

A Grammatical Calculus for the Ramanujan Polynomials

William Y.C. Chen¹, Amy M. Fu² and Elena L. Wang³

^{1,3}Center for Applied Mathematics, KL-AAGDM

Tianjin University

Tianjin 300072, P.R. China

²School of Mathematics

Shanghai University of Finance and Economics

Shanghai 200433, P.R. China

Emails: ¹chenyc@tju.edu.cn, ²fu.mei@mail.shufe.edu.cn, ³ling_wang2000@tju.edu.cn

Dedicated to Professor Krishnaswami Alladi for His Leadship and Friendship

Abstract

As remarked by Berndt, no combinatorial perspective seems to be alluded in the original definition of the Ramanujan polynomials. On a different scene, a recursive algorithm to generate rooted trees has been devised independently by Shor and Dumont-Ramamonjisoa. Zeng discovered the connection between the Ramanujan polynomials and the enumeration of rooted trees by number of improper edges. We present a proper labeling scheme for rooted trees by employing an extra label. Harnessed by this grammar, we develop a calculus heavily depending on the constant properties for the Ramanujan polynomials. From the grammatical formulation, we recover the defining equation of Ramanujan on an implicit function. So the two themes of Ramanujan converge to one combinatorial structure. Moreover, we provide a grammatical treatment of a bijection behind the recursion independently due to Shor and Berndt-Evans-Wilson.

Key words: Ramanujan polynomials, grammatical calculus, rooted trees, improper edges.

AMS subject classification: 05A05, 05A15.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with a grammatical treatment of the Ramanujan polynomials. For integers $1 \leq k \leq r+1$, the Ramanujan polynomials $\psi_k(r, x)$ are defined by the following relation [1]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+k)^{r+k} e^{-u(x+k)} u^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} \frac{\psi_k(r, x)}{(1-u)^{r+k}}, \quad (1.1)$$

from which it follows the recurrence relation:

$$\psi_k(r+1, x) = (x-1)\psi_k(r, x-1) + \psi_{k-1}(r+1, x) - \psi_{k-1}(r+1, x-1), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\psi_1(0, x) = 1$, $\psi_0(r, x) = 0$ and $\psi_k(r, x) = 0$ for $k > r+1$. Ramanujan showed that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{r+1} \psi_k(r, x) = x^r. \quad (1.3)$$

Berndt, Evans and Wilson [1, 2] obtained another recurrence relation

$$\psi_k(r, x) = (x-r-k+1)\psi_k(r-1, x) + (r+k-2)\psi_{k-1}(r-1, x). \quad (1.4)$$

As observed by Zeng [16], the polynomials $\psi_k(r, x)$ are linked to the insertion algorithm of Shor [14] and Dumont-Ramamonjisoa [10] based on the notion of improper edges of a rooted tree. Throughout this paper, for $n \geq 1$, write $[n]$ for $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Given a rooted tree T on $[n]$, an edge of T is represented by a pair (i, j) of vertices with j being a child of i . We say that (i, j) is improper if there exists a descendant of j that is smaller than i , bearing in mind that any vertex of T is considered as a descendant of itself; otherwise, (i, j) is called a proper edge.

As for the underlying combinatorial structures for the Ramanujan polynomials, we use $\mathcal{R}_{n,k}$ to denote the set of rooted trees on $[n]$ with k improper edges, and let $R(n, k)$ denote the number of rooted trees in $\mathcal{R}_{n,k}$. Meanwhile, we use $\mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}$ to denote the set of rooted trees on $[n+1]$ with root 1 with k improper edges, and $T(n, k)$ to denote the cardinality of $\mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}$.

It is somewhat intriguing that Dumont-Ramamonjisoa did not start with the polynomials $\psi_k(r, x)$. Instead, they developed a combinatorial approach to the expansions of an

implicit function also defined by Ramanujan. Without being precise, the polynomials involving the number of improper edges have been referred to as the Ramanujan polynomials.

The Ramanujan polynomials $R_n(u)$ and $T_n(u)$ are defined by

$$R_n(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R(n, k) u^k,$$

$$T_n(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(n, k) u^k.$$

The generating functions of $R_n(u)$ and $T_n(u)$ are defined by

$$R(u, t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} R_n(u) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

$$T(u, t) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} T_n(u) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

The numbers $R(n, k)$ and $T(n, k)$ are listed as sequences A054589 and A217922 in OEIS. For example, we have the first few values:

$$R_1(u) = 1,$$

$$R_2(u) = 1 + u,$$

$$R_3(u) = 2 + 4u + 3u^2,$$

$$R_4(u) = 6 + 18u + 25u^2 + 15u^3,$$

$$R_5(u) = 24 + 96u + 190u^2 + 210u^3 + 105u^4,$$

$$R_6(u) = 120 + 600u + 1526u^2 + 2380u^3 + 2205u^4 + 945u^5.$$

The first few values of $T_n(u)$ are given below:

$$T_0(u) = 1,$$

$$T_1(u) = 1,$$

$$T_2(u) = 2 + u,$$

$$T_3(u) = 6 + 7u + 3u^2,$$

$$T_4(u) = 24 + 46u + 40u^2 + 15u^3,$$

$$T_5(u) = 120 + 326u + 430u^2 + 315u^3 + 105u^4.$$

As revealed by Dumont-Ramamonjisoa, the Ramanujan polynomials originated from the expansions of an implicit function defined by Ramanujan. Assume that y is a formal power series without constant term defined by the equation

$$x = ye^{-y} + \frac{a-1}{a}(e^{-y} - 1). \quad (1.5)$$

Ramanujan studied the expansion of $\frac{a}{1-ay}$ in x . Moreover, Dumont and Ramamonjisoa considered the expansions of y and e^y in x , which turn out to be associated with the Ramanujan numbers $R(n, k)$ and $T(n, k)$, namely,

$$y = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R(n, k) a^{n+k} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

$$e^y = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(n, k) a^{n+k} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Given the above expansions, it is not hard to deduce the functional equations for $R(u, t)$ and $T(u, t)$ from the equation (1.5). As will be seen, the Ramanujan polynomials $\psi_k(r, x)$ can be expressed as a combinatorial polynomial $Q_{n, k}(x)$ as defined below. Indeed, they can also be traced back to the Ramanujan equation (1.5).

Zeng [16] discovered the following relation

$$Q_{n, k}(x) = \psi_{k+1}(n-1, x+n), \quad (1.6)$$

where $Q_{n, k}(x)$ arises in the enumeration of rooted trees by the number of improper edges. Shor [14] found a combinatorial interpretation of $Q_{n, k}(x)$ when x is a positive integer, whereas Dumont and Ramamonijsa [10] investigated the numbers $Q_{n, k}(-1)$, $Q_{n, k}(0)$ and $Q_{n, k}(1)$.

As proved by Dumont and Ramamonjisoa [10], for $n > k \geq 0$, $Q_{n, k}(0)$ equals the number of rooted trees on $[n]$ with k improper edges, i.e., $Q_{n, k}(0) = R(n, k)$, and $Q_{n, k}(1)$ equals the number of rooted trees with root 1 on $[n+1]$ with k improper edges, i.e., $Q_{n, k}(1) = T(n, k)$. They also gave a combinatorial interpretation for $Q_{n, k}(-1)$ in terms of functions from $[n-1]$ to $[n-1]$, which is connected to the interpretation of the number

of rooted trees on $[n]$ with k improper edges with the vertex 1 being a leaf, see [16]. Another interpretation of $Q_{n,k}(-1)$ has been given by Wang and Zhou [15] in terms of refined orbifold Euler characteristics of the moduli space of stable curves of genus 0. Zeng [16] obtained the following interpretations of $Q_{n,k}(x)$:

$$Q_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}} x^{\deg_T(1)-1}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$Q_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{R}_{n,k}} (x+1)^{\deg_T(1)}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\deg_T(i)$ denotes the degree of i in T . The degree of a vertex i in a rooted tree T is defined to be the number of children of i .

We begin with an updated version of the grammar of Dumont and Ramamonijisa by employing an extra label. This labeling scheme is proper in the sense that the labels are in one-to-one correspondence with the operations in recursive construction of rooted trees based on the features of the edges, that is, depending on whether an edge is proper or improper. Then we develop a grammatical calculus in order to compute the generating functions $R(u, t)$ and $T(u, t)$.

It turns out that the constant properties of a grammar play a crucial role in computation. As will be seen, by means of the differential operator representation of a grammar, Maple can be helpful in solving partial differential equations in order to generate constants and higher order constants with respect to a grammar. By carrying out the grammatical calculus, we obtain functional equations for $R(u, t)$ and $T(u, t)$, which reduce to the classical equation of Pólya. They are also in accordance with the defining equation of the implicit function as studied by Dumont-Ramamonjisoa.

Our grammatical approach is valid to deal with the generating function of $Q_{n,k}(x)$. A function equation related to the generating function of $Q_{n,k}(x)$ has been derived by Zeng, which can be transformed into the defining equation of Ramanujan of the above implicit function in (1.5).

The last section deals with a recurrence relation for $Q_{n,k}(x)$, independently due to Shor and Berndt-Evans-Wilson. Shor asked for a combinatorial proof. A rather involved bijection was given in [7], and a simpler proof was obtained by [11]. A grammatical approach by computing generating functions has been given in [8]. We shall turn the bijection in

[7] into a grammatical identity, and then shall provide an inductive treatment. In theory, one may convert each step of the inductive procedure into a combinatorial argument so that one may arrive at a justification which may be considered semi-combinatorial. Overall, a simple bijection is still in demand.

2 A proper labeling scheme for rooted trees

We give a labeling scheme for rooted trees (or planted rooted trees) in connection with the recursive construction independently due to Shor [14] and Dumont-Ramamonjisoa [10], see also [13].

From this labeling scheme, it can be seen that the labels should be understood as indicators to reflect the cases of the recursive construction of the combinatorial structure. Of course, the labels can be associated with components of a combinatorial structure, such as edges and vertices of a tree, or blocks of a partition. Nevertheless, a label can be considered as a symbol for a specific mathematical meaning. In general, such an understanding of a labeling scheme may be instrumental in converting a recursive construction into a grammar.

Assume that $n \geq 2$ and that we are given a rooted tree on $[n-1]$. A rooted tree on $[n]$ can be regarded as a planted tree on $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ for which 0 is the root, and the root has only one child. There are three cases in the construction of Shor and Dumont-Ramamonjisoa.

1. For any vertex i , n may be added as a child of i . A new edge is formed, and a new vertex is formed. If we use z to label a vertex, and v a new edge. Then we get a rule:

$$z \rightarrow z(vz).$$

The labels v and z in parentheses are meant to be the labels for the new vertex and the new edge (which is proper). The operation in this case is called a z -insertion.

2. For any edge (either proper or improper), when n is added, a new edge is created, which is improper. So we should consider v as a label for every edge. Moreover, if an edge is improper, we should use an additional label u to mark an improper edge,




Figure 1: A z -insertion.

because in Case 3 only improper edges are selected for the operation of inserting n . Notice that a new vertex is created. This case is captured by the rule:

$$v \rightarrow v(uvz).$$

The operation in this case is called a v -insertion. This operation does not change the degree of the existing nodes.




Figure 2: A v -insertion.

3. Each improper edge can be used to add n . In this case, an improper edge is created. Since a new vertex is created, we should use the rule:

$$u \rightarrow u(uvz).$$

The operation in this case is called a u -insertion. This operation does not change the degree of the existing nodes except the node i .

It can be readily seen that the insertion algorithm is reversible. In accordance with the above procedure, it is natural to introduce the following labeling scheme for a planted root tree T on $[n]$ for any $n \geq 1$:




Figure 3: A u -insertion.

- Each vertex i other than 0 is labeled by z .
- Each edge is labeled by v .
- Moreover, each improper edge is labeled with an additional label u . In other words, a proper edge is labeled by v , whereas an improper edge is by uv .

With the above labeling, the weight of a planted rooted tree is defined to be product of all its labels. Let us use $R_n(u)$ to denote the generating function of planted rooted trees on $[n]$ with respect to the number of improper edges.

In the above labeling scheme, the labels are in one-to-one correspondence with the insertions of the recursive construction. Taking account of the insertion algorithm, every edge has the basic feature of being any edge and the feature of being an improper edge. In our setting, an extra label is at our disposal. This updated labeling scheme seems to offer more flexibility in further exploring the Ramanujan polynomials subject to certain constraints.

The rules are summarized into the following grammar:

$$G = \{z \rightarrow vz^2, v \rightarrow uv^2z, u \rightarrow u^2vz\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let D denote the formal derivative with respect to the above grammar G . Then for $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$D^{n-1}(vz) = v^n z^n R_n(u).$$

If we start with a single root 1 instead without the root 0 in the planted version, we have the following grammatical interpretation.

Theorem 2.2. *For $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$D^{n-1}(z) = v^{n-1} z^n T_{n-1}(u).$$

3 The grammar of Dumont and Ramamonjisoa

While Ramanujan considered the expansion of an implicit function defined by a functional equation, Dumont and Ramamonjisoa came up with an ingenious way to produce a grammar so that the expansions can be carried out in terms of a combinatorial structure. To justify the validness of their grammar, they visualize an improper edge as a double edge. In regard with our labeling scheme, there is a good reason to coin the term double edges.

Let D denote the formal derivative with respect to the grammar G . Notice that D can also be viewed as the operator

$$D = A^3 S \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + A S^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial S}. \quad (3.1)$$

Dumont and Ramamonjisoa [10] established a connection between the grammar G and the enumeration of rooted trees on $[n]$ with k improper edges. Recall that $R(n, k)$ denotes the number of rooted trees on $[n]$ with k improper edges. Dumont and Ramamonjisoa obtained the following relation.

Theorem 3.1. *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$D^{n-1}(AS) = A^n S^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R(n, k) A^k, \quad (3.2)$$

$$D^n(S) = A^n S^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T(n, k) A^k. \quad (3.3)$$

In regard with the computation, one sees that our grammar and the grammar of Dumont-Ramamonjisou accomplish the same job as far as the coefficients of the polynomials are concerned. For example, we see that

$$D^{n-1}(vz) \big|_{u=v=A, z=S} = D^{n-1}(AS),$$

where the formal derivatives D on the left and the right are understood from the concerned variables.

4 The grammatical calculus for $R(u, t)$ and $T(u, t)$

The main objective of this paper is to demonstrate how one can perform a grammatical calculus for the Ramanujan polynomials. First, we derive a functional equation for the generating function $\text{Gen}(z, t)$, which yields a functional equation for $T(u, t)$. It, in turn, leads to a functional equation for $R(u, t)$. Provided the expansions of Dumont-Ramamonjisoa, one recovers the defining equation of Ramanujan. This shows that by means of the grammatical calculus, there is a route from the underlying combinatorial structure to the series expansions of Ramanujan.

Theorem 4.1. *We have*

$$\text{Gen}(z, t) = ze^{(z^{-1}-u^{-1}z^{-1}+u^{-1}vt)\text{Gen}(z, t)+u^{-1}-1}. \quad (4.1)$$

It should be noted that while (4.1) is an outcome of the grammatical calculus which involves three variables u, v, z , in the end it can be reduced to a simpler form, namely,

$$T(u, t) = e^{(1-u^{-1}+u^{-1}t)T(u, t)+u^{-1}-1}. \quad (4.2)$$

For a labeled tree T on $[n+1]$ rooted at 1, any edge incident to the root is proper. Therefore, such a rooted tree after relabeling can be viewed as a forest F on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such an edge of T is improper if it is improper in a component of F . It follows that

$$T(u, t) = e^{R(u, t)},$$

which, together with (4.2), yields

$$e^{R(u, t)} = e^{(1-u^{-1}+u^{-1}t)e^{R(u, t)}+u^{-1}-1},$$

and hence

$$R(u, t) = (1 - u^{-1} + u^{-1}t)e^{R(u, t)} + u^{-1} - 1. \quad (4.3)$$

The above equation is under the umbrella of the equation (1.5) of Ramanujan. More precisely, substituting u by a , t by ax and using y to denote $R(a, ax)$, the equation (4.3) coincides with (1.5).

To perform the grammatical calculus, recall that for a Laurent series f in x, y, z , the generating function of f with respect to D is defined by

$$\text{Gen}(f, t) = \sum_{n=0}^n D^n(f) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Assume that g is also a Laurent series in x, y, z . The Leibniz formula for D , that is, for $n \geq 0$,

$$D^n(fg) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} D^k(f) D^{n-k}(g),$$

implies the multiplicative property of the generating functions, that is,

$$\text{Gen}(fg, t) = \text{Gen}(f, t) \text{Gen}(g, t).$$

One can refer to [3] for more information.

To prove the above theorem, we need the following constant properties. In fact, they were found by solving a partial differential equation with the aid of Maple. A function f is called a constant with respect to D if $D(f) = 0$, and it is called a second order constant with respect to D if $D^2(f) = 0$. It should not be neglected that 1 is a constant. If C is a second order constant, then C^k is a $(k+1)$ -st order constant. In general, for a higher order constant f , one can reduce the computation of the generating function $\text{Gen}(f, t)$ to only a few terms, see Dong-Du-Ji-Zhang [9]. It is worth mentioning that for an eigenfunction f , that is, $D(f) = cf$, where c is a constant, it is easy to compute $\text{Gen}(f, t)$. Eigenfunctions with respect to a given constant c can be sought with resort to Maple. Such a strategy has been explored in [4, 5, 6].

Proposition 4.2. *We have the following constant properties:*

$$D(u^{-1}v) = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$D(ze^{u^{-1}}) = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

$$D((u-1)v^{-1}z^{-1}) = 1, \tag{4.6}$$

$$D(z^{-1} - u^{-1}z^{-1}) = u^{-1}v, \tag{4.7}$$

$$D(e^{u^{-1}}(uv^{-1} - y^{-1})) = ze^{u^{-1}}. \tag{4.8}$$

As a matter of fact, since D can be viewed as a differential operator

$$D = u^2 v z \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + u v^2 z \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + v z^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

the constants $u^{-1}v$ and $ze^{u^{-1}}$ can be found by solving the partial differential equation $D(f) = 0$ using Maple.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By (4.5),

$$\text{Gen}(ze^{u^{-1}}, t) = \text{Gen}(z, t) e^{\text{Gen}(u^{-1}, t)} = ze^{u^{-1}}, \quad (4.9)$$

here we have used the fact that

$$\text{Gen}(e^f, t) = e^{\text{Gen}(f, t)}.$$

By (4.4) and (4.7), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Gen}(z^{-1} - u^{-1}z^{-1}, t) \\ &= \text{Gen}(z^{-1}, t) - \text{Gen}(u^{-1}, t) \text{Gen}(z^{-1}, t) \\ &= z^{-1} - u^{-1}z^{-1} + u^{-1}vt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Using (4.9), we deduce that

$$e^{\text{Gen}(u^{-1}, t)} = ze^{u^{-1}} \text{Gen}(z^{-1}, t). \quad (4.11)$$

Combining (4.10) and (4.11), we get

$$e^{\text{Gen}(z^{-1}, t)} = e^{z^{-1} - u^{-1}z^{-1} + u^{-1}vt} (ze^{u^{-1}} \text{Gen}(z^{-1}, t))^{\text{Gen}(z^{-1}, t)}. \quad (4.12)$$

Since $\text{Gen}(z^{-1}, t) = \text{Gen}(z, t)^{-1}$, we obtain (4.1). This completes the proof. \blacksquare

We can also calculate $\text{Gen}(u, t)$ and $\text{Gen}(v, t)$. By similar arguments, or by (4.11) and (4.1), we obtain that

$$(1 - \text{Gen}(u^{-1}, t)) e^{\text{Gen}(u^{-1}, t)} = e^{u^{-1}} (1 - u^{-1} + u^{-1}vzt). \quad (4.13)$$

From (4.4), we have

$$\text{Gen}(u^{-1}v, t) = \text{Gen}(u^{-1}, t) \text{Gen}(v, t) = u^{-1}v.$$

Thus

$$\text{Gen}(u^{-1}, t) = u^{-1}v \text{Gen}(v^{-1}, t).$$

Substituting this into (4.13), we obtain that

$$(1 - u^{-1}v \text{Gen}(v^{-1}, t)) e^{u^{-1}v \text{Gen}(v^{-1}, t)} = e^{u^{-1}} (1 - u^{-1} + u^{-1}vzt). \quad (4.14)$$

Putting $v = 1$ and $z = 1$, the functional equation (4.1) takes the form (4.2), that is, for $n \geq 0$,

$$D^n(z) |_{v=z=1} = T_n(u).$$

5 The grammatical calculus for $Q_{n,k}(x)$

Built on the grammar of Dumont-Ramamonjisoa, a grammar for the polynomials $Q_{n,k}(x)$ has been given in [8]. With the proper grammar G in hand, it is easy to endow the vertices with specifics of a rooted tree according to their roles in the combinatorial interpretation of $Q_{n,k}(x)$ as given in (1.7).

To this end, looking at the set of rooted trees on $[n]$ with root 1, we classify the vertices of T into three types: The root is labeled by a , the children of the root labeled by x , and the rest of the vertices are labeled by z .

Starting with the root 1 with label a , $D(a)$ gives a labeled tree on $[2]$ with 2 being a child of the root. Let us use the label x to mark a child of the root. This gives the rule:

$$a \rightarrow axv,$$

where v is the label of the new edge which is proper. If a new vertex is inserted that is not a child of the root, we label it with z .

When an action is taken on an edge incident to the root, a new vertex is created and a new label z is introduced. Moreover, an improper edge is created. So we have the rule

$$v \rightarrow uv^2z.$$

Observe that this rule also applies to other v -labeled edges. Hence it holds for every edge labeled by v .

For any improper edge, we see that the created vertex label is always z , and an improper edge is created. Hence the rule

$$u \rightarrow u^2 v z$$

remains valid.

As for operations associated with the vertices labeled by x and z , we have

$$x \rightarrow x v z, \quad z \rightarrow v z^2.$$

All the above rules constitute the following grammar:

$$G = \{a \rightarrow a x v, x \rightarrow x v z, z \rightarrow v z^2, v \rightarrow u v^2 z, u \rightarrow u^2 v z\}. \quad (5.1)$$

This refined grammar leads to the following theorem. If no special attention is needed, we use D to represent the formal derivative with respect to the above grammar G . But when clarity is required, we use D_G .

Theorem 5.1. *For $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$D^n(a) = a v^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}} x^{\deg_T(1)} z^{n-\deg_T(1)} u^k, \quad (5.2)$$

or equivalently,

$$D^n(a) = a x v^n z^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}} (x z^{-1})^{\deg_T(1)-1} u^k \quad (5.3)$$

$$= a x v^n z^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Q_{n,k}(x z^{-1}) u^k. \quad (5.4)$$

We now turn to the computation of $\text{Gen}(a, t)$. Let $b = x v$ and $c = v z$. Then we have

$$D_G(x v) = x v^2 z (1 + u) = b c (1 + u), \quad (5.5)$$

$$D_G(v z) = v^2 z^2 (1 + u) = c^2 (1 + u). \quad (5.6)$$

Thus the grammar G is transformed into a new grammar

$$H = \{a \rightarrow ab, b \rightarrow bc(1+u), c \rightarrow c^2(1+u), u \rightarrow cu^2\}. \quad (5.7)$$

Let D_H denote the formal derivative with respect to H . Evidently,

$$D_H(a) \mid_{b=xv, c=vz} = D_G(a).$$

Associated with the transformed grammar H , we have the following constant properties.

Proposition 5.2. *We have*

$$D_H(uc^{-1}e^{-u^{-1}}, t) = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

$$D_H(bc^{-1}, t) = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

$$D_H(ae^{bc^{-1}u^{-1}}, t) = 0, \quad (5.10)$$

$$D_H((u-1)c^{-1}, t) = 1. \quad (5.11)$$

The following formula for $\text{Gen}(a, t)$ with respect to G turns out to be a grammatical formulation of the formula of Zeng [16, Proposition 9].

Theorem 5.3. *Let $y \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ be the solution of the equation*

$$(1 - u^{-1} + y)ue^{-y} = u - 1 + vzt. \quad (5.12)$$

Then

$$e^{xz^{-1}y} = a^{-1}\text{Gen}(a, t) \quad (5.13)$$

$$= 1 + xz^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Q_{n,k}(xz^{-1}) u^k \frac{(vzt)^n}{n!}. \quad (5.14)$$

Proof. We proceed to compute $\text{Gen}_H(a, t)$ by appealing to the above constant properties, where Gen_H denotes the generating function with respect to the formal derivative D_H . From (5.9) and (5.10), we obtain

$$\text{Gen}_H(b, t)\text{Gen}_H(c^{-1}, t) = bc^{-1}, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\text{Gen}_H(a, t)e^{\text{Gen}_H(bc^{-1}, t)\text{Gen}_H(u^{-1}, t)} = ae^{bc^{-1}u^{-1}}. \quad (5.16)$$

Substitute (5.15) into (5.16), we get

$$\text{Gen}_H(a, t) = ae^{bc^{-1}(u^{-1} - \text{Gen}_H(u^{-1}, t))}. \quad (5.17)$$

Next, we come to compute $\text{Gen}_H(u^{-1}, t)$. From (5.8) and (5.11), we have

$$\text{Gen}_H(u, t)\text{Gen}_H(c^{-1}, t)e^{\text{Gen}_H(-u^{-1}, t)} = uc^{-1}e^{-u^{-1}}, \quad (5.18)$$

$$\text{Gen}_H(u-1, t)\text{Gen}_H(c^{-1}, t) = (u-1)c^{-1} + t. \quad (5.19)$$

It follows that

$$ue^{-u^{-1}}e^{\text{Gen}_H(u^{-1}, t)}(1 - \text{Gen}_H(u^{-1}, t)) = u - 1 + ct. \quad (5.20)$$

Writing $y = u^{-1} - \text{Gen}_H(u^{-1}, t)$, we find that

$$ue^{-u^{-1}}e^{u^{-1}-y}(1 - u^{-1} + y) = u - 1 + ct, \quad (5.21)$$

and so

$$(1 - u^{-1} + y)ue^{-y} = u - 1 + ct. \quad (5.22)$$

Hence

$$\text{Gen}_H(a, t) = ae^{bc^{-1}y}, \quad (5.23)$$

where y is the solution of the equation (5.22). Since

$$D_H(a) \mid_{b=xv, c=vz} = D_G(a),$$

we deduce that under the grammar G ,

$$\text{Gen}(a, t) = ae^{xz^{-1}y}, \quad (5.24)$$

where $y \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is the solution of the equation

$$(1 - u^{-1} + y)ue^{-y} = u - 1 + vzt. \quad (5.25)$$

Note that from (5.4), we conclude that

$$\text{Gen}(a, t) = a + axz^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Q_{n,k}(xz^{-1}) u^k \frac{(vzt)^n}{n!}. \quad (5.26)$$

This completes the proof. ■

In [16], Zeng define the generating function

$$Y(u, t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{Q_{n,k}(x)}{(1-u)^k} \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (5.27)$$

Theorem 5.4 (Zeng). *We have*

$$Y(u, t) = \frac{e^{xy} - 1}{x}, \quad (5.28)$$

where $y \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ be the solution of the equation

$$(1-u)t = ye^{-y} + u(e^{-y} - 1). \quad (5.29)$$

Proof. In Theorem 5.3, let $v = z = 1$ and substitute u by $\frac{1}{1-u}$, we then get the desired formula by noticing that in these conditions, the equation

$$(1-u^{-1} + y)ue^{-y} = u - 1 + vzt \quad (5.30)$$

becomes

$$(1-u)t = ye^{-y} + u(e^{-y} - 1). \quad (5.31)$$

In addition, from (5.14), we have

$$1 + xY(u, t) = e^{xy}. \quad (5.32)$$

This completes the proof. ■

Notice that the grammatical formulation in Theorem 5.3 can be recovered from Theorem 5.4 by replacing x with xz^{-1} , replacing t with vzt , and and setting $\frac{1}{1-u}$ to u .

Replacing $\frac{1}{1-u}$ by a and $(1-u)t$ by t , then the equation

$$(1-u)t = ye^{-y} + u(e^{-y} - 1) \quad (5.33)$$

takes the form of Ramanujan's equation with x replaced by t ,

$$t = ye^{-y} + \frac{a-1}{a}(e^{-y} - 1), \quad (5.34)$$

and so the identity (5.28) of Zeng can be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 5.5. Assume that y is the defined by the Ramanujan equation (5.34). Then we have the following expansion

$$e^{xy} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x Q_{n,k}(x) a^{n+k} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (5.35)$$

6 The grammatical calculus behind a bijection

In this section, we give a grammatical formulation of a bijection for the recurrence relation for $Q_{n,k}(x)$ of Shor and Berndt-Evans-Wilson. The construction given in [7] is rather involved. A simpler proof has been presented by Guo [11]. A grammatical approach by computing the generating functions has been given in [8]. In theory, a inductive proof of the grammatical formula may serve as a blueprint of a recursive construction, as has been called a grammar assisted bijection. The statement in [7] is as follows.

Theorem 6.1. For $n \geq 1$, there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{T}_{n+1,k}[\deg(2) > 0] \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{n+1,k+1}[\deg(n+1) > 0],$$

where the condition in the brackets is meant to be a constraint on the proceeding set.

Recall the grammar G given in (2.1), that is,

$$G = \{z \rightarrow vz^2, v \rightarrow uv^2z, u \rightarrow u^2vz\}.$$

Then Theorem 6.1 can be recast into the following grammatical statement, where D denotes the formal derivative of G .

Theorem 6.2. For $n \geq 2$, we have

$$u \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} D^{n-2-k}(vz^2 D^k(vz)) = z^n D(z^{-n} D^{n-1}(z)). \quad (6.1)$$

Assume that $n \geq 1$, consider the process of generating rooted trees on $[n+1]$ with root 1 using the inserting operations. Assume that T is obtained from T' by an insertion. Since 1 remains the root, we are led to apply the formal derivative D to z , since the initial

structure is labeled by z . The condition $\deg(n+1) > 0$ indicates that the last step cannot be a z -insertion, otherwise $n+1$ would be a leaf.

On the other hand, starting an edge $(1,2)$, in the insertion process once the vertex 2 becomes an internal vertex, it remains an internal vertex, because 1 can never be a child of 2, and any edge starting with 2 is proper. Therefore, at some point, the vertex 2 is turned into an internal vertex. Suppose that at step $k+3$, where $k \geq 0$, 2 becomes an internal vertex. This is caused by a z -insertion. The grammar rule is $z \rightarrow vz^2$. However, before this step, 2 is never involved in an z -insertion. In other words, up to this step, the trees generated correspond to $D^k(vz)$. Hence we have the above grammatical reformulation (6.1).

The following lemma will be needed in the proof of Theorem 6.2.

Lemma 6.3. *We have*

$$D^n(vz) = \frac{u-1}{uz} D^{n+1}(z) + (n+1) \frac{v}{u} D^n(z), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. We shall proceed by induction. When $n = 0$, the right-hand side of (6.2) equals

$$\frac{u-1}{uz} D(z) + \frac{v}{u} z = \frac{u-1}{uz} vz^2 + \frac{v}{u} z = vz,$$

which coincides with the left-hand side of (6.2), i.e. $vz = D^0(vz)$.

Assume that (6.2) holds for $n = m$, namely,

$$D^m(vz) = \frac{u-1}{uz} D^{m+1}(z) + (m+1) \frac{v}{u} D^m(z).$$

In light of the constant properties (4.4) and (4.7), that is,

$$D\left(\frac{u-1}{uz}\right) = \frac{v}{u} \quad \text{and} \quad D\left(\frac{v}{u}\right) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D^{m+1}(vz) &= D\left(\frac{u-1}{uz} D^{m+1}(z) + (m+1) \frac{v}{u} D^m(z)\right) \\ &= \frac{u-1}{uz} D^{m+2}(z) + \frac{v}{u} D^{m+1}(z) + (m+1) \frac{v}{u} D^{m+1}(z) \\ &= \frac{u-1}{uz} D^{m+2}(z) + (m+2) \frac{v}{u} D^{m+1}(z), \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

Proof of Theorem 6.2. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 2$, the right-hand side of (6.1) equals

$$z^2 D(z^{-2} D(z)) = z^2 D(z^{-2} \cdot vz^2) = z^2 D(v) = uv^2 z^3,$$

which is in agreement with the left-hand side of (6.1), that is, $uD^0(vz^2 D^0(vz)) = uv^2 z^3$.

Assume that (6.1) holds for $n = m$:

$$u \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} D^{m-2-k}(vz^2 D^k(vz)) = z^m D(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z)), \quad m \geq 2. \quad (6.3)$$

By the product rule, one has

$$D(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z)) = z^{-m} D^m(z) - mvz^{1-m} D^{m-1}(z), \quad (6.4)$$

which leads to

$$z^{-m-1} D^m(z) = z^{-1} D(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z)) + mvz^{-m} D^{m-1}(z). \quad (6.5)$$

Applying D on both sides of (6.5), we find that

$$D(z^{-m-1} D^m(z)) = D(z^{-1} D(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z))) + mD(z^{-m} v D^{m-1}(z)). \quad (6.6)$$

By the assumption (6.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D(z^{-1} D(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z))) \\ &= D(z^{-1}) D(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z)) + z^{-1} D^2(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z)) \\ &= -vD(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z)) + z^{-1} D \left[uz^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} D^{m-2-k}(vz^2 D^k(vz)) \right] \\ &= (uv - (1+m)v) D(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z)) + uz^{-m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} D^{m-1-k}(vz^2 D^k(vz)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

To prove (6.1) holds for $n = m + 1$, that is,

$$u \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} D^{m-1-k}(vz^2 D^k(vz)) = z^{m+1} D(z^{-m-1} D^m(z)),$$

combining (6.6) with (6.7), it suffices to show that

$$uvz^{1-m} D^{m-1}(vz) = (uv - (1+m)v) D(z^{-m} D^{m-1}(z)) + mD(z^{-m} v D^{m-1}(z)). \quad (6.8)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} mD(z^{-m}vD^{m-1}(vz)) &= mD(v)z^{-m}D^{m-1}(z) + mvD(z^{-m}D^{m-1}(z)) \\ &= muv^2z^{1-m}D^{m-1}(z) + mvD(z^{-m}D^{m-1}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (6.8) is valid provided that

$$uz^{1-m}D^{m-1}(vz) = (uv - v)D(z^{-m}D^{m-1}(z)) + mvz^{1-m}D^{m+1}(z). \quad (6.9)$$

In view of (6.4), (6.9) becomes

$$uzD^{m-1}(vz) = (u - 1)D^m(z) - mvzD^{m-1}(z),$$

or equivalently,

$$D^{m-1}(vz) = \frac{(u - 1)}{uz}D^m(z) + m\frac{v}{u}D^{m-1}(z). \quad (6.10)$$

Applying Lemma 6.3, we arrive at (6.9). So we conclude that (6.1) holds for $n = m + 1$. ■

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] Berndt, B.C.: Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part I, chap. 3. Springer, New York (1985)
- [2] Berndt, B.C., Evans, R.J., Wilson, B.M.: Chapter 3 of Ramanujan's second notebooks. Adv. Math. 49, 123–169 (1983)
- [3] Chen, W.Y.C.: Context-free grammars, differential operators and formal power series. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 117, 113–129 (1993)
- [4] Chen, W.Y.C., Fu, A.M.: Context-free grammars, permutations and increasing trees. Adv. Appl. Math. 82, 58–82 (2017)
- [5] Chen, W.Y.C., Fu, A.M.: A grammatical calculus for peaks and runs of permutations. J. Algebraic Combin. 57, 1139–1162 (2023)

- [6] Chen, W.Y.C., Fu, A.M.: The Dumont ansatz for the Eulerian polynomials, peak polynomials and derivative polynomials. *Ann. Combin.* 27, 707–735 (2023)
- [7] Chen, W.Y.C., Guo, V.J.W.: A bijection behind the Ramanujan polynomials. *Adv. Appl. Math.* 27, 336–356 (2001)
- [8] Chen, W.Y.C., Yang, H.R.L.: A context-free grammar for the Ramanujan-Shor polynomials. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 126, 101908 (2021)
- [9] Dong, J.J.W., Du, L.R., Ji, K.Q., Zhang, D.T.X.: New refinements of Narayana polynomials and Motzkin polynomials. *Adv. Appl. Math.* 166, 102855 (2025)
- [10] Dumont, D., Ramamonjisoa, A.: Grammaire de Ramanujan et Arbres de Cayley. *Electron. J. Combin.* 3, R17 (1996)
- [11] Guo, V.J.W.: A bijective proof of the Shor recurrence. *European J. Combin.* 70, 92–98 (2018)
- [12] Ramanujan, S.: Notebooks, vol. 1, pp. 35–36. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay (1957)
- [13] Randazzo, L.: Arboretum for a generalization of Ramanujan polynomials. *Ramanujan J.* 54, 591–604 (2021)
- [14] Shor, P.W.: A new proof of Cayley’s formula for counting labelled trees. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 71, 154–158 (1995)
- [15] Wang, Z.Y., Zhou, J.: Orbifold Euler characteristics of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, arXiv:1812.10638.
- [16] Zeng, J.: A Ramanujan sequence that refines the Cayley formula for trees. *Ramanujan J.* 3, 45–54 (1999)