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Abstract. We present an algorithmic approach to the verification of identities on multiple theta functions in the form of products of theta functions $\prod_{q} \theta^{\alpha_1}(\cdot;q_{1})^{\alpha_2}(\cdot;q_{2})^{\alpha_3}(\cdot;q_{3})^{\alpha_r}(\cdot;q_{r})^{\infty}$, where $\alpha_i$ are integers, $\delta = 0$ or $1$, $s \in \mathbb{Q}$, $t \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, and the exponent vectors $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. For an identity on such multiple theta functions, we provide an algorithm to compute a system of contiguous relations satisfied by all the involved multiple theta functions. Using Stanley’s lemma on the fundamental parallelepiped, we show that a multiple theta function can be determined by a finite number of its coefficients. Thus such an identity can be reduced to a finite number of simpler relations. Many classical multiple theta function identities fall into this framework, including Riemann’s addition formula and the extended Riemann identity.
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1. Introduction

Theta functions arise in the study of the Riemann zeta functions, the Weierstrass elliptic functions and the q-gamma functions. There are many identities on the classical Jacobi theta functions including Jacobi’s triple product identity, the quintuple product identity and the septuple product identity due to Farkas and Kra \cite{11, 12}. For more
properties of theta functions, one can see [1, 2, 5, 25].

Assume that $|q| < 1$. The theta function $\theta(z)$ is defined by

$$\theta(z) = [z; q]_{\infty} = (z, q/z; q)_{\infty}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the $q$-shifted factorial $(z; q)_{\infty}$ is given by

$$(z; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - zq^k).$$

A multiple theta function is defined by

$$[z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n; q]_{\infty} = [z_1; q]_{\infty}[z_2; q]_{\infty} \cdots [z_n; q]_{\infty}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper, we are concerned with identities on a special class of multiple theta functions. Assume that $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r$ are complex variables. For a vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ of integers, we adopt the common notation $a^{\alpha} = a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_r^{\alpha_r}$. We shall restrict our attention to multiple theta functions of the form:

$$\theta(a) = a^{\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{m} f_i(a), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, $1 \leq m \leq r$ and

$$f_i(a) = [(-1)^{\delta_i} a^{\gamma_i} q^{s_i}; q]_{\infty}, \quad (1.4)$$

such that for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\gamma_i = (\gamma_{i,1}, \gamma_{i,2}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,r})$ is a vector of integers, $\delta_i = 0$ or $1$, $s_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $t_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. We further assume that the exponent vectors $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m$ are linearly independent and $\tau$ is a linear combination of $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. For example,

$$\theta(a, b, c, d) = (ab, q/ab; q)_{\infty}(a/b, bq/a; q)_{\infty}(cd, q/cd; q)_{\infty}(c/d, qd/c; q)_{\infty} \quad (1.5)$$

is a multiple theta function in the form of (1.3). The exponent vectors in the factors of $\theta(a, b, c, d)$ are

$$\gamma_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0), \, \gamma_2 = (1, -1, 0, 0), \, \gamma_3 = (0, 0, 1, 1), \, \gamma_4 = (0, 0, 1, -1),$$

which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Many identities on multiple theta functions arise as generalizations of theta function identities. Winquist [26] found an identity on bivariate theta functions which plays an important role in proving Ramanujan’s congruence for the partition function modulo
For a multiple theta function \( \theta(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r) \), a contiguous relation is meant to be a relation of the form
\[
\frac{\theta(a_1 q^{x_1}, a_2 q^{x_2}, \ldots, a_r q^{x_r})}{\theta(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)} = \frac{(-1)^{\rho}}{a_1^{v_1} a_2^{v_2} \cdots a_r^{v_r} q^{s}},
\]
where \( \rho = 0 \) or \( 1 \), \( x_i, s \in \mathbb{Q} \) and \( v_i \in \mathbb{Z} \). The vector \( v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) \) in the denominator of the contiguous relation (1.6) is referred to as the exponent vector. Note that once the exponent vector \( (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) \) is determined, there may be different vectors \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \) satisfying the contiguous relation (1.6). Nevertheless, if we wish to derive a recurrence relation on the coefficients of \( \theta(a) \) from the contiguous relation (1.6), we will be mainly concerned with the exponent vector \( (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) \). For example, for \( \theta(a, b, c) = (a, q/a; q)_{\infty}(a/bc, bcq/a; q)_{\infty} \), we have
\[
\frac{\theta(aq, bq, c)}{\theta(a, b, c)} = \frac{\theta(aq, b, cq)}{\theta(a, b, c)} = -\frac{1}{a}.
\]
Note that \( \theta(a, b, c) \) can be expanded by using Jacobi’s triple product identity
\[
(q, z, q/z; q)_{\infty} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{(k)} z^k.
\]
Let
\[
\theta(a, b, c) = \sum_{(n,m,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} h(n, m, k)a^nb^mc^k.
\]
Applying (1.8), we see that the above sum ranges over integer vectors \( (n, m, k) \) such that
\[
(n, m, k) = \ell_1(1, 0, 0) + \ell_2(1, -1, -1),
\]
where $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. It can be checked that the two contiguous relations in (1.7) lead to the same recurrence relation

$$h(n+1, m, k) = -q^{n+m}h(n, m, k),$$

where $n, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r)$, let $x \cdot \gamma_i$ denote the inner product of $x$ and $\gamma_i$, that is,

$$x \cdot \gamma_i = x_1 \gamma_{i,1} + x_2 \gamma_{i,2} + \cdots + x_r \gamma_{i,r}.$$ 

For a given multiple theta function $\theta(a)$ of the form (1.3), we show that $\theta(a)$ satisfies a contiguous relation of the form (1.6) if and only if there exists a vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r$ such that $\frac{x_i}{t_i}$ are integers for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and

$$v^T = Ax^T,$$  \quad \text{(1.9)}

where $T$ indicates the transpose of a vector, and $A$ is an $r \times r$ matrix defined by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma_{1,1}}{t_1} & \frac{\gamma_{2,1}}{t_2} & \cdots & \frac{\gamma_{m,1}}{t_m} \\ \frac{\gamma_{1,2}}{t_1} & \frac{\gamma_{2,2}}{t_2} & \cdots & \frac{\gamma_{m,2}}{t_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\gamma_{1,r}}{t_1} & \frac{\gamma_{2,r}}{t_2} & \cdots & \frac{\gamma_{m,r}}{t_m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{1,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{1,r} \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{m,1} & \gamma_{m,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,r} \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(1.10)}$$

By showing that the rank of $A$ is $m$, we can derive $m$ contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by $\theta(a)$. Denote the exponent vectors of these $m$ contiguous relations by $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_m$. It can be shown that any exponent vector of $a$ in the expansion of $\theta(a)$ can be represented as a linear combination of $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_m$ with rational coefficients. Then by applying Stanley’s lemma on the fundamental parallelepiped, we see that all the coefficients of $\theta(a)$ can be reduced to a finite number of initial values by using the $m$ recurrence relations derived from these $m$ contiguous relations of $\theta(a)$.

We shall present an algorithmic approach to the verification of multiple theta function identities of the form

$$\theta_{n+1}(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \theta_k(a), \quad \text{(1.11)}$$

where $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)$, $n \geq 1$, each $c_k$ is a nonzero complex number, and for $1 \leq k \leq n + 1$, each $\theta_k(a)$ is of the form (1.3) which is given by

$$\theta_k(a) = a^r \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left[ (-1)^{\delta_{k,i}} a^{\gamma_{i,k}} q^{s_{k,i}} q^{t_{k,i}} \right], \quad \text{(1.12)}$$
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where $\tau_k, \gamma^{(k)}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, $\delta_{k,i} = 0$ or 1, $s_{k,i} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $t_{k,i} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, $\gamma^{(k)}_1, \gamma^{(k)}_2, \ldots, \gamma^{(k)}_m$ are linearly independent, and $\tau_k$ is a linear combination of $\gamma^{(k)}_1, \gamma^{(k)}_2, \ldots, \gamma^{(k)}_m$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Furthermore, we assume that $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_n(a)$ are linearly independent. In fact, this restriction occurs often in the literature, and it can be verified by direct computation. For each $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, let $A_k$ be the matrix associated with $\theta_k(a)$ as defined by (1.10). We show that, if

$$A_1 = A_2 = \cdots = A_{n+1},$$

(1.13)

there are $m$ contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by all of $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a)$, which lead to $m$ recurrence relations on the coefficients of each $\theta_k(a)$. Then, by applying Stanley’s lemma on the fundamental parallelepiped, such an identity can be reduced to a finite number of simpler relations on the coefficients of $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a)$.

Let us use the following example to illustrate the steps to verify (1.11):

$$(a, q/a, -b, -q/b; q)_\infty + (-a, -q/a, b, q/b; q)_\infty = \frac{2(ab, q^2/ab, aq/b, bq/a; q^2)_\infty}{(q; q^2)_\infty^2}. \quad (1.14)$$

This addition formula can be found in Berndt [4, p. 45]. Clearly, the identity (1.14) is of the form (1.11), that is, $r = n = m = 2$, and it can be written as

$$\theta_3 = -\theta_1 + \frac{2}{(q; q^2)_\infty^2} \theta_2,$$

where

$$\theta_1 = (-a, -q/a, b, q/b; q)_\infty,$$

$$\theta_2 = (ab, q^2/ab, aq/b, bq/a; q^2)_\infty,$$

$$\theta_3 = (a, q/a, -b, -q/b; q)_\infty.$$

It is easy to see that $\theta_1, \theta_2$ are linearly independent and $A_1 = A_2 = A_3$, where each $A_i$ is given as (1.10). The identity (1.14) can be proved via the following steps.

**Step 1.** For a fixed $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, let $\theta_k(a)$ be given as (1.12), where $\gamma^{(k)}_1, \gamma^{(k)}_2, \ldots, \gamma^{(k)}_m$ are the exponent vectors in the factors of $\theta_k(a)$. We first show that if $\theta_{n+1}(a)$ satisfies a contiguous relation of the form

$$\theta_{n+1}(aq^x) = \frac{(-1)^{\rho}}{q^s a^\nu},$$

(1.15)

then $\frac{x^{(n+1)}_{\gamma_i}}{t_{n+1,i}}$ are integers for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and

$$\nu^T = A_{n+1} x^T,$$

(1.16)
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where \( aq^x = (a_1q^{x_1}, a_2q^{x_2}, \ldots, a_rq^{x_r}) \), \( \rho = 0 \) or 1, \( s \in \mathbb{Q} \), \( \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^r \) and \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r \) is a nonzero vector. By showing that the rank of the matrix \( A_{n+1} \) is equal to \( m \), the relation (1.16) will lead to \( m \) contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by \( \theta_{n+1}(a) \).

Next, under the assumption that \( A_1 = A_2 = \cdots = A_{n+1} \), it is always possible to multiply \( x \) by a sufficiently large number \( N \) such that \( Nx \cdot \gamma(k)_{i}t_{k,i} \) is an integer for any \( 1 \leq k \leq n + 1 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Consequently, the relation (1.16) leads to a contiguous relation with exponent vector \( N\nu \) satisfied by all the multiple theta functions \( \theta_{1}(a), \theta_{2}(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a) \). This procedure gives \( m \) contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by all the multiple theta functions \( \theta_{1}(a), \theta_{2}(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a) \). We shall use \( W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m\} \) to denote the set of the exponent vectors of these contiguous relations.

For example, for \( \theta_{3} \) in the identity (1.14), we have

\[
A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Choosing \( x \) from

\[ B = \{(1, 0), (1, 1)\}, \]

the relation (1.16) gives two contiguous relations:

\[
\frac{\theta_3(aq, b)}{\theta_3(a, b)} = -\frac{1}{a}, \tag{1.17}
\]

\[
\frac{\theta_3(aq, bq)}{\theta_3(a, b)} = -\frac{1}{ab}. \tag{1.18}
\]

While \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) also satisfy the contiguous relation (1.18), they do not satisfy the contiguous relation (1.17). By replacing \( (1, 0) \) with \( (2, 0) \) in \( B \), we derive the following contiguous relation satisfied by all of \( \theta_1 \), \( \theta_2 \), and \( \theta_3 \):

\[
\frac{\theta_3(aq^2, b)}{\theta_3(a, b)} = \frac{1}{a^2q}. \tag{1.19}
\]

So we obtain two contiguous relations (1.18) and (1.19) with linearly independent exponent vectors that are satisfied by \( \theta_1 \), \( \theta_2 \), and \( \theta_3 \), where \( W = \{w_1, w_2\} = \{(1, 1), (2, 0)\} \).

**Step 2.** From the \( m \) contiguous relations associated with the vectors in \( W \), we can produce \( m \) recurrence relations satisfied by the coefficients of each \( \theta_k(a) \). Note that, for \( 1 \leq k \leq n + 1 \), \( \theta_k(a) \) can be expanded by using Jacobi’s triple product identity (1.8). More precisely, \( \theta_k(a) \) as defined in (1.12) can be expanded as follows:

\[
\theta_k(a) = a^{\tau_k} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left[ (-1)^{\delta_k,i} a^{\gamma^{(k)}_{i};q^{\tau_k,i};q^{\tau_k,i}} \right].
\]
\[ a^{\tau_k} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(q^{\ell_k}; q^{\ell_k})_\infty} \sum_{\ell_i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{(\delta_{k,i}+1)} \ell_i (q^{\gamma^{(k)}_i} q^{s_{k,i}})^{\ell_i} \]  

which can be written as a multiple sum:

\[ \theta_k(a) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^r} h_{k,\eta} a^\eta, \]  

where the sum ranges over the vectors \( \eta \) such that

\[ \eta = \tau_k + \ell_1 \gamma_1^{(k)} + \ell_2 \gamma_2^{(k)} + \cdots + \ell_m \gamma_m^{(k)} \]  

with \( \ell_i \in \mathbb{Z} \) (\( 1 \leq i \leq m \)). By the assumption that \( \tau_k \) is a linear combination of \( \gamma_1^{(k)}, \gamma_2^{(k)}, \ldots, \gamma_m^{(k)} \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \), we see that any \( \eta \) as given by (1.22) can be represented as a linear combination of \( \gamma_1^{(k)}, \gamma_2^{(k)}, \ldots, \gamma_m^{(k)} \) with rational coefficients. For each \( k \), we also show that \( \eta \) can be expressed as a linear combination of \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \) with rational coefficients. Using Stanley’s lemma on the fundamental parallelepiped and the recurrence relations derived from these \( m \) contiguous relations, we find that the coefficients in the expansion of \( \theta_k(a) \) can be reduced to a finite number of initial values with exponent vectors in the set

\[ \Pi_W = \{ \lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 + \cdots + \lambda_m w_m \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i < 1, \ 1 \leq i \leq m \} \cap \mathbb{Z}^r. \]  

For a given set \( W \) consisting of linearly independent integer vectors, we also provide an algorithm to compute \( \Pi_W \).

For example, for the contiguous relations (1.18) and (1.19), we have

\[ W = \{(1, 1), (2, 0)\}\]  

and

\[ \Pi_W = \{ \lambda_1 (1, 1) + \lambda_2 (2, 0) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1 \} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}. \]  

**Step 3.** Assume that \( \Pi_W = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_d\} \). For \( 1 \leq k \leq n + 1 \), let \( h_{k,\beta_i} \) denote the coefficient of \( a^{\beta_i} \) in the expansion (1.21) of \( \theta_k(a) \). Applying the recurrence relations obtained form the \( m \) contiguous relations satisfied by \( \theta_k(a) \) and using Stanley’s lemma, we see that the coefficients \( h_{k,\eta} \) of \( \theta_k(a) \) can be determined by the coefficients of \( a \) with exponent vectors \( \beta_i \in \Pi_W \). Thus the identity (1.11) can be proved by verifying the relations

\[ h_{n+1,\beta_i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k h_{k,\beta_i} \]  

where
for all $\beta_i \in \Pi_W$. For any exponent vector $\eta$ of $a$ in the expansion of $\theta_k(a)$, it can be uniquely expressed in the form (1.22), that is,

$$\eta = \tau_k + \ell_1\gamma_1^{(k)} + \ell_2\gamma_2^{(k)} + \cdots + \ell_m\gamma_m^{(k)},$$

where $\ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the coefficient $h_{k,\eta}$ of $\theta_k(a)$ equals

$$h_{k,\eta} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{(\delta_{k,i}-1)\ell_i}q_{k,i}^{(k)}(\ell_i)+s_{k,i}\ell_i}{(q_{k,i}^{(k)}; q_{k,i}^{(k)})_\infty}.$$  \hfill (1.25)

Thus, to prove (1.11), it suffices to verify (1.24) for all the $\beta_i \in \Pi_W$.

For example, for the addition formula (1.14), we have $\Pi_W = \{(0,0), (1,0)\}$. Let $\beta_1 = (0,0)$ and $\beta_2 = (1,0)$. By Jacobi’s triple product identity (1.8), we have

$$h_{3,\beta_1} = [a^0b^0] \theta_3 = [a^0b^0] (a, q/a; q)_\infty (-b, -q/b; q)_\infty$$

$$= [a^0b^0] \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n)} a^n \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n)} (-b)^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty^2}. \hfill (1.26)$$

Similarly, we find that

$$h_{1,\beta_1} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty^2}, \quad h_{2,\beta_1} = \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^2},$$

and

$$h_{1,\beta_2} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty^2}, \quad h_{2,\beta_2} = 0, \quad h_{3,\beta_2} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty^2}. \hfill (1.27)$$

Thus the relations

$$h_{3,\beta_i} = -h_{1,\beta_i} + \frac{2}{(q; q)_\infty^2}h_{2,\beta_i}$$

hold for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. This proves (1.14).

It should be noted that theta functions satisfying the contiguous relation

$$f(zq^r) = \frac{1}{z^n q^m}$$

with $r, n$ being positive integers and $m$ being a nonnegative integer, form a vector space of dimension $n$ over $\mathbb{C}$. This is a classical result in algebraic geometry (see,
for example, [20, p. 212, Theorem 1]). In fact, for the contiguous relation (1.28), our approach also gives

$$\Pi_W = \{\lambda \cdot n | 0 \leq \lambda < 1\} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}.$$ 

This implies that a theta function satisfying the contiguous relation (1.28) can be determined by the coefficients of $z^0, z^1, \ldots, z^{n-1}$. Thus an identity on such theta functions can be reduced to relations on the coefficients of $z^0, z^1, \ldots, z^{n-1}$. This approach applies to many classical theta function identities, such as Watson’s quintuple product identity [24] and the septuple product identity due to Farkas and Kra [12]. Our method can be seen as the extension of this approach for the verification of identities on theta functions in one variable.

This paper is organized as follows. The objective of Section 2 is to show that for a multiple theta function $\theta(a)$ in the form of (1.3), there exist $m$ contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by $\theta(a)$. In Section 3, applying Stanley’s lemma on the fundamental parallelepiped, we reduce the coefficients of $\theta(a)$ to a finite number of initial values. Section 4 provides a procedure to obtain $m$ contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by all the multiple theta functions in an identity of the form (1.11). Examples are given in Section 5, including the extended Riemann identity and addition formulae on the Jacobi theta functions.

2. Contiguous relations

Assume that $\theta(a)$ is a multiple theta function in the form of (1.3), namely,

$$\theta(a) = a^\tau \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{s=1}^{r} \gamma_i(s) q^{s_i} ; q^{t_i}.$$ 

where $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, $1 \leq m \leq r$, and, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\gamma_i = (\gamma_{i,1}, \gamma_{i,2}, \ldots, \gamma_{i,r}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, $\delta_i = 0$ or 1, $s_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $t_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. We further assume that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m$ are linearly independent and $\tau$ is a linear combination of $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. For the above multiple theta function $\theta(a)$, we define the matrix $A(\theta)$, or simply $A$ if no confusion arises, as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma_{1,1}}{t_1} & \frac{\gamma_{2,1}}{t_2} & \cdots & \frac{\gamma_{m,1}}{t_m} \\ \frac{\gamma_{1,2}}{t_1} & \frac{\gamma_{2,2}}{t_2} & \cdots & \frac{\gamma_{m,2}}{t_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\gamma_{1,r}}{t_1} & \frac{\gamma_{2,r}}{t_2} & \cdots & \frac{\gamma_{m,r}}{t_m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{1,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{1,r} \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{m,1} & \gamma_{m,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

which is an $r \times r$ matrix of rank $m$. 
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In this section, we provide an algorithm to produce \( m \) contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by \( \theta(a) \) which are of the form (1.6), namely,

\[
\frac{\theta(aq^x)}{\theta(a)} = (-1)^\rho q^{\alpha v},
\]

where \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r \), \( aq^x = (a_1q^{x_1}, a_2q^{x_2}, \ldots, a_rq^{x_r}) \), \( \rho = 0 \) or \( 1 \), \( s \in \mathbb{Q} \), and \( v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \). Bear in mind that, for the purpose of this paper, we are only concerned with contiguous relations of \( \theta(a) \) that are of the form (2.2). It can be shown that \( \theta(a) \) satisfies a contiguous relation of the form (2.2) if and only if there exists a vector \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r \) such that \( \frac{x_i}{t} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) and

\[
v^T = Ax^T.
\]  

Under the assumption that \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) are linearly independent, it is easy to see that the rank of \( A \) is \( m \). Using this fact along with the relation (2.3), we can derive \( m \) contiguous relations of \( \theta(a) \) in the form of (2.2) with linearly independent exponent vectors. To this end, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let

\[
f(a) = (a^v, q^t/a^v; q^t)\infty
\]

be a theta function, where \( v \) is an integer and \( t \) is a positive rational number. Assume that \( f(a) \) has a contiguous relation of the form

\[
f(aq^\alpha) = (-1)^\rho q^{\alpha w} f(a),
\]

where \( w \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \rho = 0 \) or \( 1 \) and \( \alpha, u \in \mathbb{Q} \). Then \( v \mid w \) and \( \alpha v \) is an integer multiple of \( t \).

**Proof.** By means of Jacobi’s triple product identity (1.8), \( f(a) \) can be written as

\[
f(a) = \frac{1}{(q^t; q^t)\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n/2)} a^{vn}.
\]

Substituting \( a \) with \( aq^\alpha \) in (2.5), we get an expansion of \( f(aq^\alpha) \). Observing that the powers of \( a \) in the expansions of both sides of (2.4) are all multiples of \( v \), we find that \( v \mid w \). Plugging the expansions of \( f(a) \) and \( f(aq^\alpha) \) into the contiguous relation (2.4) and equating coefficients of \( a^{vn} \), we are led to

\[
(-1)^{\rho+n} q^{(n/2)+\alpha w} = (-1)^{n+w/2} q^{-u},
\]

which gives

\[
t \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) + \alpha v = t \left( \frac{n+w}{2} \right) - u,
\]
and whence
\[ \left( t \frac{w}{v} - \alpha v \right) n + t \left( \frac{w}{2} \right) - u = 0 \]
for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). It follows that \( t \frac{w}{v} = \alpha v \). This completes the proof.

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition on the existence of contiguous relations of the form (2.2) for a given multiple theta function of the form (1.3).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( \theta(a) \) be a multiple theta function in the form of (1.3) and let \( A \) be the \( r \times r \) matrix given by (2.1). Then if \( \theta(a) \) satisfies a contiguous relation of the form
\[
\frac{\theta(aq^x)}{\theta(a)} = \frac{(-1)^\rho}{q^{s \alpha v}},
\]
with \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r \) being a nonzero vector, \( \rho = 0 \) or \( 1 \), \( s \in \mathbb{Q} \), and \( v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \), then \( \frac{x_\gamma_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) and
\[ v^T = Ax^T. \]

Conversely, if there exists a nonzero vector \( x \) such that \( \frac{x_\gamma_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), then (2.7) leads to an integer vector \( v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) \) which corresponds to a contiguous relation of \( \theta(a) \) of the form (2.6).

**Proof.** First, assuming that \( \theta(a) \) satisfies the contiguous relation (2.6), we proceed to show that \( \frac{x_\gamma_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) and the relation (2.7) holds. Recall that
\[
\theta(a) = a^\tau \prod_{i=1}^{m} [(-1)^\delta a^\gamma_i q^{s_i}; q^{t_i}]_\infty,
\]
where \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) are linearly independent and \( \tau \) is a linear combination of \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Substituting the above expression of \( \theta(a) \) into (2.6), we obtain that
\[
\frac{\theta(aq^x)}{\theta(a)} = \frac{a^\tau q^{x_\gamma_i} \prod_{i=1}^{m} [(-1)^\delta a^\gamma_i q^{x_\gamma_i + s_i}; q^{t_i}]_\infty}{a^\tau \prod_{i=1}^{m} [(-1)^\delta a^\gamma_i q^{s_i}; q^{t_i}]_\infty}.
\]
By Lemma 2.1, we find that \( \frac{x_\gamma_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Let \( \ell_i = \frac{x_\gamma_i}{t_i} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Then we have
\[
\frac{\theta(aq^x)}{\theta(a)} = q^{x_\gamma_i \ell_i + s_i} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} [(-1)^\delta a^\gamma_i q^{\ell_i t_i + s_i}; q^{t_i}]_\infty}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} [(-1)^\delta a^\gamma_i q^{s_i}; q^{t_i}]_\infty}.
\]
\[ q^{x \cdot \tau} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{\delta_i \ell_i} q^{\ell_i s_i \ell_i \ell_i t_{a_i \gamma_i}}}{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell_i s_i \ell_i t_{a_i \gamma_i} - x \cdot \tau a_i \gamma_1 + \ell_2 \gamma_2 + \cdots + \ell_m \gamma_m}}. \]  

(2.8)

Comparing the above expression with (2.6), we get

\[ v = \ell_1 \gamma_1 + \ell_2 \gamma_2 + \cdots + \ell_m \gamma_m \]

\[ = \frac{x \cdot \gamma_1}{t_1} + \frac{x \cdot \gamma_2}{t_2} + \cdots + \frac{x \cdot \gamma_m}{t_m}, \]

which can be rewritten in the form of (2.7).

Conversely, if there exists a nonzero vector \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r \) such that \( \frac{x \cdot \gamma_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), then it follows from (2.7) that

\[ v^T = Ax^T \]

\[ = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{1,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{1,r} \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{m,1} & \gamma_{m,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_m \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ = \frac{x \cdot \gamma_1}{t_1} \gamma_1^T + \frac{x \cdot \gamma_2}{t_2} \gamma_2^T + \cdots + \frac{x \cdot \gamma_m}{t_m} \gamma_m^T. \]

Under the assumption that \( \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r \) and \( \frac{x \cdot \gamma_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), we see that \( v \in \mathbb{Z}^r \). Following the procedure as given in (2.8), we deduce that \( \theta(a) \) satisfies a contiguous relation of the form (2.6) with exponent vector \( v \). This completes the proof.

As an example, let us consider the multiple theta function as given by (1.5), namely,

\[ \theta(a, b, c, d) = (ab, q/ab; q)_\infty (ab, bq/a; q)_\infty (cd, q/cd; q)_\infty (c/d, qd/c; q)_\infty. \]

So we have \( \gamma_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0) \), \( \gamma_2 = (1, -1, 0, 0) \), \( \gamma_3 = (0, 0, 1, 1) \), \( \gamma_4 = (0, 0, 1, -1) \) and
\[ t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 1, \text{ which leads to } \]
\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \] (2.9)

By the above theorem we see that, for each nonzero rational vector \( x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \) such that \( \frac{x_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq 4 \), there is a contiguous relation of \( \theta(a) \) in the form
\[ \frac{\theta(a q^{x_1}, b q^{x_2}, c q^{x_3}, d q^{x_4})}{\theta(a, b, c, d)} = \frac{(-1)^q}{q^s a^{v_1} b^{v_2} c^{v_3} d^{v_4}}, \] (2.10)
where \( \rho = 0 \) or \( 1 \), \( s \in \mathbb{Q} \), and \( v = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \) is an integer vector such that
\[ v^T = Ax^T. \] (2.11)

For example, \( x = (1, 0, 0, 0) \) is a feasible vector subject to the above conditions. By (2.11), we have \( v = (2, 0, 0, 0) \), which leads to the following contiguous relation:
\[ \frac{\theta(a q, b, c, d)}{\theta(a, b, c, d)} = \frac{1}{a^2}. \] (2.12)

In general, the following theorem shows that it is possible to construct \( m \) contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by a multiple theta function of the form (1.3).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( \theta(a) \) be a multiple theta function of the form (1.3) and \( A \) be the matrix given by (2.1). Then there are \( m \) contiguous relations of the form (2.2) with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by \( \theta(a) \).

To prove the above theorem, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( \theta(a) \) be a multiple theta function of the form (1.3) and let \( A \) be the matrix given by (2.1). Then the rank of \( A \) is \( m \).

**Proof.** Given the expression (1.3) of \( \theta(a) \), define
\[ B = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{2,1} & \ldots & \gamma_{m,1} \\ \gamma_{1,2} & \gamma_{2,2} & \ldots & \gamma_{m,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{1,r} & \gamma_{2,r} & \ldots & \gamma_{m,r} \end{pmatrix} \] (2.13)
\[ C = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{1,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{1,r} \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{m,1} & \gamma_{m,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,r} \end{pmatrix} \]  

(2.14)

so that \( A = BC \). We follow the common notation \( r(D) \) to stand for the rank of a matrix \( D \). Since \( 1 \leq m \leq r \), under the assumption that \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) are linearly independent, we see that \( r(B) = r(C) = m \). Consequently, \( r(A) \leq \min\{r(B), r(C)\} = m \). By Sylvester’s inequality, we deduce that \( r(A) \geq r(B) + r(C) - m = m \), and whence \( r(A) = m \). This completes the proof.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.3.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** By Theorem 2.2, we see that from a vector \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r \) such that \( \frac{x_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), one can obtain a contiguous relation of \( \theta(a) \) in the form of (2.2) with an integer exponent vector \( v \) satisfying

\[ v^T = Ax^T. \]  

(2.15)

Next, we show that (2.15) gives \( m \) contiguous relations of \( \theta(a) \) if we choose \( x \) out of a set of \( r \) linearly independent vectors in \( \mathbb{Q}^r \). To this end, we assume that \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_r \) are linearly independent vectors in \( \mathbb{Q}^r \). Moreover, we may assume without loss of generality that \( \frac{\mu_k \cdot \gamma_i}{t_i} \) is an integer for each \( k \) and \( i \).

For each \( 1 \leq k \leq r \), since \( \frac{\mu_k \cdot \gamma_i}{t_i} \) are integers for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), by Theorem 2.2 we find that

\[ v_k^T = A \mu_k^T \]  

(2.16)

is an integer vector which leads to a contiguous relations of \( \theta(a) \) in the form of (2.2).

It remains to show that there are \( m \) linearly independent vectors among \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r \). Let \( C \) be the \( r \times r \) matrix formed by the column vectors \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r \), and let \( D \) be the \( r \times r \) matrix formed by the column vectors \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_r \). It follows from (2.16) that \( C = AD \). Since \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_r \) are linearly independent, that is, \( D \) is invertible, we deduce that \( r(C) = r(A) = m \). This means that one can choose \( m \) linearly independent vectors out of \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r \). Hence there are \( m \) contiguous relations of \( \theta(a) \) with linearly independent exponent vectors. This completes the proof.

For example, for the matrix \( A \) as given by (2.9), we have \( r(A) = 4 \). Take four linearly independent vectors \( \mu_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0), \mu_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0), \mu_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0), \) and \( \mu_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1) \). Now, \( \frac{\mu_k \cdot \gamma_i}{t_i} \) are already integers for all \( k \) and \( i \). As shown before, \( \mu_1 \)
leads to the contiguous relation (2.12). Applying (2.11) to \( \mu_2, \mu_3, \) and \( \mu_4, \) we are led to the following three contiguous relations of \( \theta(a, b, c, d): \)

\[
\frac{\theta(a, bq, c, d)}{\theta(a, b, c, d)} = \frac{1}{b^2 q}, \\
\frac{\theta(a, b, cq, d)}{\theta(a, b, c, d)} = \frac{1}{c^2}, \\
\frac{\theta(a, b, c, dq)}{\theta(a, b, c, d)} = \frac{1}{d^2 q}.
\]

These four contiguous relations have linearly independent exponent vectors: \((2, 0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0, 0), (0, 0, 2, 0), \) and \((0, 0, 0, 2). \)

Denote by \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \) the \( m \) linearly independent vectors among \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r \) as given by Theorem 2.3, which correspond to \( m \) contiguous relations of \( \theta(a) \) with linearly independent exponent vectors. The following theorem shows that any exponent vector in the expansion of \( \theta(a) \) can be represented as a linear combination of \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \) with rational coefficients.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( \theta(a) \) be a multiple theta function of the form (1.3), which can be expanded as follows by applying Jacobi's triple product identity,

\[
\theta(a) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^r} h_\eta a^\eta,
\]

where

\[
\eta = \tau + \ell_1 \gamma_1 + \ell_2 \gamma_2 + \cdots + \ell_m \gamma_m
\]

with \( \ell_i \in \mathbb{Z} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m. \) Then any \( \eta \) in the expansion (2.17) can be represented as a linear combination of \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \) with rational coefficients.

**Proof.** Recall that in the definition of \( \theta(a), \) \( \tau \) is a linear combination of \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) over \( \mathbb{Q}. \) Thus any \( \eta \) in the expansion (2.17) can be represented as a linear combination of \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) with rational coefficients. Suppose that

\[
\eta = k_1 \gamma_1 + k_2 \gamma_2 + \cdots + k_m \gamma_m,
\]

where \( k_i \in \mathbb{Q}. \)

To show that \( \eta \) can be represented as a linear combination of \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \) with rational coefficients, let us consider the following system of linear equations in the
variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r \) and \( \varepsilon \):

\[
\begin{aligned}
&x \cdot \gamma_1 - \varepsilon k_1 t_1 = 0, \\
x \cdot \gamma_2 - \varepsilon k_2 t_2 = 0, \\
\vdots \\
x \cdot \gamma_m - \varepsilon k_m t_m = 0,
\end{aligned}
\]  

(2.19)

where \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \). We claim that there is a solution such that \( x \in Q^r \) and \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \).

Let \( M \) denote the coefficient matrix of (2.19), that is,

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{1,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{1,r} & -k_1 t_1 \\
\gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{2,r} & -k_2 t_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\gamma_{m,1} & \gamma_{m,2} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,r} & -k_m t_m
\end{pmatrix}_{m \times (r+1)}
\]

Then (2.19) can be expressed as \( M (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, \varepsilon)^T = 0 \). Since \( 1 \leq m \leq r \) and \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) are linearly independent, we have \( r(M) = m \). Thus the solution space \( P \) of (2.19) in variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, \varepsilon \) has dimension \( r + 1 - m \).

Consider another system of linear equations

(2.20)

Let \( Q \) denote the vector space of solutions \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \) of (2.20). Let \( Q' \) be the vector space obtained from \( Q \) by substituting every vector \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in Q \) with \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, 0) \). Since \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) are linearly independent, we see that \( \dim Q' = \dim Q = r - m \). Clearly, any solution \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \) of (2.20) gives rise to a solution \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, 0) \) of (2.19), which means that \( Q' \) is a subspace of \( P \). Since \( \dim Q' < \dim P \), there exists a solution \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, \varepsilon) \in P \setminus Q' \) such that \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \).

If \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, \varepsilon) \) is a solution of (2.19), so is an integer multiple of \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, \varepsilon) \). Consequently, we may assume without loss of generality that \( \varepsilon k_i \) are integers for all \( i \), and hence

\[
\frac{\theta(a_1 q^{x_1}, a_2 q^{x_2}, \ldots, a_r q^{x_r})}{\theta(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)} = q^{r \tau} \prod_{i=1}^m \left[ \frac{(-1)\delta_i a_1^{\gamma_{i,1}} a_2^{\gamma_{i,2}} \cdots a_r^{\gamma_{i,r}} q^{k_i t_i + s_i} q^{t_i}}{(-1)\delta_i a_1^{\gamma_{i,1}} a_2^{\gamma_{i,2}} \cdots a_r^{\gamma_{i,r}} q^{s_i} q^{t_i}} \right]_{\infty}
\]
\[ q^{x \cdot \tau} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{(\delta_i+1)k_i}}{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i k_i + (\varepsilon_i^2)t_i} (a_{i,1}^{\gamma_{i,1}} a_{i,2}^{\gamma_{i,2}} \cdots a_{i,r}^{\gamma_{i,r}})^{\varepsilon_i k_i}} \]

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\delta_i+1)k_i}}{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i k_i + (\varepsilon_i^2)t_i} a^{x(k_1 \gamma_1 + k_2 \gamma_2 + \cdots + k_m \gamma_m)}} \]

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\delta_i+1)k_i}}{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i k_i + (\varepsilon_i^2)t_i} a^{\varepsilon k_i}}. \quad (2.21) \]

By Theorem 2.2, it follows that \((\varepsilon \eta)^T = A x^T\), where the matrix \(A\) is given by (2.1). Following the proof of Theorem 2.3, by the assumption that \(\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_r\) are linearly independent over \(\mathbb{Q}^r\), we see that

\[ x = c_1 \mu_1 + c_2 \mu_2 + \cdots + c_r \mu_r, \]

where \(c_i \in \mathbb{Q}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq r\), or equivalently

\[ x^T = c_1 \mu_1^T + c_2 \mu_2^T + \cdots + c_r \mu_r^T. \]

It follows that

\[ (\varepsilon \eta)^T = c_1 A \mu_1^T + c_2 A \mu_2^T + \cdots + c_r A \mu_r^T \]

\[ = c_1 \nu_1^T + c_2 \nu_2^T + \cdots + c_r \nu_r^T, \]

where \(\nu_k^T = A \mu_k^T\) as given by (2.16). Since \(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m\) are the \(m\) linearly independent vectors among \(\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r\), we deduce that \(\varepsilon \eta\) can be represented as a linear combination of \(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m\) with rational coefficients, so can \(\eta\) since \(\varepsilon \neq 0\). This completes the proof. \(\blacksquare\)

### 3. Stanley’s lemma and the initial coefficients

In this section, we apply Stanley’s lemma on the fundamental parallelepiped to reduce the coefficients of a multiple theta function in the form of (1.3) to a finite number of initial values.

Recall that a multiple theta function \(\theta(a)\) in the form of (1.3) can be expanded as

\[ \theta(a) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^r} h_\eta a^{\eta}, \]

where

\[ \eta = \tau + \ell_1 \gamma_1 + \ell_2 \gamma_2 + \cdots + \ell_m \gamma_m \]
with \( \ell_i \in \mathbb{Z} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \).

By Theorem 2.3, we can find \( m \) contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by \( \theta(a) \). Denote the exponent vectors of these \( m \) contiguous relations by \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \). According to the contiguous relation with exponent vector \( w_i \), there is a recurrence relation on the coefficients of \( \theta(a) \) in the form

\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{\delta_i} q^{\varphi_i(\eta)} h_{\eta-w_i}, \tag{3.1}
\]

where \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), \( \delta_i = 0 \) or \( 1 \), and \( \varphi_i(\eta) \in \mathbb{Q} \) which is related to \( \eta \). Substituting \( \eta \) by \( \eta + w_i \), the above recurrence relation can be rewritten as

\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{\delta_i} q^{\varphi_i(\eta+w_i)} h_{\eta+w_i}, \tag{3.2}
\]

Let \( b_i \) be a positive integer for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). By iterating recurrence relation (3.1) \( b_i \) times, we obtain

\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{b_i\delta_i} q^{\zeta_i(b_i)} h_{\eta-b_iw_i}, \tag{3.3}
\]

where \( \zeta_i(b_i) \in \mathbb{Q} \) which is related to \( b_i \). On the other hand, iterating (3.2) \( b_i \) times, we are led to

\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{b_i\delta_i} q^{\zeta_i(-b_i)} h_{\eta+b_iw_i}.
\]

Thus (3.3) holds for all integers \( b_i \). For \( i \) from 1 to \( m \), by iterating the recurrence relations (3.3), we obtain that the \( m \) recurrence relations associated with \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \) can be condensed as follows:

\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{\delta} q^s h_{\eta-b_1w_1-\cdots-b_mw_m}, \tag{3.4}
\]

where \( \delta = 0 \) or \( 1 \), and \( s \in \mathbb{Q} \). Using Theorem 2.5, we find that \( \eta \) can be uniquely expressed as

\[
\eta = g_1w_1 + g_2w_2 + \cdots + g_mw_m, \tag{3.5}
\]

where \( g_i \in \mathbb{Q} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Applying Stanley’s lemma with \( b_i = \lfloor g_i \rfloor \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), we see that \( \eta - b_1w_1 - \cdots - b_mw_m \) falls into a finite set of initial values. Thus the coefficients of \( \theta(a) \) can be determined by a finite set of initial values.

For example, let

\[
\theta(a) = (a_1a_2, q^2/a_1a_2, a_1q/a_2, a_2q/a_1; q^2)_\infty,
\]

where \( a = (a_1, a_2) \). The procedure in Theorem 2.3 generates the following two contiguous relations:

\[
\frac{\theta(a_1q, a_2q)}{\theta(a_1, a_2)} = -\frac{1}{a_1a_2}, \tag{3.6}
\]

18
\[
\frac{\theta(a_1 q^2, a_2)}{\theta(a_1, a_2)} = \frac{1}{a_1^2 q},
\]
(3.7)

where the exponent vectors \( w_1 = (1, 1) \) and \( w_2 = (2, 0) \) are linearly independent. Let

\[
\theta(a) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^2} h_{\eta} a^{\eta},
\]

where \( \eta \) ranges over linear combinations of the vectors \( \gamma_1 = (1, 1) \) and \( \gamma_2 = (1, -1) \) with integer coefficients. The contiguous relation (3.6) leads to the recurrence relation

\[
h_{\eta} = -q^{\eta \cdot w_1 - 2} h_{\eta - w_1}.
\]
(3.8)

Replacing \( \eta \) by \( \eta + w_1 \), (3.8) can be rewritten as

\[
h_{\eta} = -q^{-(\eta + w_1) \cdot w_1 + 2} h_{\eta + w_1}.
\]
(3.9)

Let \( b_1 \) be a positive integer. By iterating recurrence relation (3.8) \( b_1 \) times, we obtain

\[
h_{\eta} = (-1)^{b_1} q^{b_1 \eta \cdot w_1 - \left(\frac{b_1}{2}\right) w_1 \cdot w_1 - 2b_1} h_{\eta - b_1 w_1}.
\]
(3.10)

On the other hand, iterating (3.9) \( b_1 \) times, we get

\[
h_{\eta} = (-1)^{b_1} q^{-b_1 \eta \cdot w_1 - \left(\frac{b_1 + 1}{2}\right) w_1 \cdot w_1 + 2b_1} h_{\eta + b_1 w_1}.
\]
(3.11)

Comparing (3.10) and (3.11), we conclude that (3.10) holds for all integers \( b_1 \).

Similarly, the contiguous relation (3.7) implies that

\[
h_{\eta} = q^{b_2 \eta \cdot w_2 - \left(\frac{b_2 + 1}{2}\right) w_2 \cdot w_2 + b_2} h_{\eta - b_2 w_2},
\]
(3.12)

where \( b_2 \) is an integer. Replacing \( \eta \) by \( \eta - b_1 w_1 \) in (3.12) and combining it with (3.10), we deduce that

\[
h_{\eta} = (-1)^{b_1} q^{b_1 \eta \cdot w_1 - \left(\frac{b_1}{2}\right) w_1 \cdot w_1 - 2b_1} h_{\eta - b_1 w_1}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{b_1} q^{\eta \cdot (b_1 w_1 + b_2 w_2) - \left(\frac{b_1}{2}\right) w_1 \cdot w_1 - \left(\frac{b_2 + 1}{2}\right) w_2 \cdot w_2 - b_1 b_2 w_1 \cdot w_2 - 2b_1 + b_2} h_{\eta - b_1 w_1 - b_2 w_2},
\]
(3.13)

where \( b_1, b_2 \) are integers. By Theorem 2.5, we see that \( \eta \) can be represented as a linear combination of \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) with rational coefficients. Let

\[
\eta = g_1(1, 1) + g_2(2, 0),
\]
(3.14)

so that \( g_1 \) and \( 2g_2 \) are integers. Using the recurrence relation (3.13) with \( b_1 = g_1 \) and \( b_2 = \lfloor g_2 \rfloor \), we see that \( h_{\eta} \) can be reduced to the coefficient with the following exponent vector:

\[
\eta - b_1 w_1 - b_2 w_2 = g_1(1, 1) + g_2(2, 0) - b_1(1, 1) - b_2(2, 0)
\]

\[ (2g_2 - 2\lfloor g_2 \rfloor, 0), \tag{3.15} \]

which equals \((0, 0)\) or \((1, 0)\) since \(2g_2\) is an integer.

The above reduction of the coefficients of \(\theta(a)\) turns out to be the same procedure as given by Stanley's lemma on the fundamental parallelepiped. A fundamental parallelepiped is defined to be the area generated by linearly independent integer vectors \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\) with coefficients belonging to \([0,1)\), that is,

\[ \Pi = \{ \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \cdots + \lambda_d v_d \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i < 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d \}. \]

In the study of nonnegative integer solutions of linear homogeneous diophantine equations, Stanley [23, Lemma 4.5.7 (i)] showed that each element of a simplicial monoid \(F\) can be determined by an integer point in the fundamental parallelepiped \(\Pi\). Recall that a simplicial monoid with quasigenerators \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\) is defined to be the set of integer vectors which can be represented as linear combinations of \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\) with rational coefficients, namely,

\[ F = \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid \gamma = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \cdots + c_d v_d, \ c_i \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d \}. \tag{3.16} \]

**Lemma 3.1** (Stanley's lemma). Let \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\) be linearly independent integer vectors of dimension \(r\) over \(\mathbb{Q}\) and let \(F\) be the simplicial monoid with quasigenerators \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\). Then every element \(\gamma \in F\) can be expressed uniquely in the form

\[ \gamma = \beta + b_1 v_1 + b_2 v_2 + \cdots + b_d v_d, \tag{3.17} \]

where \(\beta \in \Pi \cap \mathbb{Z}^r\) and \(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_d\) are integers. Conversely, any vector \(\gamma\) in the form of (3.17) belongs to \(F\).

For example, any integer vector \(\eta\) in the form of (3.14) belongs to the following simplicial monoid:

\[ F = \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \gamma = c_1 (1, 1) + c_2 (2, 0), \ c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \}. \]

Thus, by Stanley’s lemma, any vector \(\eta \in F\) can be written as

\[ \gamma = \beta + b_1 (1, 1) + b_2 (2, 0), \]

where \(b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\), \(\beta \in \Pi \cap \mathbb{Z}^2\), and the fundamental parallelepiped \(\Pi\) is given by

\[ \Pi = \{ \lambda_1 (1, 1) + \lambda_2 (2, 0) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1 \}. \]

It is easy to see that \(\Pi \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}\), which is the set of the initial values as given by (3.15).

By Stanley’s lemma, we have the following algorithm.
Theorem 3.2. Let \( \theta(a) \) be a multiple theta function in the form of (1.3). Assume that \( \theta(a) \) has \( m \) contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \). Then any coefficient \( h_\eta \) in the expansion (2.17) of \( \theta(a) \) can be determined by the recurrence relations derived from the \( m \) contiguous relations combined with one of the initial values in the set

\[
H_\theta = \{ h_{\beta_1}, h_{\beta_2}, \ldots, h_{\beta_d} \}, \tag{3.18}
\]

where \( d = |\Pi_W| \), \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_d \in \Pi_W \), and

\[
\Pi_W = \{ \lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 + \cdots + \lambda_m w_m \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i < 1, \ 1 \leq i \leq m \} \cap \mathbb{Z}^r. \tag{3.19}
\]

Proof. Applying Jacobi’s triple product identity (1.8) to the factors of \( \theta(a) \) in (1.3), we get

\[
f_i(a) = \left[ \frac{1}{(q^i; q^i)_\infty} \sum_{k_i=\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{(1+\delta_i)k_i} q^{t_i(k_i^2) + s_i k_i a k_i} \right]. \tag{3.20}
\]

Hence

\[
\theta(a) = a^\tau \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(q^i; q^i)_\infty} \sum_{k_i=\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{(1+\delta_i)k_i} q^{t_i(k_i^2) + s_i k_i a k_i}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \left( \sum_{(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{(1+\delta_i)k_i} q^{t_i(k_i^2) + s_i k_i}}{(q^i; q^i)_\infty} \right) a^\eta, \tag{3.21}
\]

where \( \eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_r) \) and the inner sum ranges over \( (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \) such that

\[
\eta = \tau + k_1 \gamma_1 + k_2 \gamma_2 + \cdots + k_m \gamma_m. \tag{3.22}
\]

Comparing (2.17) and (3.21), we obtain that

\[
h_\eta = \sum_{(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{(1+\delta_i)k_i} q^{t_i(k_i^2) + s_i k_i}}{(q^i; q^i)_\infty}, \tag{3.23}
\]

where the sum ranges over \( (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \) such that the relation (3.22) holds. Since \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) are linearly independent, we see that for a given exponent vector \( \eta \), there is a unique vector \( (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \) that satisfies (3.22). By the assumption that \( \tau \) is a linear combination of \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \), it follows from (3.22) that \( \eta \) is a linear combination of \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_m \) with rational coefficients.
By Theorem 2.5, we see that \( \eta \) can be expressed as
\[
\eta = g_1 w_1 + g_2 w_2 + \cdots + g_m w_m,
\] (3.24)
where \( g_i \in \mathbb{Q} \). On the other hand, Stanley’s lemma yields
\[
\eta = \beta + b_1 w_1 + b_2 w_2 + \cdots + b_m w_m,
\] (3.25)
where \( \beta \in \Pi_W \) and \( b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \) are integers.

Using the above expressions of \( \eta \), we shall establish recurrence relations on the coefficients of \( \theta(a) \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), assume that \( w_i \) corresponds to the following contiguous relation of \( \theta(a) \):
\[
\theta(aq^{\alpha_i}) = (-1)^{b_i} q^{u_i} a^{w_i} \theta(aq^{\alpha_i}),
\] (3.26)
where \( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}^r \). Rewriting (3.26) as
\[
\theta(a) = (-1)^{b_i} q^{-u_i-\alpha_i \cdot \eta} a^{w_i} \theta(aq^{\alpha_i})
\]
and equating the coefficients on both sides, we obtain that, for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \),
\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{b_i} q^{u_i+\alpha_i \cdot (\eta-w_i)} h_{\eta-w_i}.
\] (3.27)
Replacing \( \eta \) by \( \eta + w_i \) in (3.27) gives that, for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \),
\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{b_i} q^{-w_i-\alpha_i \cdot \eta} h_{\eta+w_i}.
\] (3.28)
Let \( b_i \) be a positive integer for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Iterating (3.27) \( b_i \) times, we obtain
\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{b_i} q^{-b_i u_i-b_i \alpha_i \cdot \eta-\left(b_i+1\right) \alpha_i \cdot w_i} h_{\eta-b_i w_i}.
\] (3.29)
On the other hand, iterating (3.28) \( b_i \) times, we arrive at
\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{b_i} q^{-b_i u_i-b_i \alpha_i \cdot \eta-\left(b_i\right) \alpha_i \cdot w_i} h_{\eta+b_i w_i}.
\] (3.30)
Thus (3.29) holds for all integers \( b_i \).

Employing the recurrence relations (3.29) repeatedly for \( i = 1 \) to \( m \), we find that
\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \delta_i} q^{\sum_{i=1}^m \left(b_i u_i-\left(b_i+1\right) \alpha_i \cdot w_i+b_i \left(\alpha_i \cdot \eta-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_j \alpha_i \cdot w_j\right)\right)} h_{\eta-b_1 u_1-\cdots-b_m w_m}.
\] (3.31)
Now, applying (3.31) with \( b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m \) being determined by Stanley’s lemma as given in (3.25), we deduce that
\[
h_\eta = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \delta_i} q^{\sum_{i=1}^m \left(b_i u_i-\left(b_i+1\right) \alpha_i \cdot w_i+b_i \left(\alpha_i \cdot \beta+b_i \alpha_i \cdot w_i+\sum_{j=i+1}^m b_j \alpha_i \cdot w_j\right)\right)} h_\beta.
\]
\[ = (-1)\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i \delta_i q \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{\alpha_i w_i}{2} b_i^2 - \frac{\alpha_i w_i}{2} b_i + b_i u_i + b_i (\alpha_i \beta + \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} b_j \alpha_j w_j) \right) h_{\beta}, \quad (3.32) \]

where \( \beta \) belongs to \( \Pi_W \). Clearly, \( \Pi_W \) is finite. Thus any coefficient \( h_{\eta} \) in the expansion of \( \theta(a) \) can be reduced to a coefficient \( h_{\beta} \) with \( \beta \in \Pi_W \). This completes the proof. 

For the fundamental parallelepiped \( \Pi_W \) of the linearly independent integer vectors in \( W = \{ w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \} \), as pointed out by Stanley [23], \( \Pi_W \) is a finite set, since it is contained in the intersection of the discrete set \( F \) as defined in (3.16) with the bounded set of all the vectors \( \lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 + \cdots + \lambda_m w_m \in \mathbb{Q}^r \) with \( 0 \leq \lambda_i < 1 \). In fact, \( \Pi_W \) can be determined by the following procedure.

Let \( w_i = (w_{i,1}, w_{i,2}, \ldots, w_{i,r}) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). First, we find two vectors \( c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r) \) and \( d = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r) \) such that for any \( v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) \) in \( \Pi_W \), we have

\[ c_j \leq v_j \leq d_j, \quad (3.33) \]

where \( 1 \leq j \leq r \). To define \( c_j \) and \( d_j \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq r \), we assume that the entries \( w_{1,j}, w_{2,j}, \ldots, w_{m,j} \) can be rearranged as follows:

\[ w_{1,j}' \leq w_{2,j}' \leq \cdots \leq w_{s,j}' \leq 0 \leq w_{s+1,j}' \leq \cdots \leq w_{m,j}', \]

where \( 0 \leq s \leq m \) and we set \( w_{s,j}' = w_{m+1,j}' = 0 \). Let

\[ c_j = \min\{w_{1,j}' + w_{2,j}' + \cdots + w_{s,j}' + 1, 0\}, \]
\[ d_j = \max\{w_{s+1,j}' + w_{s+2,j}' + \cdots + w_{m,j}' - 1, 0\}, \]

and let

\[ V = \{(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r | c_j \leq v_j \leq d_j, 1 \leq j \leq r \}. \]

Obviously, \( \Pi_W \subseteq V \). For any \( v \in V \), consider the following system of linear equations in \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \):

\[ x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 + \cdots + x_m w_m = v. \quad (3.34) \]

Since \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \) are linearly independent, (3.34) either has no solution or has a unique solution. If \( 0 \leq x_i < 1 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), then \( v \in \Pi_W \); otherwise, \( v \not\in \Pi_W \).

Note that there are various ways to check whether a system of linear equations (3.34) has a solution \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \) with \( 0 \leq x_i < 1 \) for each \( i \), such as Collins’ cylindrical algebraic decomposition (CAD) algorithm [8, 15] and integer linear programming [19, Sections 12.2 and 13.4].
4. Contiguous relations for multiple theta function identities

In this section, we are concerned with identities on multiple theta function identities of the form (1.11), namely,

$$\theta_{n+1}(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \theta_k(a),$$

where each $c_k$ is a nonzero constant and, for $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, $\theta_k(a)$ is given by (1.12).

Furthermore, we assume that $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a)$ are linearly independent. Indeed, it is not difficult to check the linear independence by direct computation. However, as will be seen, for the purpose of verifying an identity, this step may be skipped.

For $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, denote by $A_k$ the $r \times r$ matrix associated with $\theta_k(a)$ as given in (2.1), namely,

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1}^{(k)} & \gamma_{2,1}^{(k)} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,1}^{(k)} \\ \gamma_{1,2}^{(k)} & \gamma_{2,2}^{(k)} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,2}^{(k)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{1,r}^{(k)} & \gamma_{2,r}^{(k)} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,r}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1}^{(k)} & \gamma_{1,2}^{(k)} & \cdots & \gamma_{1,r}^{(k)} \\ \gamma_{2,1}^{(k)} & \gamma_{2,2}^{(k)} & \cdots & \gamma_{2,r}^{(k)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{m,1}^{(k)} & \gamma_{m,2}^{(k)} & \cdots & \gamma_{m,r}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the identity (4.1), it is often the case that

$$A_1 = A_2 = \cdots = A_{n+1},$$

which ensures that there exist $m$ contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by all the multiple theta functions in the identity (4.1).

**Theorem 4.1.** If a multiple theta function identity of the form (4.1) satisfies that $A_1 = A_2 = \cdots = A_{n+1}$, then there exist $m$ contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by all the multiple theta functions $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a)$.

**Proof.** First, by Theorem 2.3 we see that there are $m$ contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by $\theta_{n+1}(a)$. We proceed to show that, from a contiguous relation of $\theta_{n+1}(a)$ with exponent vector $\nu$, one can construct a contiguous relation satisfied by all of $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a)$ with exponent vector $N\nu$ for some positive integer $N$. This leads to $m$ contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by all the multiple theta functions $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a)$.
Assume that we have the following contiguous relation for $\theta_{n+1}(a)$:

$$\frac{\theta_{n+1}(aq)}{\theta_{n+1}(a)} = \frac{(-1)^{\delta}}{q^{s}a^{\nu}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Q}^r$ is nonzero, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_r$, $\delta = 0$ or $1$, and $s \in \mathbb{Q}$. By Theorem 2.2, this contiguous relation of $\theta_{n+1}(a)$ implies that $\frac{x_{\gamma_{n+1}^{(n+1)}}}{t_{n+1,i}}$ are integers for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and

$$\nu^T = A_{n+1}x^T.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

We now proceed to construct a contiguous relation with the exponent vector being a multiple of $\nu$ that is satisfied by all the multiple theta functions $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_{n+1}(a)$. To this end, we first determine a positive integer $N$ such that

$$\frac{Nx \cdot \gamma_{1}^{(k)}}{t_{k,1}}, \frac{Nx \cdot \gamma_{2}^{(k)}}{t_{k,2}}, \ldots, \frac{Nx \cdot \gamma_{m}^{(k)}}{t_{k,m}}$$

are integers for $1 \leq k \leq n + 1$. Clearly, $\theta_{n+1}(a)$ satisfies the following contiguous relation:

$$\frac{\theta_{n+1}(aq^{N_x})}{\theta_{n+1}(a)} = \frac{(-1)^{\rho}}{q^{u}a^{N\nu}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

where $\rho = 0$ or $1$, and $u \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then we shall show that all the multiple theta functions $\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_n(a)$ also satisfy the contiguous relation (4.7).

Consider a multiple theta function $\theta_k(a)$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$. We claim that $\theta_k(a)$ satisfies a contiguous relation with exponent vector $N\nu$. We further prove that this contiguous relation is indeed the same as (4.7). From the relation (4.5), we directly see that

$$(N\nu)^T = A_{n+1}(Nx)^T.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

Then, by the assumption that $A_1 = A_2 = \cdots = A_{n+1}$, we have that

$$(N\nu)^T = A_k(Nx)^T.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

Since $\frac{Nx \cdot \gamma_{i}^{(k)}}{t_{k,i}}$ is an integer for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, by Theorem 2.2, the above condition ensures that there exists a contiguous relation of $\theta_k(a)$ of the following form:

$$\frac{\theta_k(aq^{N_x})}{\theta_k(a)} = \frac{(-1)^{\rho_k}}{q^{u_k}a^{N\nu}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

where $\rho_k = 0$ or $1$, and $u_k \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Next we show that if the identity (4.1) holds, then the numbers $(-1)^{\rho_k}$ and $q^{u_k}$ can be uniquely determined. As a result, the contiguous relation (4.9) satisfied by $\theta_k(a)$
turns out to be exactly the same as the contiguous (4.7) satisfied by \( \theta_{n+1}(a) \). In doing so, let \( d = \frac{(-1)^{\rho}}{q^n} \) and \( d_k = \frac{(-1)^{\rho_k}}{q_{n_k}} \). Then the contiguous relations (4.7) and (4.9) can be written as

\[
\frac{\theta_{n+1}(aq^{N_x})}{\theta_{n+1}(a)} = \frac{d}{a^{N\nu}}, \tag{4.10}
\]

and, for \( 1 \leq k \leq n \),

\[
\frac{\theta_k(aq^{N_x})}{\theta_k(a)} = \frac{d_k}{a^{N\nu}}. \tag{4.11}
\]

Substituting \( a \) with \( aq^{N_x} \) in (4.1), we get

\[
\theta_{n+1}(aq^{N_x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \theta_k(aq^{N_x}).
\]

Applying (4.10) and (4.11) for \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), we find that

\[
\frac{d}{a^{N\nu}} \theta_{n+1}(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \frac{d_k}{a^{N\nu}} \theta_k(a),
\]

which simplifies to

\[
d \theta_{n+1}(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k d_k \theta_k(a).
\]

Invoking the identity (4.1), we deduce that

\[
d \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \theta_k(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k d_k \theta_k(a),
\]

so that

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k (d - d_k) \theta_k(a) = 0.
\]

Since \( \theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_n(a) \) are linearly independent and \( c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n \) are all nonzero, we conclude that

\[
d = d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n.
\]

Therefore \( \rho_k = \rho, \) and \( u_k = u \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq n \). This implies that all of \( \theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_n(a) \) satisfy the contiguous relation (4.7), and hence the proof is complete. \( \blacksquare \)

We remark that when we attempt to prove an identity in the form of (4.1), we do not have to verify the linear independence of \( \theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_n(a) \) as the first step. Instead, we may try to derive the contiguous relations (4.11) for \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), and one should expect that \( d = d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n \). If it is indeed the case, then we may skip the step of justifying the linear independence of \( \theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \ldots, \theta_n(a) \).
5. Examples

In this section, we give examples to demonstrate how to prove multiple theta function identities by using our approach.

As the first example, let us consider Riemann’s addition formula (see Krattenthaler [16]). Weierstrass showed that it is equivalent to an identity on sigma functions (see Whittaker and Watson [25, p. 451]). Addition formulas for theta functions play an important role in the theory of elliptic functions. More examples can be found in [4, 21, 25].

Example 5.1. We have

\[
\theta(xy)\theta(x/y)\theta(uv)\theta(u/v) - \theta(xv)\theta(x/v)\theta(uy)\theta(u/y) = \frac{u}{y}\theta(y/v)\theta(xu)\theta(x/u).
\]

(5.1)

Proof. Denote the multiple theta functions in (5.1) by \(\theta_1, \theta_2, \) and \(\theta_3,\) namely,

\[
\theta_1 = (xy, q/xy, x/y, qy/x, uv, q/uv, u/v, qv/u; q)_{\infty},
\]

\[
\theta_2 = (xv, q/xv, x/v, qv/x, uy, q/uy, u/y, qy/u; q)_{\infty},
\]

\[
\theta_3 = \frac{u}{y}(yv, q/yv, y/v, qv/y, xu, q/xu, x/u, qu/x; q)_{\infty}.
\]

Then (5.1) can be written as

\[
\theta_3 = \theta_1 - \theta_2.
\]

(5.2)

By the definition (4.2), for each \(\theta_k,\)

\[
A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Choosing the vector \(x\) from

\[
B = \{(1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)\}
\]

and applying Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we find that \(\theta_1\) satisfies the following four contiguous relations:

\[
\frac{\theta_1(xq, y, u, v)}{\theta_1(x, y, u, v)} = \frac{1}{x^2}.
\]
\[
\frac{\theta_1(x, yq, u, v)}{\theta_1(x, y, u, v)} = \frac{1}{y^2q},
\]
\[
\frac{\theta_1(x, yq, u)}{\theta_1(x, y, u)} = \frac{1}{u^2},
\]
\[
\frac{\theta_1(x, y, u, v)}{\theta_1(x, y, u, vq)} = \frac{1}{v^2q}. \tag{5.3}
\]

It can be easily checked that \(\theta_2\) and \(\theta_3\) also satisfy the above contiguous relations. Now, we have
\[
W = \{(2, 0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0, 0), (0, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 0, 2)\}
\]
and
\[
\Pi_W = \{\lambda_1(2, 0, 0, 0) + \lambda_2(0, 2, 0, 0) + \lambda_3(0, 0, 2, 0) + \lambda_4(0, 0, 0, 2) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i < 1, 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cap \mathbb{Z}
\]
\[
= \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mid a_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, 1 \leq i \leq 4\}.
\]

Because of the symmetries of the parameters \(x, y, u,\) and \(v,\) we have only to show that identity (5.1) holds for the terms with exponent vectors in
\[
\Pi'_W = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}. \tag{5.4}
\]

Denote the vectors in \(\Pi'_W\) by \(\beta_1 = (0, 0, 0, 0),\) \(\beta_2 = (1, 0, 0, 0),\) \(\beta_3 = (1, 1, 0, 0),\) \(\beta_4 = (1, 1, 1, 0),\) and \(\beta_5 = (1, 1, 1, 1).\) Then to prove the identity (5.1), it is sufficient to verify the relations
\[
h_{3, \beta_i} = h_{1, \beta_i} - h_{2, \beta_i}, \tag{5.5}
\]
for \(1 \leq i \leq 5.\) Consider the case \(k = 1\) and \(i = 1.\) In this case, \(h_{1, \beta_1} = [x^0 y^0 u^0 v^0] \theta_1(a).\) By Jacobi’s triple product identity (1.8), we have
\[
[x^0 y^0 u^0 v^0] \theta_1(a) = [x^0 y^0 u^0 v^0] (xy, q/xy; q)_{\infty} (x/y, qy/x; q)_{\infty} (uv, q/uv; q)_{\infty} (u/v, qv/u; q)_{\infty}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(q; q)^4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q_{(2)}^n (xy)^n \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q_{(2)}^n (x/y)^n
\]
\[
\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q_{(2)}^n (uv)^n \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q_{(2)}^n (u/v)^n
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(q; q)^4} \sum_{(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4} (-1)^{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4} q_{(2)}^{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4+\binom{n_1}{2}+\binom{n_2}{2}+\binom{n_3}{2}+\binom{n_4}{2}}, \tag{5.6}
\]
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where the summation in (5.6) ranges over integer vectors \((n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  n_1 + n_2 = 0, \\
  n_1 - n_2 = 0, \\
  n_3 + n_4 = 0, \\
  n_3 - n_4 = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Solving the above equations, we get

\[
h_{1, \beta_1} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}^4}.
\]

Similarly, we find that

\[
h_{2, \beta_1} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}^4}, \quad h_{3, \beta_1} = 0,
\]

and hence relation (5.5) holds for \(i = 1\). For \(i = 2, 3, 4, 5\), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
  h_{1, \beta_2} &= 0, & h_{2, \beta_2} &= 0, & h_{3, \beta_2} &= 0, \\
  h_{1, \beta_3} &= \frac{-1}{(q; q)_{\infty}^4}, & h_{2, \beta_3} &= 0, & h_{3, \beta_3} &= \frac{-1}{(q; q)_{\infty}^4}, \\
  h_{1, \beta_4} &= 0, & h_{2, \beta_4} &= 0, & h_{3, \beta_4} &= 0, \\
  h_{1, \beta_5} &= \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}^4}, & h_{2, \beta_5} &= \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}^4}, & h_{3, \beta_5} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus (5.5) is true for \(1 \leq i \leq 5\). This proves (5.1).

The next example is concerned with the extended Riemann identity on theta functions due to Malekar and Bhate [18, Theorem 3.1].

**Example 5.2.** We have

\[
\begin{align*}
4qxyuv[-q^2x^2, -q^2y^2, -q^2u^2, -q^2v^2; q^2]_{\infty} &+ 4q^2xy[-q^2x^2, -q^2y^2, -qu^2, -qv^2; q^2]_{\infty} \\
&+ 4q^2uv[-qx^2, -qy^2, -qu^2, -qv^2; q^2]_{\infty} + 4[-qx^2, -qy^2, -qu^2, -qv^2; q^2]_{\infty} \\
&= \frac{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}})_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^4} \left([-q^{\frac{1}{2}}x, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}y, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}u, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}v; q^2]_{\infty} + [q^{\frac{1}{2}}x, q^{\frac{1}{2}}y, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}u, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}v; q^2]_{\infty} \\
&+ [-q^{\frac{1}{2}}x, -q^{\frac{1}{2}}y, q^{\frac{1}{2}}u, q^{\frac{1}{2}}v; q^2]_{\infty} + [q^{\frac{1}{2}}x, q^{\frac{1}{2}}y, q^{\frac{1}{2}}u, q^{\frac{1}{2}}v; q^2]_{\infty}\right).
\end{align*}
\]  
(5.7)
Proof. Denote the multiple theta functions in (5.7) by \( \theta_k(x, y, u, v) \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq 8 \), namely,

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 &= xyw[-q^2 x^2, -q^2 y^2, -q^2 u^2, -q^2 v^2; q^2], \\
\theta_2 &= xy[-q^2 x^2, -q^2 y^2, -qu^2, -qv^2; q^2], \\
\theta_3 &= uv[-qx^2, -qy^2, -q^2 u^2, -q^2 v^2; q^2], \\
\theta_4 &= [-qx^2, -qy^2, -qu^2, -qv^2; q^2], \\
\theta_5 &= [-q^2 x, -q^2 y, -q^2 u, -q^2 v; q^2], \\
\theta_6 &= [q^2 x, q^2 y, -q^2 u, -q^2 v; q^2], \\
\theta_7 &= [-q^2 x, -q^2 y, q^2 u, q^2 v; q^2], \\
\theta_8 &= [q^2 x, q^2 y, q^2 u, q^2 v; q^2].
\end{align*}
\]

Hence (5.7) takes the form:

\[
\theta_8(x, y, u, v) = \sum_{k=1}^{7} c_k \theta_k(x, y, u, v), \tag{5.8}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
c_1 &= 4q \left( \frac{q^2; q^2}{q^2; q^2} \right)_{\infty}^4, \\
c_2 &= c_3 = 4q^2 \left( \frac{q^2; q^2}{q^2; q^2} \right)_{\infty}^4, \\
c_4 &= 4q \left( \frac{q^2; q^2}{q^2; q^2} \right)_{\infty}^4, \\
c_5 &= c_6 = 4q^2 \left( \frac{q^2; q^2}{q^2; q^2} \right)_{\infty}^4, \\
c_7 &= c = c_7 = -1.
\end{align*}
\]

We find that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\theta_k(xq, y, u, v)}{\theta_k(x, y, u, v)} &= \frac{1}{x^2q}, \\
\frac{\theta_k(x, yq, u, v)}{\theta_k(x, y, u, v)} &= \frac{1}{y^2q}, \\
\frac{\theta_k(x, y, uq, v)}{\theta_k(x, y, u, v)} &= \frac{1}{u^2q}, \\
\frac{\theta_k(x, y, u, vq)}{\theta_k(x, y, u, v)} &= \frac{1}{v^2q}
\end{align*}
\]

for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, 8 \). The set of exponent vectors of the above contiguous relations is

\[ W = \{(2, 0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0, 0), (0, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 0, 2)\}. \]

The fundamental parallelepiped of \( W \) equals

\[
\Pi_W = \left\{ \lambda_1(2, 0, 0, 0) + \lambda_2(0, 2, 0, 0) + \lambda_3(0, 0, 2, 0)
\right. \\
+ \lambda_4(0, 0, 0, 2) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i < 1, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \right\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^4
\]

\[
= \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mid a_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \}.
\]

In view of the symmetries of \( x, y, u, \) and \( v \), the coefficients of \( \theta_k(x, y, u, v) \) are determined by the coefficients with exponent vectors in

\[
\Pi'_W = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}.
\]

Thus (5.8) can be reduced to equalities on the coefficients with exponent vectors in \( \Pi'_W \). In fact, for each vector in \( \Pi'_W \), the required equality immediately follows from
Jacobi's triple product identity. For instance, consider the coefficients with exponent vector \((0, 0, 0, 0)\). By Jacobi’s triple product identity, we see that

\[
[x^0 y^0 u^0 v^0] \theta_8(x, y, u, v) = \frac{1}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}})_\infty^4}
\]

and

\[
[x^0 y^0 u^0 v^0] \sum_{k=1}^{7} c_k \theta_k(x, y, u, v) = [x^0 y^0 u^0 v^0] \sum_{k=1}^{7} c_k \theta_k(x, y, u, v)
\]

\[
= \frac{4}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}})_\infty^4} - \frac{1}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}})_\infty^4} - \frac{1}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}})_\infty^4} - \frac{1}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}})_\infty^4}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}})_\infty^4}.
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
[x^1 y^0 u^0 v^0] \theta_8(x, y, u, v) = [x^1 y^0 u^1 v^0] \sum_{k=1}^{7} c_k \theta_k(x, y, u, v) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^{\frac{1}{2}})_\infty^4}.
\]

This completes the proof.

We now look at an addition formula on Jacobi theta functions due to Whittaker and Watson [25, Chapter XXI]. Recall that the four classical Jacobi theta functions are defined by

\[
\vartheta_1(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} q^{(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{(2n+1)iz},
\]

\[
\vartheta_2(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{(2n+1)iz},
\]

\[
\vartheta_3(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2} e^{2niz},
\]

\[
\vartheta_4(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n^2} e^{2niz}.
\]

**Example 5.3.** We have

\[
\vartheta_1(w)\vartheta_1(x)\vartheta_1(y)\vartheta_1(z) + \vartheta_2(w)\vartheta_2(x)\vartheta_2(y)\vartheta_2(z)
\]
\[ \vartheta_1(w') \vartheta_1(x') \vartheta_1(y') \vartheta_1(z') + \vartheta_2(w') \vartheta_2(x') \vartheta_2(y') \vartheta_2(z'), \quad (5.9) \]

where

\[ \begin{align*}
2w' &= -w + x + y + z, \\
2x' &= w - x + y + z, \\
2y' &= w + x - y + z, \\
2z' &= w + x + y - z. 
\end{align*} \quad (5.10) \]

**Proof.** By substituting \( e^{iw}, e^{ix}, e^{iy}, e^{iz} \) with \( w, x, y, z \), respectively, and then replacing \( q^2 \) by \( q \), the identity (5.9) becomes

\[ \begin{align*}
[w^2q, x^2q, y^2q, z^2q; q]_\infty + [-w^2q, -x^2q, -y^2q, -z^2q; q]_\infty \\
= [qxyz/w, qwyz/x, qwxz/y, qwxy/z; q]_\infty \\
+ [-qxyz/w, -qwyz/x, -qwxz/y, -qwxy/z; q]_\infty.
\end{align*} \quad (5.11) \]

Let

\[ \begin{align*}
\theta_1(w, x, y, z) &= [w^2q, x^2q, y^2q, z^2q; q]_\infty, \\
\theta_2(w, x, y, z) &= [-w^2q, -x^2q, -y^2q, -z^2q; q]_\infty, \\
\theta_3(w, x, y, z) &= [qxyz/w, qwyz/x, qwxz/y, qwxy/z; q]_\infty, \\
\theta_4(w, x, y, z) &= [-qxyz/w, -qwyz/x, -qwxz/y, -qwxy/z; q]_\infty.
\end{align*} \]

Then (5.11) can be written as

\[ \theta_4(w, x, y, z) = \theta_1(w, x, y, z) + \theta_2(w, x, y, z) - \theta_3(w, x, y, z). \quad (5.12) \]

Invoking Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we obtain that, for \( 1 \leq k \leq 4 \),

\[ \begin{align*}
\theta_k(wq^{\frac{1}{2}}, xq^{\frac{1}{2}}, y, z) &= \frac{1}{w^2x^2q^2}, \\
\theta_k(wq^{\frac{1}{2}}, x, yq^{\frac{1}{2}}, z) &= \frac{1}{w^2y^2q^2}, \\
\theta_k(wq^{\frac{1}{2}}, x, y, zq^{\frac{1}{2}}) &= \frac{1}{w^2z^2q^2}, \\
\theta_k(w, xq^{\frac{1}{2}}, yq^{\frac{1}{2}}, z) &= \frac{1}{x^2y^2q^2}.
\end{align*} \]

The set of exponent vectors of the above contiguous relations is

\[ W = \{(2, 2, 0, 0), (2, 0, 2, 0), (2, 0, 0, 2), (0, 2, 2, 0)\}. \]
The fundamental parallelepiped of $W$ is given by

$$\Pi_W = \{\lambda_1(2, 2, 0, 0) + \lambda_2(2, 0, 2, 0) + \lambda_3(2, 0, 0, 2) + \lambda_4(0, 2, 2, 0)$$

$$\mid 0 \leq \lambda_i < 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$$

$$= \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1, 0), (2, 1, 1, 0),$$

$$(2, 1, 0, 1), (1, 2, 1, 0), (2, 0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1), (3, 1, 1, 1),$$

$$(2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2, 0), (2, 1, 2, 1), (3, 2, 2, 1), (1, 1, 1, 0), (2, 2, 1, 0),$$

$$(2, 1, 2, 0), (2, 1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 2, 0), (3, 2, 2, 0), (3, 2, 1, 1), (2, 3, 2, 0),$$

$$(3, 1, 2, 1), (2, 2, 3, 0), (2, 2, 2, 1), (4, 2, 2, 1), (3, 3, 2, 1), (3, 3, 3, 0),$$

$$(3, 2, 3, 1), (4, 3, 3, 1)\}.$$

Since any nonzero term of identity (5.11) has even powers in $w, x, y,$ and $z$, (5.12) can be further reduced to

$$\Pi'_W = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (2, 2, 2, 0)\}.$$

By Jacobi’s triple product identity, we obtain that

$$[w^0x^0y^0z^0]\theta_1 = 1/(q; q)_4^4,$$

$$[w^2x^2y^2z^0]\theta_1 = -q^3/(q; q)_4^4,$$

$$[w^0x^0y^0z^0]\theta_2 = 1/(q; q)_4^4,$$

$$[w^2x^2y^2z^0]\theta_2 = q^3/(q; q)_4^4,$$

$$[w^0x^0y^0z^0]\theta_3 = 1/(q; q)_4^4,$$

$$[w^2x^2y^2z^0]\theta_3 = 0,$$

$$[w^0x^0y^0z^0]\theta_4 = 1/(q; q)_4^4,$$

$$[w^2x^2y^2z^0]\theta_4 = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Let us turn to another addition formula on Jacobi theta functions (see Whittaker and Watson [25, p. 468]).

**Example 5.4.** We have

$$2\vartheta_3(w)\vartheta_3(x)\vartheta_3(y)\vartheta_3(z) = -\vartheta_1(w')\vartheta_1(x')\vartheta_1(y')\vartheta_1(z') + \vartheta_2(w')\vartheta_2(x')\vartheta_2(y')\vartheta_2(z')$$

$$+ \vartheta_3(w')\vartheta_3(x')\vartheta_3(y')\vartheta_3(z') + \vartheta_4(w')\vartheta_4(x')\vartheta_4(y')\vartheta_4(z'),$$

(5.13)

where $w', x', y', z'$ are given by (5.10).

**Proof.** Substituting $e^{iw}, e^{ix}, e^{iy}, e^{iz}$ with $w, x, y, z,$ respectively, we may rewrite (5.13) as follows:

$$2[−qw^2, −qx^2, −qy^2, −qz^2; q]_4^4$$
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\[
= -qwxyz[q^2xyz/w, q^2wyz/x, q^2wxz/y, q^2wxy/z; q^2]_\infty \\
+ qwxyz[-q^2xyz/w, -q^2wyz/x, -q^2wxz/y, -q^2wxy/z; q^2]_\infty \\
+ [-qxyz/w, -qwy/z, -qxz/y, -qxy/z; q^2]_\infty \\
+ [qxyz/w, qwyz/x, qwzx/y, qwxy/z; q^2]_\infty.
\] (5.14)

Let
\[
\theta_1(w, x, y, z) = wxyz[q^2xyz/w, q^2wyz/x, q^2wxz/y, q^2wxy/z; q^2]_\infty, \\
\theta_2(w, x, y, z) = wxyz[-q^2xyz/w, -q^2wyz/x, -q^2wxz/y, -q^2wxy/z; q^2]_\infty, \\
\theta_3(w, x, y, z) = [-qxyz/w, -qwy/z, -qxz/y, -qxy/z; q^2]_\infty, \\
\theta_4(w, x, y, z) = [qxyz/w, qwyz/x, qwzx/y, qwxy/z; q^2]_\infty, \\
\theta_5(w, x, y, z) = [-qw^2, -qx^2, -qy^2, -qz^2; q^2]_\infty,
\]

then (5.14) becomes
\[
\theta_5(w, x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(-q\theta_1(w, x, y, z) + q\theta_2(w, x, y, z) + \theta_3(w, x, y, z) + \theta_4(w, x, y, z)).
\] (5.15)

Applying the procedures given in the proofs of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, it can be checked that, for \(1 \leq k \leq 5\),
\[
\frac{\theta_k(wq, xq, yq, zq)}{\theta_k(w, x, y, z)} = \frac{1}{w^2x^2q^2}, \\
\frac{\theta_k(wq, x, yq, z)}{\theta_k(w, x, y, z)} = \frac{1}{w^2y^2q^2}, \\
\frac{\theta_k(wq, x, y, zq)}{\theta_k(w, x, y, z)} = \frac{1}{w^2z^2q^2}, \\
\frac{\theta_k(w, xq, yq, z)}{\theta_k(w, x, y, z)} = \frac{1}{y^2z^2q^2}.
\]

The set of exponent vectors of the above contiguous relations is
\[
W = \{(2, 2, 0, 0), (2, 0, 2, 0), (2, 0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 2, 2)\}
\]
and the fundamental parallelepiped of \(W\) equals
\[
\Pi_W = \{\lambda_1(2, 2, 0, 0) + \lambda_2(2, 0, 2, 0) + \lambda_3(2, 0, 0, 2) + \lambda_4(0, 0, 2, 2) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i < 1, 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^4 \\
= \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 0), (2, 1, 0, 1), (2, 0, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 0), (1, 2, 0, 1), (1, 1, 2, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1)\},
\]
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These two relations are just consequences of Jacobi’s triple product identity, and so further reduced to equalities on the coefficients with exponent vectors in 

\[ \Pi_w = \{ (0,0,0,0), (2,0,2,2) \}. \]

Noting that (5.14) contains terms only with even powers in \( w,x,y,z \), (5.15) can be further reduced to equalities on the coefficients with exponent vectors in 

\[ (1,1,1,1), (2,0,1,1), (1,0,2,1), (1,0,1,2), (3,1,1,1), (2,1,1,2), (2,1,2,1), (2,0,2,2), (3,1,2,2), (1,0,1,1), (2,1,1,1), (2,0,2,1), (2,0,1,2), (1,0,2,2), (3,1,2,1), (3,1,1,2), (2,1,2,2), (3,0,2,2), (2,0,3,2), (2,0,2,3), (4,1,2,2), (3,1,2,3), (3,1,3,2), (3,0,3,3), (4,1,3,3) \}.

To conclude this paper, we give an example showing that the idea of our approach does not necessarily restrict to identities on multiple theta functions in the form of (1.3). Let us consider the following identity:

\[
\vartheta_1(y + z) \vartheta_1(y - z) \vartheta_4^2 = \vartheta_3^2(y) \vartheta_2^2(z) - \vartheta_2^2(y) \vartheta_3^2(z), \tag{5.16}
\]

where \( \vartheta_4 = \vartheta_4(0) \) (see Whittaker and Watson [25]).

**Proof.** Substituting \( e^{iy} \) and \( e^{iz} \) with \( \sqrt{y} \) and \( \sqrt{z} \), respectively, (5.16) can be rewritten as

\[
(q; q^2)^4_{\infty} y[q^2 yz, q^2 y/z; q^2]_{\infty} = -z[-qy, -q^2 z; q^2]_{\infty}^2 + y[-q^2 y, -qz; q^2]_{\infty}^2. \tag{5.17}
\]

Let

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1(y, z) &= z[-qy, -q^2 z; q^2]_{\infty}^2, \\
\theta_2(y, z) &= y[-q^2 y, -qz; q^2]_{\infty}^2, \\
\theta_3(y, z) &= y[q^2 yz, q^2 y/z; q^2]_{\infty}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then (5.17) can be written as

\[
\theta_3(y, z) = \frac{1}{(q; q^2)^4_{\infty}} \left( -\theta_1(y, z) + \theta_2(y, z) \right).
\]

Even though our approach do not apply to the multiple theta functions \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \), it is still possible to find two contiguous relations with linearly independent exponent vectors satisfied by \( \theta_1 \), \( \theta_2 \), and \( \theta_3 \). It can be easily checked that, for \( 1 \leq k \leq 3 \),

\[
\frac{\theta_k(qy^2, z)}{\theta_k(y, z)} = \frac{1}{y^2 q^2}.
\]
\[
\theta_k(y, zq^2) = \frac{1}{z^2q^2}. \tag{5.18}
\]

Therefore, Stanley’s lemma can be used to reduce the coefficients of \( \theta_k \) to a finite number of initial coefficients based on the contiguous relations in (5.18). The set of exponent vectors of the two contiguous relations is

\[
W = \{(2, 0), (0, 2)\}
\]

and the fundamental parallelepiped of \( W \) is given by

\[
\Pi_W = \{\lambda_1(2, 0) + \lambda_2(0, 2) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2
\]

\[
= \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}.
\]

Because of the symmetry of \( y \) and \( z \), \( \Pi_W \) can be further reduced to

\[
\Pi'_W = \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}.
\]

By Jacobi’s triple product identity, we obtain that

\[
[y^0z^0]_1 = \frac{2(-q^2; q^2)^2_\infty(q^4; q^4)_\infty^2}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^4}, \quad [y^0z^0]_2 = \frac{2(-q^2; q^2)^2_\infty(q^4; q^4)^2_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^4},
\]

\[
[y^0z^0]_3 = 0, \quad [y^1z^0]_1 = \frac{4q(-q^4; q^4)^4_\infty(q^4; q^4)^2_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^4},
\]

\[
[y^1z^0]_2 = \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^2}, \quad [y^1z^0]_3 = \frac{2q(-q^2; q^2)^2_\infty(q^4; q^4)^2_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^4},
\]

\[
[y^1z^1]_1 = 0, \quad [y^1z^1]_2 = \frac{2q(-q^2; q^2)^2_\infty(q^4; q^4)^2_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^4}, \quad [y^1z^1]_3 = 0.
\]

The equality of the coefficients of \( y^1z^0 \) simplifies to Ewell’s identity [10, eq. (2.3)]

\[
(-q^2; q^4)^4_\infty - 4q(-q^4; q^4)^4_\infty = (q^2; q^4)^2_\infty(q^2; q^4)^2_\infty.
\]

Thus the proof of (5.16) is complete.

\[\square\]
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