


r-log-concavity of partition functions

Qing-Hu Hou¹ · Zuo-Ru Zhang² 

Received: 14 May 2017 / Accepted: 30 November 2017 / Published online: 15 February 2018
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract Let $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ be the operator given by $\hat{\mathcal{L}}\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0} = \{a_{n+1}^2 - a_n a_{n+2}\}_{n \geq 0}$. A sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called asymptotically *r*-log-concave if $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^k\{a_n\}_{n \geq N}$ are non-negative sequences for $1 \leq k \leq r$ and some integer *N*. Let $p(n)$ be the number of integer partitions of *n*. We prove that the sequence $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is asymptotically *r*-log-concave for any positive integer *r*. Moreover, we give a method to compute the explicit *N* such that $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq N}$ is *r*-log-concave.

Keywords *r*-log-concavity · Partition function · Hardy–Ramanujan–Rademacher formula

Mathematics Subject Classification 05A17 · 11N37 · 65G99

1 Introduction

Let $p(n)$ denote the number of integer partitions of *n*, i.e., the number of ways of writing *n* as the sum of positive integers where the order is irrelevant. Among the various interesting combinatorial properties, the following inequalities were conjectured by Chen [1] and solved by DeSalvo and Pak [5].

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

✉ Zuo-Ru Zhang
zhangzuoru@tju.edu.cn

Qing-Hu Hou
qh_hou@tju.edu.cn

¹ School of Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

² Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

Proposition 1.1 *For all $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\frac{p(n-1)}{p(n)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) > \frac{p(n)}{p(n+1)}.$$

Proposition 1.2 *For all $n > m > 1$, we have*

$$p(n)^2 - p(n-m)p(n+m) \geq 0.$$

In the same paper, DeSalvo and Pak also proved Sun’s conjecture [11] of the log-concavity of $\{q(n)\}_{n \geq 31}$, where $q(n)$ is the number of ways writing n as the sum of distinct positive integers. Based on Lehmer’s error bound and modified Bessel function, Chen et al. [4] proved the positivity of $(-1)^{r-1} \Delta \log p(n)$ for $r \geq 1$ and n sufficiently large, where Δ is the difference operator. Chen and Zheng [3] improved DeSalvo and Pak’s bound for $-\Delta^2 \log p(n-1)$ and proved the log-convexity of $\{\sqrt[n]{p(n)}\}_{n \geq 27}$ and $\{\sqrt[n]{p(n)/n}\}_{n \geq 61}$.

In this paper, we focus on the asymptotic r -log-concavity of $\{p(n)\}$. A sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be asymptotically r -log-concave if there exists N such that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}\{a_n\}_{n \geq N}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}^2\{a_n\}_{n \geq N}, \dots, \hat{\mathcal{L}}^r\{a_n\}_{n \geq N} \tag{1.1}$$

are all non-negative sequences, where

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0} = \{a_{n+1}^2 - a_n a_{n+2}\}_{n \geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}^k\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}\left(\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{k-1}\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}\right).$$

Chen and Xia [2] gave a criterion for the 2-log-convexity of a sequence. We present a method of proving the asymptotic r -log-convexity and the asymptotic r -log-concavity of a sequence in [7]. More precisely, we have the following criterion for the asymptotic r -log-concavity.

Theorem 1.3 *Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a positive sequence such that $\mathcal{R}^2 a_n = a_n a_{n+2}/a_{n+1}^2$ has the following asymptotic expression*

$$\mathcal{R}^2 a_n = 1 + \frac{c}{n^\alpha} + \dots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^\beta}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$. If $c < 0$ and $\alpha < 2$, then $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is asymptotically $\lfloor \beta/\alpha \rfloor$ -log-concave.

To apply the criterion to $p(n)$, we firstly utilize the Hardy–Ramanujan–Rademacher formula [10] and the error estimation given by Lehmer [8] which are given below (2.1) (2.2) to derive an estimation for $p(n)$: for any m there is an n large enough such that

$$\left| \frac{p(n)}{T(n)} - 1 \right| < 2^{m+1} \mu(n)^{-m},$$

where

$$T(n) = \frac{d}{\mu(n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{\mu(n)}$$

and $d = \frac{\pi^2}{6\sqrt{3}}$, $\mu(n) = \frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24n - 1}$. Then by considering the Taylor expansion in terms of $\mu(n)$, we give an algorithm to establish the upper and lower bounds of $T(n + 1)/T(n)$ up to an arbitrary accuracy. Finally, combining these bounds and the criterion for asymptotic *r*-log-concavity, we are able to find an explicit N such that $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq N}$ is *r*-log-concave.

2 Error estimation of $p(n)$

In this section, we utilize the Hardy–Ramanujan–Rademacher formula and the error estimation given by Lehmer to derive an estimation for $p(n)$.

For any positive integers n and N , the Hardy–Ramanujan–Rademacher formula reads

$$p(n) = \frac{d}{\mu(n)^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{A_k(n)}{\sqrt{k}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{k}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{\mu(n)/k} + \left(1 + \frac{k}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{-\mu(n)/k} \right] + R_2(n, N), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$d = \frac{\pi^2}{6\sqrt{3}}, \quad \mu(n) = \frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24n - 1},$$

and $R_2(n, N)$ is the remainder, see Hardy and Ramanujan [6], Rademacher [10]. Lehmer [8, 9] gave an upper bound for $R_2(n, N)$:

$$|R_2(n, N)| < \frac{\pi^2 N^{-2/3}}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\left(\frac{N}{\mu(n)}\right)^3 \sinh \frac{\mu(n)}{N} + \frac{1}{6} - \left(\frac{N}{\mu(n)}\right)^2 \right]. \tag{2.2}$$

It is known that $A_1(n) = 1$ and $A_2(n) = (-1)^n$ for all positive n . Therefore, by setting $N = 2$, we derive that

$$p(n) = T(n) + R(n),$$

where

$$T(n) = \frac{d}{\mu(n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{\mu(n)} \tag{2.3}$$

is the main term and $R(n)$ is the remainder term. By dropping off the terms with negative sign in (2.2), we derive that

$$|R_2(n, 2)| < \frac{\pi^2 2^{-2/3}}{2\sqrt{3}} \left(\left(\frac{2}{\mu(n)} \right)^3 e^{\mu(n)/2} + \frac{1}{3} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{R(n)}{T(n)} \right| < e^{-\mu(n)/2} (T_{1,2}(n) + T_{2,1}(n) + T_{2,2}(n) + R_{2,1}(n) + R_{2,2}(n)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,2}(n) &= \frac{\mu(n) + 1}{\mu(n) - 1} e^{-3\mu(n)/2}, \\ T_{2,1}(n) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\mu(n) - 2}{\mu(n) - 1}, \quad T_{2,2}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\mu(n) + 2}{\mu(n) - 1} e^{-\mu(n)}, \\ R_{2,1}(n) &= 12 \cdot 2^{1/3} \frac{1}{\mu(n) - 1}, \quad R_{2,2}(n) = \frac{1}{2^{2/3}} \frac{\mu(n)^3}{\mu(n) - 1} e^{-\mu(n)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that $T_{1,2}(n)$, $T_{2,2}(n)$, and $R_{2,1}(n)$ are decreasing functions of n . For $R_{2,2}(n)$, one may check that $\frac{x^3}{x-1} e^{-x/2}$ is decreasing for $x > 3$ and thus $R_{2,2}(n)$ is decreasing for $n \geq 2$ (in fact, for $n \geq 1$). $T_{2,1}(n)$ is increasing and its limit is $1/\sqrt{2}$ when n tends to infinity. The other four terms tend to 0 when n tends to infinity. One can compute that

$$T_{1,2}(30) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + T_{2,2}(30) + R_{2,1}(30) + R_{2,2}(30) \approx 1.986 < 2$$

and

$$T_{1,2}(421) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + T_{2,2}(421) + R_{2,1}(421) + R_{2,2}(421) \approx 0.99995 < 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{R(n)}{T(n)} \right| < 2e^{-\mu(n)/2} \quad \forall n \geq 30, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{R(n)}{T(n)} \right| < e^{-\mu(n)/2} \quad \forall n \geq 421. \tag{2.5}$$

Noting that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(n) = +\infty$, for any given m , the error can be bounded by $(\mu(n)/2)^{-m}$ for n sufficiently large.

Lemma 2.1 *For any integer $m \geq 1$, there exists a real number*

$$N \leq \max\{1, 2m \log m\}$$

such that

$$x^m e^{-x} < 1 \quad \forall x \geq N.$$

Proof We see that $x^m e^{-x}$ is decreasing for $x > m$ by taking the derivative with respect to x .

If $m = 1$ or 2 , we have $m^m/e^m < 1$ and we may take $N = m$.

If $m \geq 3$, we have

$$(2m \log m)^m e^{-2m \log m} = e^{m(\log(2 \log m/m))}.$$

Once again by taking the derivative with respect to m , we see that $2 \log m < m$ and hence

$$e^{m(\log(2 \log m/m))} < 1,$$

completing the proof. □

Given m , the integer N such that

$$e^{-\mu(n)/2} \leq (\mu(n)/2)^{-m} \quad \forall n \geq N$$

can be computed by finding the first N such that

$$\frac{\mu(N)/2}{\log(\mu(N)/2)} \geq m.$$

We give Table 1 of N for $m = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ as follows.

Finally, we consider $\mu(n)^{-m}$. We have

$$\mu(n)^{-m} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi\right)^{-m} \left(n - \frac{1}{24}\right)^{-m/2}.$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{n}{n - \frac{1}{24}}\right)^{m/2}$$

Table 1 The integer N such that $e^{-\mu(n)/2} < 2^m \mu(n)^{-m}$ for $n \geq N$

m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
N	1	3	13	46	99	176	281	414	580	778

is a decreasing function of n , we derive that

$$\mu(n)^{-m} < \left(\pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right)^{-m} \left(\frac{N}{N - \frac{1}{24}}\right)^{m/2} \cdot n^{-m/2} \quad \forall n \geq N. \quad (2.6)$$

In summary, we obtain the following estimation on the ratio $R(n)/T(n)$.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $p(n)$ be the number of integer partitions of n and $T(n)$ is given by (2.3). Then for any integer $m \geq 2$, there exists an integer N with*

$$N \leq \max \left\{ 30, 2.56 \cdot m^2 \log^2 m \right\}$$

such that

$$|p(n)/T(n) - 1| < 2^{m+1} \mu(n)^{-m} \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Proof Let $R(n) = p(n) - T(n)$. We have seen that for $n \geq 30$,

$$|R(n)/T(n)| < 2e^{-\mu(n)/2}$$

and there exists $N_0 \leq \max\{1, 4m \log m\}$ such that

$$e^{-\mu(n)/2} < 2^m \mu(n)^{-m} \quad \forall \mu(n) \geq N_0.$$

By (2.6), we have

$$n^{1/2} < 0.4\mu(n) \quad \forall n \geq 30.$$

Therefore, when

$$n \geq \max\{30, 2.56 \cdot m^2 \log^2 m\},$$

we have

$$\mu(n) > \max\{1, 4m \log m\},$$

and hence $e^{-\mu(n)/2} < 2^m \mu(n)^{-m}$. \square

3 Bounds for the ratio $p(n+1)/p(n)$

In this section, we show how to derive lower and upper bounds for $T(n+1)/T(n)$ so that we obtain an estimation of $p(n+1)/p(n)$.

Recall that

$$T(n) = \frac{\pi^2}{6\sqrt{3}} \frac{\mu(n) - 1}{\mu(n)^3} e^{\mu(n)}.$$

Instead of considering the Taylor expansion in *n*, we consider the Taylor expansion in $\mu(n)$. For brevity, we denote $\mu(n)$ and $\mu(n + 1)$ by μ and μ_+ , respectively.

Noting that

$$n = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}\mu^2 + \frac{1}{24},$$

we have

$$\mu_+ = \mu \left(1 + \frac{2\pi^2}{3\mu^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

For any integer *m*, let $m' = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. By Taylor’s Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi^2}{3\mu^2} \right)^{1/2} &= \sum_{k=0}^{m'} \binom{1/2}{k} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right)^k \mu^{-2k} \\ &\quad + \binom{1/2}{m'+1} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3\mu^2} \right)^{m'+1} (1 + \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}-m'-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \xi < \frac{2\pi^2}{3\mu^2}$. Denote

$$\mu_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{m'} \binom{1/2}{k} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right)^k \mu^{-2k}.$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1 = \left| \binom{1/2}{m'+1} \right| \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right)^{m'+1} \mu^{-2m'-2}.$$

We have

$$\mu_1 - \varepsilon_1 < \frac{\mu_+}{\mu} < \mu_1 + \varepsilon_1. \tag{3.1}$$

Now we consider the ratio

$$\frac{T(n + 1)}{T(n)} = \frac{\mu_+ - 1}{\mu - 1} \cdot \frac{\mu^3}{\mu_+^3} \cdot e^{\mu_+ - \mu}$$

term by term.

For the first factor, we have

$$\frac{\mu_+ - 1}{\mu - 1} = \frac{\frac{\mu_+}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\mu}}{1 - \frac{1}{\mu}}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \mu^{-k} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{-1} < \sum_{k=0}^m \mu^{-k} + 2\mu^{-m-1},$$

we have

$$\left(\mu_1 - \varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \sum_{k=0}^m \mu^{-k} < \frac{\mu_+ - 1}{\mu - 1} < \left(\mu_1 + \varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \mu^{-k} + 2\mu^{-m-1}\right).$$

Expanding the left-hand side of the above inequality, we obtain a polynomial in μ^{-1} :

$$\sum_{k=0}^m c_k \mu^{-k} + \sum_{k=m+1}^l c_k \mu^{-k}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{c}_k = \begin{cases} -c_k & \text{if } c_k < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } c_k \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

We then have

$$\frac{\mu_+ - 1}{\mu - 1} > \sum_{k=0}^m c_k \mu^{-k} - \sum_{k=m+1}^l \tilde{c}_k \mu^{-k}.$$

Noting further that $\mu \geq \mu_0 = \mu(n_0)$ for $n \geq n_0$, we thus derive a lower bound for $(\mu_+ - 1)/(\mu - 1)$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^m c_k \mu^{-k} - \mu^{-m-1} \sum_{k=m+1}^l \tilde{c}_k \mu_0^{-k+m+1} \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

An upper bound for $(\mu_+ - 1)/(\mu - 1)$ can be obtained in a similar way.

For the second factor, we have

$$\frac{\mu^3}{\mu_+^3} = \left(1 + \frac{2\pi^2}{3\mu^2}\right)^{-3/2}.$$

By a discussion similar to the discussion for μ_+/μ , we obtain

$$\mu_2 - \varepsilon_2 < \frac{\mu^3}{\mu_+^3} < \mu_2 + \varepsilon_2,$$

where

$$\mu_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m'} \binom{-3/2}{k} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right)^k \mu^{-2k}, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \left| \binom{-3/2}{m'+1} \right| \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right)^{m'+1} \mu^{-2m'-2},$$

and $m' = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$.

For the last factor, we firstly substitute m by $m + 1$ in (3.1) to get a better estimation. We still use the notation μ_1 and e_1 of the estimation of $m + 1$. Then

$$e^{\mu(\mu_1-1-\varepsilon_1)} < e^{\mu_+-\mu} < e^{\mu(\mu_1-1+\varepsilon_1)}.$$

Noting that

$$e^{-x} > 1 - x, \quad e^x < 1 + 2x, \quad \forall 0 < x < \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \frac{x^k}{k!} < e^x < \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{x^k}{k!} + e^x \frac{x^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \quad \forall x > 0,$$

we thus derive that

$$(1 - \mu\varepsilon_1) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(\mu(\mu_1 - 1))^k}{k!} < e^{\mu_+-\mu},$$

and

$$e^{\mu_+-\mu} < (1 + 2\mu\varepsilon_1) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(\mu(\mu_1 - 1))^k}{k!} + e^{\mu(\mu_1-1)} \frac{(\mu(\mu_1 - 1))^{m+1}}{(m+1)!} \right).$$

To get an upper bound for $e^{\mu(\mu_1-1)}$, we use the inequality

$$\mu(\mu_1 - 1) < \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor} \widetilde{\binom{1/2}{k}} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right)^k \mu_0^{-2k+1} \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

where $\tilde{x} = x$ if $x > 0$ and $\tilde{x} = 0$ otherwise.

Combining all the three factors together, we will get an estimation of the ratio $T(n + 1)/T(n)$ and thus an estimation of the ratio $p(n + 1)/p(n)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $p(n)$ be the partition function. Then for any positive integer m , there exist integer N , real numbers a_k and $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^m a_k \mu^{-k} - C_1 \mu^{-m-1} < \frac{p(n+1)}{p(n)} < \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \mu^{-k} + C_2 \mu^{-m-1} \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Proof By Theorem 2.2, for any m there exists N such that

$$|p(n)/T(n) - 1| < 2^{m+1}\mu(n)^{-m} \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

That is

$$T(n)(1 - 2^{m+1}\mu(n)^{-m}) < p(n) < T(n)(1 + 2^{m+1}\mu(n)^{-m}).$$

Since $\mu(n)$ is an increasing function of n , we thus derive that

$$\frac{T(n+1)}{T(n)} \frac{1 - 2^{m+1}\mu(n)^{-m}}{1 + 2^{m+1}\mu(n)^{-m}} < r_n < \frac{T(n+1)}{T(n)} \frac{1 + 2^{m+1}\mu(n)^{-m}}{1 - 2^{m+1}\mu(n)^{-m}},$$

where $r_n = p(n+1)/p(n)$.

One can check that for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/3$ we have

$$\frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} < 1 + 3\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} < 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Noting that $0 < \frac{2^{m+1}}{\mu(n)^m} < 1/3$ for any $m \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{T(n+1)}{T(n)} (1 - 4 \cdot 2^m \mu(n)^{-m}) < r_n < \frac{T(n+1)}{T(n)} (1 + 6 \cdot 2^m \mu(n)^{-m}), \quad n \geq N. \tag{3.2}$$

Now we consider the three factors of the ratio $\frac{T(n+1)}{T(n)} = \frac{\mu_+ - 1}{\mu_- - 1} \cdot \frac{\mu_+^3}{\mu_-^3} \cdot e^{\mu_+ - \mu_-}$. Each of these factors is bounded by a pair of polynomials in $\mu(n)^{-1}$ as shown in previous paragraphs. Moreover, the difference of the pair of polynomials is a polynomial in $\mu(n)^{-1}$ of degree at least $m + 1$. Hence $T(n+1)/T(n)$ and r_n are bounded by a pair of polynomials in $\mu(n)^{-1}$ whose difference is a polynomials in $\mu(n)^{-1}$ with degree at least $m + 1$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(n) = +\infty$, the difference is bounded by $C\mu(n)^{-m-1}$ for some constant $C > 0$, completing the proof. \square

We have implemented a Mathematica package `pn.m` which is accessible at the first named author’s homepage to compute these parameters. For example, we compute that

$$f_4(\mu) - \frac{151}{\mu^5} < \frac{p(n+1)}{p(n)} < f_4(\mu) + \frac{419}{\mu^5} \quad \forall n \geq 99,$$

where

$$f_4(\mu) = 1 + \frac{\pi^2}{3\mu} - \frac{\frac{2\pi^2}{3} - \frac{\pi^4}{18}}{\mu^2} - \frac{-\frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{5\pi^4}{18} - \frac{\pi^6}{162}}{\mu^3} + \frac{\frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{5\pi^4}{9} - \frac{\pi^6}{18} + \frac{\pi^8}{1944}}{\mu^4}.$$

4 Asymptotic *r*-log-concavity of $p(n)$

In this section, we will show the asymptotic *r*-log-concavity of $p(n)$ and present a method to find the explicit N such that $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq N}$ is *r*-log-concave.

By Theorem 3.1, we see that to get an estimation of $\mathcal{R}^2 p(n) = p(n)p(n+2)/p(n+1)^2$, we need to consider the bounds of μ_+^{-r} and $1/f(\mu^{-1})$, where $f(x)$ is a polynomial in x with constant term 1.

Noting that

$$\frac{\mu_+^{-r}}{\mu^{-r}} = \left(1 + \frac{2\pi^2}{3\mu^2}\right)^{-r/2},$$

we have an estimation of μ_+^{-r} up to μ^{-m} for any positive integer m in a way similar to the estimation of μ_+ . To get the bounds of $1/f(\mu^{-1})$, we consider the coefficient c of the tail term of $f(x) - 1$. If $c > 0$, we will compute an integer N such that

$$f(\mu^{-1}) - 1 > 0 \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Then

$$1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 - \dots + (-1)^m \varepsilon^m - \varepsilon^{m+1} < \frac{1}{f(\mu^{-1})} < 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 - \dots + (-1)^m \varepsilon^m + \varepsilon^{m+1},$$

where $\varepsilon = f(\mu^{-1}) - 1$ is a polynomial in μ^{-1} . If $c < 0$, we will compute an integer N such that

$$\frac{1}{2} > 1 - f(\mu^{-1}) > 0 \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Then

$$1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 + \dots + \varepsilon^m < \frac{1}{f(\mu^{-1})} < 1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 + \dots + \varepsilon^m + 2\varepsilon^{m+1},$$

where $\varepsilon = 1 - f(\mu^{-1})$.

Based on the above estimations, we can find the upper and lower bounds of $s_n = \mathcal{R}^2 p(n)$ and further $s_n^{(r)} = \mathcal{R}^2 \mathcal{L}^{r-1} p(n)$ for $r \geq 2$. All these computations have been implemented in a Mathematica package `pn.m` which can be downloaded from the homepage of the first named author.

For example, we have

$$1 - \frac{\pi^4}{9\mu^3} - \frac{557}{\mu^4} < s_n = \mathcal{R}^2 p(n) < 1 - \frac{\pi^4}{9\mu^3} + \frac{512}{\mu^4} \quad \forall n \geq 46.$$

Noting that for $n \geq 341$, it holds that

$$\frac{\pi^4}{9\mu^3} > \frac{512}{\mu^4},$$

we thus derive that $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq 341}$ is log-concavity. By checking the initial values, we reproved the log-concavity of $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq 26}$.

Notice that for any positive integer m ,

$$s_n = 1 - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{6}n^{3/2}} + \dots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^m}\right).$$

By Theorem 1.3, we are led to the asymptotic r -log-concavity of $p(n)$.

Theorem 4.1 *For any positive integer r , there exists an integer N such that $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq N}$ is r -log-concave.*

To find the explicit N such that $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq N}$ is r -log-concave, we need to check many initial values. For example, we need the estimation of s_n up to μ^{-6} to derive the 2-log-concavity.

Denote $s_n^{(i+1)} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^i a_n$, for $n \geq 281$ we have

$$s_n^{(1)} \geq 1 - \frac{\pi^4}{9\mu^3} + \frac{4\pi^4}{9\mu^4} + \frac{-\frac{\pi^4}{3} + \frac{\pi^6}{9}}{\mu^5} + \frac{-\frac{4\pi^4}{9} - \frac{16\pi^6}{27} + \frac{\pi^8}{162}}{\mu^6} - \frac{2275}{\mu^7}$$

and

$$s_n^{(1)} \leq 1 - \frac{\pi^4}{9\mu^3} + \frac{4\pi^4}{9\mu^4} + \frac{-\frac{\pi^4}{3} + \frac{\pi^6}{9}}{\mu^5} + \frac{-\frac{4\pi^4}{9} - \frac{16\pi^6}{27} + \frac{\pi^8}{162}}{\mu^6} + \frac{11897}{\mu^7},$$

which leads to

$$1 - \frac{2\pi^2}{9\mu^3} - \frac{6303}{\mu^4} \leq s_n^{(2)} \leq 1 - \frac{2\pi^2}{9\mu^3} + \frac{11897}{\mu^4}, \quad \forall n \geq 281.$$

To ensure that $s^{(2)} \leq 1$, we need

$$\frac{2\pi^2}{9\mu^3} \geq \frac{11897}{\mu^4}$$

which holds for $n \geq 24860$. By checking the first 24860 terms, we finally derive that $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq 221}$ is 2-log-concave.

To prove the 3-log-concavity, we need to check about 1.31×10^7 terms.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the referees for valuable comments.

References

1. Chen, W.Y.C.: Recent developments on log-concavity and q -logconcavity of combinatorial polynomials. In: DMTCS Proceeding of 22nd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (2010)
2. Chen, W.Y.C., Xia, E.X.W.: The 2-log-convexity of the Apéry numbers. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **139**, 391–400 (2011)
3. Chen, W.Y.C., Zheng, K.Y.: The log-behavior of $\sqrt[n]{p(n)}$ and $\sqrt[n]{p(n)/n}$ (2015, arXiv preprint). [arXiv:1511.02558](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.02558)
4. Chen, W.Y.C., Wang, L.X.W., Xie, G.Y.B.: Finite differences of the logarithm of the partition function. *Math. Comput.* **85**(298), 825–847 (2016)
5. DeSalvo, S., Pak, I.: Log-concavity of the partition function. *Ramanujan J.* **38**, 61–73 (2015)
6. Hardy, G.H., Ramanujan, S.: Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **17**, 75–175 (1918)
7. Hou, Q.H., Zhang, Z.R.: Asymptotic r -log-convexity and P-recursive sequence. [arXiv:1609.07840](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.07840)
8. Lehmer, D.H.: On the series for the partition function. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **43**, 271–292 (1938)
9. Lehmer, D.H.: On the remainders and convergence of the series for the partition function. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **46**, 362–373 (1939)
10. Rademacher, H.: On the partition function $p(n)$. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **2**(1), 241–254 (1938)
11. Sun, Z.-W.: On a sequence involving sums of primes. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **88**, 197–205 (2013)