{T(n+i,j)} \frac{T(n,j)}{T(n,j+1)} \right\},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{T(n+i,k)}{T(n,k)} = \prod_{l=0}^{i-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \frac{r(q^{n+l+1}, q^j)}{r(q^{n+l}, q^j)} \frac{s(q^{n+l}, q^j)}{s(q^{n+l+1}, q^j)} \right\} \prod_{l=0}^{i-1} \frac{T(n+l+1,0)}{T(n+l,0)}$$
$$\cdot \frac{u(q^{n+i}, q^k)}{u(q^{n+i}, q^0)} \frac{u(q^n, q^0)}{u(q^n, q^k)} \frac{v(q^{n+i}, q^0)}{v(q^{n+i}, q^k)} \frac{v(q^n, q^k)}{v(q^n, q^0)}. \tag{4.1}$$

From Corollary 4.1 we get the following expression.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $T(n,k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term that has a q-NR (r,s,u,v) with respect to K. Then for each $i \geq 0$, there exist q-proper polynomials $w_1^{(i)}(x,y)$ and $w_2^{(i)}(x,y)$ such that*

$$\frac{T(n+i,k)}{T(n,k)} = \frac{u(q^{n+i}, q^k)}{v(q^{n+i}, q^k)} \cdot \frac{v(q^n, q^k)}{u(q^n, q^k)} \cdot \frac{w_1^{(i)}(q^n, q^k)}{w_2^{(i)}(q^n, q^k)}, \quad \forall n, k \geq 0. \tag{4.2}$$

An ε_y-free polynomial that is not q-proper has a special factor.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $f \in \mathbb{F}[x,y]$ be a non-q-proper and ε_y-free polynomial. Then there exists an irreducible factor p of f such that*

$$\begin{aligned} p(x,y) &\perp p(q^i x, q^j y), \quad \forall\, (i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}, \\ p(x,y) &\perp f(q^i x, q^j y), \quad \forall\, (i,j) \in (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{(0,0)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Since $f(x,y)$ is non-q-proper, by definition it has an irreducible factor $p_1(x,y)$ such that $p_1(x,y) \perp p_1(q^i x, q^j y), \forall\, (i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$.

We may factor $f(x,y)$ as

$$f(x,y) = p_1^{\alpha_1}(q^{a_1} x, q^{b_1} y) \cdots p_1^{\alpha_r}(q^{a_r} x, q^{b_r} y) f_1(x,y),$$

where $(a_i, b_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ are distinct pairs, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $p_1(q^i x, q^j y) \perp f_1(x,y)$ for all $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $f(x,y)$ is ε_y-free, it follows that $a_i \neq a_j$ as long as $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r$. Thus, $p(x,y) = p_1(q^{a_1} x, q^{b_1} y)$ satisfies the condition (4.3). ∎

We are now ready to give a criterion for the existence of qZ-pairs.

Theorem 4.4 *Let $T(n,k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term that has a q-NR (r,s,u,v) with respect to K such that v is ε_y-free. Then $T(n,k)$ has a qZ-pair if and only if v is a q-proper polynomial.*

9

Proof. Because of Corollary 3.6, it suffices to show that if $T(n,k)$ has a qZ-pair, then it is q-proper. To this end, we assume that $T(n,k)$ is a bivariate q-hypergeometric term. Moreover, we assume that $T(n,k)$ is not q-proper, but it has a qZ-pair. We proceed to find a contradiction.

Clearly, for a difference operator $L \in \mathbb{F}[q^n, N]$, we have

$$(N \cdot L)T(n,k) = (K-1)G(n,k) \iff LT(n,k) = (K-1)G(n-1,k).$$

Therefore, we may assume that $T(n,k)$ has a qZ-pair (L,G) of the form

$$L = \sum_{i=0}^{I} a_i(q^n)N^i,$$

where $a_i(q^n)$ are polynomials in q^n and $a_0 \neq 0$. Since LT/T and $(K-1)G/G$ are both rational functions of q^n and q^k, we may assume that

$$G(n,k) = \frac{f(q^n, q^k)}{g(q^n, q^k)}T(n,k),$$

where $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[x,y]$ are two relatively prime polynomials.

By the definition of qZ-pairs, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{I} a_i(q^n)\frac{T(n+i,k)}{T(n,k)} = \frac{f(q^n, q^{k+1})}{g(q^n, q^{k+1})}\frac{T(n,k+1)}{T(n,k)} - \frac{f(q^n, q^k)}{g(q^n, q^k)}. \qquad (4.4)$$

Substituting (4.2) into (4.4), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{I} a_i(x)\frac{u(q^i x, y)}{v(q^i x, y)}\frac{w_1^{(i)}(x,y)}{w_2^{(i)}(x,y)} = \frac{f(x,qy)}{g(x,qy)}\frac{r(x,y)}{s(x,y)}\frac{u(x,qy)}{v(x,qy)} - \frac{f(x,y)}{g(x,y)}\frac{u(x,y)}{v(x,y)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $u_1 = u/\gcd(u,g)$, $g_1 = g/\gcd(u,g)$. Multiplying

$$g_1(x,qy)g_1(x,y)v(x,qy)s(x,y)\prod_{j=0}^{I} v(q^j x, y)w_2^{(j)}(x,y)$$

to both sides of (4.5), we arrive at

$$g_1(x,qy)g_1(x,y)v(x,qy)s(x,y)$$
$$\cdot \sum_{i=0}^{I} a_i(x)u(q^i x, y)w_1^{(i)}(x,y)\prod_{j\neq i} v(q^j x, y)w_2^{(j)}(x,y)$$
$$= f(x,qy)r(x,y)u_1(x,qy)g_1(x,y)\prod_{j=0}^{I} v(q^j x, y)w_2^{(j)}(x,y)$$
$$- f(x,y)u_1(x,y)g_1(x,qy)v(x,qy)s(x,y)w_2^{(0)}(x,y) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{I} v(q^j x, y)w_2^{(j)}(x,y).$$
$$(4.6)$$

Since $T(n,k)$ is not q-proper, from Lemma 4.3 it follows that there exists an irreducible factor p of v satisfying the condition (4.3). Noting that $p(x,y)$

divides each term of the left-hand side of (4.6) except for the first term, we obtain that $p(x, y)$ divides

$$g_1(x, qy)v(x, qy)s(x, y) \prod_{j=1}^{I} v(q^j x, y)w_2^{(j)}(x, y)$$

$$\times \left(g_1(x, y)a_0(x)u(x, y)w_1^{(0)}(x, y) + f(x, y)u_1(x, y)w_2^{(0)}(x, y) \right).$$

From (4.3) it follows that

$$p(x, y) \perp v(x, qy) \prod_{j=1}^{I} v(q^j x, y).$$

Since s and $w_2^{(j)}$ are q-proper, they are also relatively prime to p. This implies that $p(x, y)$ divides

$$g_1(x, qy)\left(g_1(x, y)a_0(x)u(x, y)w_1^{(0)}(x, y) + f(x, y)u_1(x, y)w_2^{(0)}(x, y) \right). \qquad (4.7)$$

Similarly, since $p(x, qy)$ divides both sides of (4.6) and $u \perp v$, we have

$$p(x, qy) \mid f(x, qy)g_1(x, y). \qquad (4.8)$$

Case 1. Suppose $p(x, qy) \mid f(x, qy)$. Since $p(x, y)$ divides (4.7), it follows that

$$p(x, y) \mid g_1(x, qy)g_1(x, y)a_0(x)u(x, y)w_1^{(0)}(x, y).$$

Since $f \perp g, u \perp v$, a_0 and $w_1^{(0)}$ are q-proper polynomials, we may deduce that $p(x, y) \mid g_1(x, qy)$, i.e., $p(x, q^{-1}y) \mid g_1(x, y)$. Let $m(>0)$ be the greatest integer such that $p(x, q^{-m}y) \mid g_1(x, y)$. By virtue of (4.6), we have that $p(x, q^{-m}y)$ divides

$$f(x, y)u_1(x, y)g_1(x, qy)v(x, qy)s(x, y)w_2^{(0)}(x, y) \prod_{j=1}^{I} v(q^j x, y)w_2^{(j)}(x, y).$$

However, $f \perp g$ and $g_1 \perp u_1$ imply that $p(x, q^{-m}y) \mid g_1(x, qy)$, which contradicts the choice of m.

Case 2. Suppose $p(x, qy) \mid g_1(x, y)$. Let $M > 0$ be the greatest integer such that $p(x, q^M y) \mid g_1(x, y)$. Similarly, from (4.6) it follows that $p(x, q^{M+1}y)$ divides

$$f(x, qy)r(x, y)u_1(x, qy)g_1(x, y) \prod_{j=0}^{I} v(q^j x, y)w_2^{(j)}(x, y).$$

Hence we get $p(x, q^{M+1}y) \mid g_1(x, y)$, which is again a contradiction. ∎

To extend the above result to general bivariate q-hypergeometric terms, we need the concept of similar q-hypergeometric terms. Two bivariate q-hypergeometric terms T_1, T_2 are called *similar* if there exists a rational function $R \in \mathbb{F}(x, y)$ such that $T_1(n, k)/T_2(n, k) = R(q^n, q^k)$.

As in the ordinary case, the existence of qZ-pairs is preserved under addition of similar bivariate q-hypergeometric terms.

Lemma 4.5 *Suppose there exist qZ-pairs for two similar bivariate q-hypergeometric terms $T_1(n, k)$ and $T_2(n, k)$. Then there exists a qZ-pair for $T(n, k) = T_1(n, k) + T_2(n, k)$.*

Notice that $T(n, k) = (K - 1)G(n, k)$ has a qZ-pair $(1, G)$. Combining Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, we obtain the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.6 *Let $T(n, k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term. Let T_1, T_2 be two similar bivariate q-hypergeometric terms satisfying*

$$T(n, k) = (K - 1)T_1(n, k) + T_2(n, k)$$

and $T_2(n, k)$ has a q-NR (r, s, u, v) with respect to K such that v is ε_y-free. Then $T(n, k)$ has a qZ-pair if and only if $T_2(n, k)$ is a q-proper hypergeometric term, or equivalently, if and only if $v(x, y)$ is a q-proper polynomial.

5 Algorithms

Let $T(n, k)$ be a bivariate q-hypergeometric term. By the algorithm "q-RNF", we may find a q-NR (r, s, u, v) of $T(n, k)$ with respect to K. Let

$$F(k) = \frac{u(x, q^k)}{v(x, q^k)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{r(x, q^j)}{s(x, q^j)}, \quad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $F(k)$ is a univariate q-hypergeometric term over the field $\mathbb{F}(x)$ with a q-MR $(r/s, u/v, 0)$. On the other hand, by Equation (4.1), we have

$$\frac{F(k)|_{x=q^{n+1}}}{F(k)|_{x=q^n}} = \frac{u(q^{n+1}, q^k)v(q^n, q^k)}{u(q^n, q^k)v(q^{n+1}, q^k)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{r(q^{n+1}, q^j)s(q^n, q^j)}{r(q^n, q^j)s(q^{n+1}, q^j)}$$

$$= \frac{T(n + 1, k)}{T(n, k)} \cdot \frac{T(n, 0)}{T(n + 1, 0)} \cdot \frac{u(q^{n+1}, q^0)v(q^n, q^0)}{u(q^n, q^0)v(q^{n+1}, q^0)},$$

which is also a rational function on q^n and q^k. Hence $\widetilde{F}(n, k) = F(k)|_{x=q^n}$ is a bivariate q-hypergeometric term.

Using the algorithm "q-decomp" given in Section 2, one may find univariate q-hypergeometric terms $F_1(k), F_2(k)$ such that

$$F(k) = (K - 1)F_1(k) + F_2(k)$$

and $F_2(k)$ has a q-MR $(f_1/f_2, v_1/v_2, 0)$ with v_2 being ε_y-free. Since $f_1/f_2, v_1/v_2 \in \mathbb{F}(x)(y)$, we may assume that $f_1, f_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{F}[x, y]$ and $f_1 \perp f_2, v_1 \perp v_2$. From the fact that r/s is ε_y-reduced, it follows that f_1/f_2 is also ε_y-reduced.

Let

$$T_1(n, k) = T(n, 0)\frac{v(q^n, q^0)}{u(q^n, q^0)} \cdot F_1(k)|_{x=q^n},$$

$$T_2(n, k) = T(n, 0)\frac{v(q^n, q^0)}{u(q^n, q^0)} \cdot F_2(k)|_{x=q^n}.$$

Since Equation (2.2) implies that

$$F_1(k) = \frac{U_1}{u/v} \cdot F(k) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(k) = \frac{v_1/v_2}{u/v} \cdot F(k),$$

it follows that $T_1(n, k)$ and $T_2(n, k)$ are similar bivariate q-hypergeometric terms. It is easily verified that

$$T(n, k) = (K - 1)T_1(n, k) + T_2(n, k)$$

and (f_1, f_2, v_1, v_2) is a q-NR of T_2 with respect to K. Therefore, Theorem 4.6 implies that $T(n, k)$ has a qZ-pair if and only if v_2 is a q-proper polynomial.

Finally, we need the algorithm given by Le [13] for determining whether or not a polynomial is q-proper.

We are now ready to describe the algorithm to determine whether a bivariate q-hypergeometric term $T(n, k)$ has a qZ-pair.

1. Apply the algorithm in [7] to find a rational function $R \in \mathbb{F}(x, y)$ such that
$$\frac{T(n, k+1)}{T(n, k)} = R(q^n, q^k).$$

2. Find a q-RNF (r, s, u, v) with respect to ε_y of R.

3. For $D = r/s, U = u/v$ and $n_0 = 0$, apply the algorithm 'q-decomp' with respect to ε_y to get $V = v_1/v_2$.

4. Use the algorithm in [13] to determine whether v_2 is q-proper. If the answer is yes, then T has a qZ-pair; otherwise, T does not have any qZ-pair.

Here are two examples.

Example 1. Let

$$T(n, k) = \frac{q^k(1 + q^{n+1} + q^{k+2})}{(q^n + q^k + 1)(q^n + q^{k+1} + 1) \prod_{j=1}^{k+1}(1 - q^j)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{T(n, k+1)}{T(n, k)} = \frac{q(1 + q^{n+1} + q^{k+3})(q^n + q^k + 1)}{(q^n + q^{k+2} + 1)(1 + q^{n+1} + q^{k+2})(1 - q^{k+2})},$$

and we have

$$r = q, \quad s = 1 - q^2 y, \quad u = 1 + qx + q^2 y, \quad v = (x + y + 1)(x + qy + 1)$$

is a q-NR of T with respect to K. For $D = r/s, U = u/v$ and $n_0 = 0$, applying the algorithm "q-decomp", we get

$$V = v_1/v_2 = \frac{-q^2}{(-1 + q^2)(x + 1)}.$$

Clearly, v_2 is q-proper, so $T(n, k)$ has a qZ-pair. Indeed, we can check that

$$L = 1, \quad G = \frac{1}{(q^n + q^k + 1) \prod_{j=1}^{k}(1 - q^j)}$$

is a qZ-pair for $T(n, k)$.

Example 2. Let

$$T(n, k) = \frac{q^k(1 + q^{n+1} + q^{k+2})}{(q^n + q^k + 1)(q^n + q^{k+1} + 1) \prod_{j=1}^{k}(1 - q^j)}.$$

Then
$$\frac{T(n,k+1)}{T(n,k)} = \frac{q(1+q^{n+1}+q^{k+3})(q^n+q^k+1)}{(q^n+q^{k+2}+1)(1+q^{n+1}+q^{k+2})(1-q^{k+1})},$$
and we have
$$r = q, \ s = 1 - qy, \ u = 1 + qx + q^2 y, \ v = (x+y+1)(x+qy+1)$$
is a q-NR of T with respect to K. For $D = r/s, U = u/v$ and $n_0 = 0$, applying the algorithm "q-decomp", we get
$$V = v_1/v_2 = \frac{-(x+y+1)q^2}{(q-1)(x+1)(x+qy+1)}.$$
Since $x + qy + 1$ is not a q-proper polynomial, it follows that $T(n,k)$ has no qZ-pair.

References

[1] S.A. Abramov, Applicability of Zeilberger's algorithm to hypergeometric terms, In: Proc. Int. Symp. on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ACM Press, 2002.

[2] S.A. Abramov, When does Zeilberger's algorithm succeed?, Adv. Appl. Math., **30** (2003) 424–441.

[3] S.A. Abramov and H.Q. Le, A criterion for the applicability of Zeilberger's algorithm to rational functions, Discrete Math., **259** (2002) 1–17.

[4] S.A. Abramov, P. Paule, and M. Petkovšek, q-Hypergeometric solutions of q-difference equations, Discrete Math. **180** (1998) 3–22.

[5] S.A. Abramov and M. Petkovšek, Rational normal forms and minimal decompositions of hypergeometric terms, J. Symbolic Comput. **33** (2002) 521–543.

[6] S.A. Abramov and M. Petkovšek, On the structure of multivariate hypergeometric terms, Adv. Appl. Math. **29** (2002) 386–411.

[7] H. Böing and W. Koepf, Algorithms for q-hypergeometric summation in computer algebra, J. Symbolic Comput. **28** (1999) 777–799.

[8] R.M. Cohn, Difference Algebra, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1965.

[9] I. M. Gel'fand, M. I. Graev and V. S. Retakh, General hypergeometric systems of equations and series of hypergeometric type (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **47** (1992) 3–82, 235; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **47** (1992) 1–88.

[10] R. Graham, D. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, 2nd Edition, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994.

[11] Q.H. Hou, k-Free recurrences of double hypergeometric terms, Adv. Appl. Math. **32** (2004) 468-484.

[12] T.H. Koornwinder, On Zeilberger's algorithm and its q-analogue, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **48** (1993) 91–111.

[13] H.Q. Le, On the q-analogue of Zeilberger's algorithm to rational functions, Program. Comput. Software (Programmirovanie) **27** (2001) 49–58.

[14] O. Ore, Sur la forme des fonctions hypergéométriques de plusieurs variables, J. Math. Pures Appl. **9** (1930) 311–326.

[15] P. Paule and A. Riese, A Mathematica q-analogue of Zeilberger's algorithm based on an algebraically motivated approach to q-hypergeometric telescoping, In: Special Functions, q-Series and Related Topics (Toronto, ON, 1995), 179–210, Fields Inst. Commun., **14**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[16] M. Petkovšek, H.S. Wilf, and D. Zeilberger, A=B, A.K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1996.

[17] M. Sato, T. Shintani, and M. Muro, Theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces (algebraic part), Nagoya Math. J. **120** (1990) 1–34.

[18] H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and "q") multisum/integral identities, Invent. Math. **108** (1992) 575–633.

[19] D. Zeilberger, The method of creative telescoping, J. Symbolic Comput. **11** (1991) 195–204.