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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract } \\
& \text { Let } \bar{p}(n) \text { denote the overpartition function. In this paper, our primary goal is to study the } \\
& \text { asymptotic behavior of the finite differences of the logarithm of the overpartition } \\
& \text { function, i.e., }(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n) \text {, by studying the inequality of the following form } \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\log \left(1+\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-1 / 2}}-\frac{1+C_{1}(r)}{n^{r}}\right) & <(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n) \\
& <\log \left(1+\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-1 / 2}}\right) \text { for } n \geq N(r),
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C(r), C_{1}(r)$, and $N(r)$ are computable constants depending on the positive integer $r$, determined explicitly. This solves a problem posed by Wang, Xie and Zhang in the context of searching for a better lower bound of $(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)$ than 0 . By settling the problem, we are able to show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} n^{\frac{1}{2}-r} .
$$
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## 1 Introduction

An overpartition of a positive integer $n$ is a nonincreasing sequence of positive integers whose sum is $n$ in which the first occurrence of a number may be overlined, $\bar{p}(n)$ denotes the number of overpartitions of $n$, and we define $\bar{p}(0)=1$. For example, there are 8 overpartitions of 3 enumerated by $3, \overline{3}, 2+1, \overline{2}+1,2+\overline{1}, \overline{2}+\overline{1}, 1+1+1, \overline{1}+1+1$. A thorough study of the overpartition function started with the work of Corteel and Lovejoy [1], although it has been studied under different nomenclature that dates back to MacMahon. Similar to the Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher formula for the partition function (cf. [2,3]), Zuckerman's [4] formula for $\bar{p}(n)$ states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}(n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}}^{\infty} \sqrt{k} \sum_{\substack{h=0 \\(h, k)=1}}^{k-1} \frac{\omega(h, k)^{2}}{\omega(2 h, k)} e^{-\frac{2 \pi i n h}{k}} \frac{d}{d n}\left(\frac{\sinh \frac{\pi \sqrt{n}}{k}}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\omega(h, k)=\exp \left(\pi i \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{r}{k}\left(\frac{h r}{k}-\left\lfloor\frac{h r}{k}\right\rfloor-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
$$

for $(h, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Engel [5] determined an error term for $\bar{p}(n)$ and found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}(n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}}^{N} \sqrt{k} \sum_{\substack{h=0 \\(h, k)=1}}^{k-1} \frac{\omega(h, k)^{2}}{\omega(2 h, k)} e^{-\frac{2 \pi i n h}{k}} \frac{d}{d n}\left(\frac{\sinh \frac{\pi \sqrt{n}}{k}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)+R_{2}(n, N) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{2}(n, N)\right|<\frac{N^{5 / 2}}{\pi n^{3 / 2}} \sinh \left(\frac{\pi \sqrt{n}}{N}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

similar to the work done by Lehmer [6] in order to obtain an error bound for the partition function $p(n)$.

A positive sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be log-concave (resp. log-convex) if for all $n \geq 1$, $a_{n}^{2} \geq a_{n-1} a_{n+1}$ (resp. $a_{n}^{2} \leq a_{n-1} a_{n+1}$ ), and it is said to be strictly log-concave (resp. strictly log-convex) if the inequality is strict.

Using the notations above, Engel's result [5] actually states that $\{\bar{p}(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is log-concave. In fact, if one defines $\bar{p}(0):=1$, then $\{\bar{p}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is actually also log-concave. Engel proved that $\{\bar{p}(n)\}_{n \geq 4}$ is strictly log-concave by using the asymptotic formula (1.2) with $N=3$, and the error bound (1.3). Prior to Engel's work on overpartitions, the log-concavity of the partition function $p(n)$ and its associated inequalities has been studied in a wider spectrum, details can be found in [7-9]. On the other hand, Liu and Zhang [10] proved a family of inequalities for the overpartition function. Higher order log-concavity and log-convexity for the overpartition function has been studied in $[11,12]$ respectively.
Chen, Guo and Wang [13] introduced the notion of ratio log-convexity of a sequence and established that ratio log-convexity implies log-convexity under a certain initial condition. A sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq k}$ is called ratio log-convex if $\left\{a_{n+1} / a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq k}$ is log-convex or, equivalently, for $n \geq k+1$,

$$
\Delta^{3} \log a_{n-1}=\log a_{n+2}-3 \log a_{n+1}+3 \log a_{n}-\log a_{n-1} \geq 0
$$

where $\Delta$ be the difference operator defined by $\Delta f(n)=f(n+1)-f(n)$. Chen, Guo, and Wang relates the ratio log-convexity of a sequence, say $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq k}$, with strict log-convexity of the associated sequence $\left\{\sqrt[n]{a_{n}}\right\}_{n \geq k}$ stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 [13, Theorem 3.6] Let $k$ be a positive integer. If a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq k}$ is ratio log-convex and

$$
\frac{\sqrt[k+1]{a_{k+1}}}{\sqrt[k]{a_{k}}}<\frac{\sqrt[k+2]{a_{k+2}}}{\sqrt[k+1]{a_{k+1}}}
$$

then the sequence $\left\{\sqrt[n]{a_{n}}\right\}_{n \geq k}$ is strictly log-convex.
Similar to the work done by Chen et al. [8] for $p(n)$, Wang, Xie and Zhang [14] proved the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.2 [14, Theorem 3.1] For each $r \geq 1$, there exists a positive number $n(r)$ such that for all $n \geq n(r)$,

$$
(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)>0
$$

Theorem 1.3 [14, Theorem 4.1] For each $r \geq 1$, there exists a positive number $n(r)$ such that for all $n \geq n(r)$,

$$
(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}},
$$

where $(\alpha)_{r}:=\alpha \cdot(\alpha+1) \cdots(\alpha+r-1)$.

Remark 1.4 Following Theorem 1.3, we observe that log-concavity and ratio logconvexity for $\bar{p}(n)$ correspond to the cases $r=2$ and $r=3$ respectively.

Wang, Xie, and Zhang raised the following question:

Problem 1.5 [14, Problem 3.4] Does there exist a positive number A such that

$$
n^{r-1 / 2}(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)>A
$$

for any $r \geq 1$ and all sufficiently large $n$ ?

In other words, their problem reads "Moreover, we seek a sharp lower bound for $(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)$ ".
The main motivation of this paper is to give an affirmative answer to the Problem 1.5 in Theorems 1.6 and 1.8. This in turn clarifies the asymptotic growth of $(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)$, see Corollary 1.9. In Corollaries 1.10 and 1.11, we recover the log-concavity and its (shifted) companion inequality respectively.

Theorem 1.6 For $n \geq 26$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(1+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}\right)<\Delta \log \bar{p}(n)<\log \left(1+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{40 n}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.7 For $r \geq 2$, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{0}(m) & := \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } m=1, \\
2 m \log m-m \log \log m, & \text { if } m \geq 2,\end{cases}  \tag{1.5}\\
N_{1}(r) & :=\max \left\{85,\left[\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} N_{0}^{2}(2 r+2)\right]\right\},  \tag{1.6}\\
C(r) & :=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1},  \tag{1.7}\\
C_{1}(r) & :=(r-1)!+4 r^{2} C(r),  \tag{1.8}\\
C_{2}(r) & :=\sum_{k=0}^{2 r-2} \frac{1}{(k+1) \pi^{k+1}}\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{r^{k}}+\frac{r}{10^{r}},  \tag{1.9}\\
N_{2}(r) & :=\left[\left(\frac{1+C_{1}(r)}{C(r)}\right)^{2}\right\rceil,  \tag{1.10}\\
N_{3}(r) & :=\max \left\{N_{1}(r), 2 r^{2},\left\lceil\left(\frac{2^{r+1}\left(C_{2}(r)+1\right)}{(r-1)!}\right)^{2}\right\rceil,\left[\sqrt[r-1]{\left.\left.\left(\frac{2^{r} C(r)^{2}}{(r-1)!}\right)\right]\right\},}\right.\right.  \tag{1.11}\\
\text { and } N(r) & :=\max \left\{N_{2}(r), N_{3}(r)\right\} . \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1.8 For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $n \geq N(r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\log \left(1+\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-1 / 2}}-\frac{1+C_{1}(r)}{n^{r}}\right)<(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)<\log \left(1+\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-1 / 2}}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(r)$ and $C_{1}(r)$ are given in (1.7)-(1.8).
Corollary 1.9 For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{r-1 / 2}(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Multiplying both sides of (1.4) (resp. (1.13)) by $\sqrt{n}$ (resp. by $\eta^{r-1 / 2}$ ) and taking limits as $n$ tends to infinity, we obtain (1.14).

Corollary 1.10 [5, Theorem 1.2] For $n \geq 4, \bar{p}(n)^{2} \geq \bar{p}(n-1) \bar{p}(n+1)$.
Proof Observe that $N(2)=344$ and from the lower bound of (1.13), we observe that $\{\bar{p}(n)\}_{n \geq 344}$ is log-concave and for the remaining cases $5 \leq n \leq 343$, we confirm by numerical checking in Mathematica.

Consider the shifted version of Corollary 1.10, namely, for $n \geq 3, \bar{p}(n+1)^{2} \geq \bar{p}(n) \bar{p}(n+2)$. Analogous to [10, Equation (1.6)], we obtain the (shifted) companion inequality in the following form.

Corollary 1.11 For $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{p}(n)}{\bar{p}(n+1)}\left(1+\frac{\pi}{4 n^{3 / 2}}\right)>\frac{\bar{p}(n+1)}{\bar{p}(n+2)} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Using (1.13) with $r=2$ directly gives (1.15).
Corollary 1.12 For $n \geq 18, \Delta^{3} \log \bar{p}(n-1)>0$.
Proof Applying (1.13) with $r=3$, we observe that for $n \geq 1486=N(3), \Delta^{3} \log \bar{p}(n)>0$, which is equivalent to say that for $n \geq 1487, \Delta^{3} \log \bar{p}(n-1)>0$. For the remaining cases $18 \leq n \leq 1486$, we confirm the inequality $\Delta^{3} \log \bar{p}(n-1)>0$ by numerical checking in Mathematica.

Define $r(n):=\sqrt[n]{\bar{p}(n)}$.
Corollary 1.13 [11, Corollary 1.3] For $n \geq 4, r(n)^{2}<r(n-1) r(n+1)$.
Proof From Corollary 1.12, we have $\{\bar{p}(n)\}_{n \geq 18}$ is ratio log-convex. Now applying Theorem 1.1 with $k=18$, and checking numerically

$$
\frac{\sqrt[19]{\bar{p}(19)}}{\sqrt[18]{\bar{p}(18)}}<\frac{\sqrt[20]{\bar{p}(20)}}{\sqrt[19]{\bar{p}}(19)}
$$

we conclude that $\{r(n)\}_{n \geq 18}$ is strictly log-convex; i.e., for all $n \geq 18, r(n)^{2}<r(n-$ 1) $r(n+1)$, and for the remaining cases $4 \leq n \leq 17$, we confirm by numerical checking in Mathematica.

This paper is organized as follows. A preliminary setup for decomposing $(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)$ $=H_{r}+G_{r}$ (cf. see (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6)), as done in [14], and estimations for both $H_{r}$ and $G_{r}$ are given in Sect. 2. Proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.8 are given in Sect. 3.

## 2 preliminary lemmas

Following the notations given in Engel [5] and Wang et al. [14], split $\bar{p}(n)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}(n)=\widehat{T}(n)\left(1+\frac{\widehat{R}(n)}{\widehat{T}(n)}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{T}(n) & =\frac{1}{8 n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(n)}\right) e^{\widehat{\mu}(n)}  \tag{2.2}\\
\operatorname{and} \widehat{R}(n) & =\frac{1}{8 n}\left(1+\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(n)}\right) e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n)}+R_{2}(n, 3) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\widehat{\mu}(n)=\pi \sqrt{n}$.
Remark 2.1 The splitting for $\bar{p}(n)$ used here is actually slightly different from what is found in [5,14].

Taking the logarithm on both sides of (2.1) and plugging the definitions from (2.2)-(2.3), we obtain

$$
\log \bar{p}(n)=\log \frac{\pi^{2}}{8}-3 \log \widehat{\mu}(n)+\log (\widehat{\mu}(n)-1)+\widehat{\mu}(n)+\log \left(1+\frac{\widehat{R}(n)}{\widehat{T}(n)}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)=H_{r}+G_{r} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{r} & =(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r}(-3 \log \widehat{\mu}(n)+\log (\widehat{\mu}(n)-1)+\widehat{\mu}(n))  \tag{2.5}\\
G_{r} & =(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \left(1+\frac{\widehat{R}(n)}{\widehat{T}(n)}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have that for $r \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}-\left|G_{r}\right| \leq(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n) \leq H_{r}+\left|G_{r}\right| . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the bounds for $(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)$, we need to establish bounds for $H_{r}$ and $\left|G_{r}\right|$. Our first goal is to determine a bound for $\left|G_{r}\right|$ for $r \geq 1$ and then we further proceed with $H_{r}$ but splitting into cases, namely, for $r=1$ and $r \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.2 [12, Lemma 2.1] For any integer $m \geq 1$ and $x \geq N_{0}(m)$,

$$
x^{m} e^{-x}<1,
$$

where $N_{0}(m)$ is defined in (1.5).

$$
\text { Recall that } N_{1}(r)=\max \left\{85,\left\lceil\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} N_{0}^{2}(2 r+2)\right\rceil\right\}(\text { cf. (1.6)). }
$$

Lemma 2.3 For all $n \geq N_{1}(r)$ and $r \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{r}\right|<\frac{1}{n^{r+1}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Define $\widehat{e}(n):=\frac{\widehat{R}(n)}{T(n)}$. From the definition of $\widehat{R}(n)$ and $\widehat{T}(n)$ (cf. Equations (2.2)-(2.3)), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&|\widehat{e}(n)|=\frac{|\widehat{R}(n)|}{|\widehat{T}(n)|} \\
&=\left|\frac{\frac{1}{8 n}\left(1+\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(n)}\right) e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n)}+R_{2}(n, 3)}{\frac{1}{8 n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(n)}\right) e^{\widehat{\mu}(n)}}\right| \\
&<\frac{\widehat{\mu}(n)+1}{\widehat{\mu}(n)-1} e^{-2 \widehat{\mu}(n)}+\frac{36 \sqrt{3}}{\widehat{\mu}(n)-1} e^{-2 \widehat{\mu}(n) / 3} \\
& \quad \quad\left(\operatorname{using} N=3 \text { in }\left(1.3 \text { and } \sinh (x)<\frac{e^{x}}{2} \text { for } x>0\right)\right. \\
&=\frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(n)-1} e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 2}\left((\widehat{\mu}(n)+1) e^{-3 \widehat{\mu}(n) / 2}+36 \sqrt{3} e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 6}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for all $n \geq 85$,

$$
(\widehat{\mu}(n)+1) e^{-3 \widehat{\mu}(n) / 2}+36 \sqrt{3} e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 6}<\frac{1}{2} \text { and } \frac{1}{\widehat{\mu}(n)-1}<1,
$$

from (2.9), it follows that for all $n \geq 85$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widehat{e}(n)|<\frac{1}{2} e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for all $n \geq 85$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|G_{r}\right| & =\left|(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log (1+\widehat{e}(n))\right|(\text { by }(2.6) \\
& =\left|\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{r-i}\binom{r}{i} \log (1+\widehat{e}(n+i))\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r}\binom{r}{i}|\log (1+\widehat{e}(n+i))| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r}\binom{r}{i} \frac{|\widehat{e}(n+i)|}{1-|\widehat{e}(n+i)|}\left(\text { since }|\log (1+x)| \leq \frac{|x|}{1-|x|} \text { for }|x|<1\right) \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{r}\binom{r}{i}|\widehat{e}(n+i)|\left(\text { as } \frac{x}{1-x} \leq 2 x \text { for } 0<x \leq \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& <\sum_{i=0}^{r}\binom{r}{i} e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n+i) / 2}(\text { by }(2.10) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r}\binom{r}{i} e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 2}\left(\text { since }\left\{e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 2}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \text { is a decreasing sequence }\right) \\
& =2^{r} e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Now applying Lemma 2.2 with $m=2 r+2$ and assigning $x \mapsto \frac{\widehat{\mu}(n)}{2}$, it follows that for $n \geq\left\lceil\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} N_{0}^{2}(2 r+2)\right\rceil$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 2}<\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2 r+2} \frac{1}{n^{r+1}} \Longrightarrow 2^{r} e^{-\widehat{\mu}(n) / 2}<\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}\right)^{2 r+2} \frac{1}{n^{r+1}}<\frac{1}{n^{r+1}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before we state the bounds for $H_{r}$, we recall the following result due to Odlyzko [15] on the relation between the higher order differences of a smooth function and its derivatives. The following proposition can be proved using elementary techniques such as the mean value theorem.

Proposition 2.4 Let $r$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $f(t)$ is a function with continuous derivatives for $t \geq 1$, and $(-1)^{k-1} f^{(k)}(t)>0$ for $k \geq 1$. Then for $r \geq 1, x \geq 1$,

$$
(-1)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(x+r) \leq(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} f(x) \leq(-1)^{r-1} f^{(r)}(x)
$$

Lemma 2.5 For all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{(1)}(n) \leq H_{1} \leq U^{(1)}(n) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
U^{(1)}(n) & =\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}-\frac{3}{2(n+1)}+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}(\widehat{\mu}(n)-1)}  \tag{2.14}\\
\text { and } L^{(1)}(n) & =\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n+1}}-\frac{3}{2 n}+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n+1}(\widehat{\mu}(n+1)-1)} . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof Equation (2.13) follows immediately by applying Proposition 2.4 on each of the factors in $H_{r}$ being presented in (2.5) for $r=1$.

Lemma 2.6 For $r \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2 r^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{C_{1}(r)}{n^{r}}<H_{r}<\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r} n^{r}}+\frac{C_{2}(r)}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(r), C_{1}(r)$, and $C_{2}(r)$ are given by (1.7)-(1.9).
Proof Rewrite (2.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}=(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r}(\widehat{\mu}(n)-2 \log \widehat{\mu}(n))-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r}\left(\frac{1}{k \widehat{\mu}(n)^{k}}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applying Proposition 2.4, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{(n+r)^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{n^{r}} \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{(n+r)^{r+\frac{k}{2}}} \leq H_{r}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{(n+r)^{r}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{n^{r+\frac{k}{2}}}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for all positive integers $n, r$ and $k$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{(n+r)^{r+\frac{k}{2}}}>0
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{(n+r)^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{n^{r}}<H_{r} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{(n+r)^{r}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{n^{r+\frac{k}{2}}} . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we further investigate the lower bound of $H_{r}$, given in (2.19).

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{r} & \geq \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{(n+r)^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{n^{r}} \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}\left(1+\frac{r}{n}\right)^{-r+\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{n^{r}} \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\binom{-\frac{2 r-1}{2}}{m}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{m}-\frac{(r-1)!}{n^{r}} . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

To bound the infinite series in (2.20), we proceed as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\binom{-\frac{2 r-1}{2}}{m}\binom{r}{n}^{m}\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{4^{m}} \frac{\binom{2 r+2 m-2}{r+m-1}\binom{r+m-1}{r-1}}{\left(_{2 r-2}^{r-1}\right)}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{m}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{m}} \frac{\binom{2 r+2 m-2}{r+m-1}\binom{r+m-1}{r-1}}{\binom{2 r-2}{r-1}}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{m} \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \sqrt{r-1}}{\sqrt{\pi(r+m-1)}}\binom{r+m-1}{r-1}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{m} \\
& \left(\operatorname{since} \frac{4^{k}}{2 \sqrt{k}} \leq\binom{ 2 k}{k} \leq \frac{4^{k}}{\sqrt{\pi k}} \forall k \geq 1\right) \\
& \quad<\frac{2 r}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{r+m}{r-1}\binom{r}{n}^{m} \\
& \leq \frac{2 r}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r^{m+1}\binom{r}{n}^{m}\left(\text { as }\binom{r+m}{r-1} \leq r^{m+1} \forall r \geq 1\right) \\
& =\frac{2 r^{2}}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{n}\right)^{m} \leq \frac{4 r^{2}}{n} \text { for all } n \geq 2 r^{2} . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.20) and (2.21), it follows that for $n \geq 2 r^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{r} & \geq \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{4 r^{2}}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{n^{r}} \\
& >\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1} \frac{1}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\left((r-1)!+2 \pi r^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{r-1}\right) \frac{1}{n^{r}} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

This finishes the estimation of the lower bound for $H_{r}$.
For the upper bound estimation of $H_{r}$, we start with (2.19) in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{r} & \leq \frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{(n+r)^{r}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{n^{r+\frac{k}{2}}} \\
& <\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{(2 n)^{r}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{n^{r+\frac{k}{2}}}\left(\text { since, } \frac{1}{(n+r)^{r}}>\frac{1}{(2 n)^{r}} \forall n>r\right) \\
& =\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{(2 n)^{r}}+\frac{1}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{2 r-2} \frac{1}{(k+1) \pi^{k+1}}\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)_{r \sqrt{n}^{-k}} \frac{1}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k=2 r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}^{k-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{(2 n)^{r}}+\frac{1}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}} \underbrace{2 r-2}_{:=\widehat{C_{2}}(r)} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}} \frac{k+1}{(k+1) \pi^{k+1}}\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{r^{k}}
\end{align*}+\frac{r}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}} \underbrace{\sum_{k=2 r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r} \frac{1}{r^{k}}}_{:=S(r)} .
$$

In order to estimate the infinite series $S(r)$, we need to give an upper bound of $\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r}$ by rewriting as

$$
\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)_{r}=\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{r} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}\left(1+\frac{2 i}{k}\right):=\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{r} P(r, k) .
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log P(r, k)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \log \left(1+\frac{2 i}{k}\right)<\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{2 i}{k}=\frac{r(r-1)}{k} \Longrightarrow P(r, k)<e^{\frac{r(r-1)}{k}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.24), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(r)<\sum_{k=2 r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \pi^{k}}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{r} e^{\frac{r(r-1)}{k}} \frac{1}{r^{k}} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{e^{\frac{r-1}{2}}}{2^{r}} \sum_{k=2 r}^{\infty} \frac{k^{r-1}}{(\pi r)^{k}}\left(\text { since } e^{\frac{r(r-1)}{k}} \leq e^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \forall k \geq 2 r\right) . \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $k^{r-1}<r^{k}$ for all $r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2 r$. To observe this fact, note that $k^{r-1}<r^{k}$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r-1}{\log r}<\frac{k}{\log k} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $f(x):=\frac{x}{\log x}$ and observe that $f(x)$ is strictly increasing for all $x>e$. As $k \geq 2 r \geq$ $4>e$, it follows that $f(k)>f(2 r)$ and the fact that $f(2 r)>\frac{r-1}{\log r}$ for $r \geq 2$, we conclude (2.26).

Applying (2.26) in (2.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r)<\frac{e^{\frac{r-1}{2}}}{2^{r}} \sum_{k=2 r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi^{k}}=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{e}(\pi-1)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{e}}{2 \pi^{2}}\right)^{r}<\frac{1}{10^{r}} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (2.27) and (2.23), we obtain for all $n \geq r^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{r} & <\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r} n^{r}}+\frac{\widehat{C_{2}}(r)}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{r}{10^{r} n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r} n^{r}}+\underbrace{\left(\widehat{C_{2}}(r)+\frac{r}{10^{r}}\right)}_{=C_{2}(r)} \frac{1}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}} . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.6 and 1.8

Proof of Theorem 1.6 Applying (2.13) and (2.8) in (2.7), we have for $n \geq 85=N_{1}(1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{(1)}(n)-\frac{1}{n^{2}}<\Delta \log \bar{p}(n)<U^{(1)}(n)+\frac{1}{n^{2}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to show that for $n \geq 457$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{3}{2(n+1)}+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}(\widehat{\mu}(n)-1)}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}<-\frac{\pi^{2}}{10 n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{(1)}(n)+\frac{1}{n^{2}}<\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{10 n} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $c_{n}:=\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{10 n}$ and $d_{n}:=\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{40 n}$. It can be easily checked that for $n \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}<d_{n}-\frac{d_{n}^{2}}{2}+\frac{d_{n}^{3}}{3}-\frac{d_{n}^{4}}{4}<\log \left(1+d_{n}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\log (1+x)>x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3}-\frac{x^{4}}{4}$ for $x>0$. Invoking (3.3) and (3.4) in (3.1), we get for $n \geq 457$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \log \bar{p}(n)<\log \left(1+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{40 n}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as before, it can be readily shown that for $n \geq 79$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{(1)}(n)-\frac{1}{n^{2}}>\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{8 n}+\frac{\pi^{3}}{24 n^{3 / 2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{8 n}+\frac{\pi^{3}}{24 n^{3 / 2}}>\log \left(1+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\log (1+x)<x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3}$ for $x>0$. Applying (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.1), it follows that for $n \geq 85$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \log \bar{p}(n)>\log \left(1+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{n}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.5) and (3.8) conclude the proof of Theorem 1.6 except for $26 \leq n \leq 456$, which we confirm by numerical checking in Mathematica.

Proof of Theorem 1.8 Applying (2.8) and (2.16) to the lower bound of (2.7), it follows that for $n \geq \max \left\{N_{1}(r), 2 r^{2}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)>\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{C_{1}(r)}{n^{r}}-\frac{1}{n^{r+1}}>\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{1+C_{1}(r)}{n^{r}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall from (1.10) that $N_{2}(r)=\left\lceil\left(\frac{1+C_{1}(r)}{C(r)}\right)^{2}\right\rceil$. Then for all $n \geq \max \left\{N_{1}(r), 2 r^{2}, N_{2}(r)\right\}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)>\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{1+C_{1}(r)}{n^{r}}>\log \left(1+\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{1+C_{1}(r)}{n^{r}}\right)>0 .( \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the upper bound estimation, putting (2.8) and (2.16) together into the upper bound of (2.7), it follows that for $n \geq \max \left\{N_{1}(r), 2 r^{2}\right\}$,

$$
(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)<\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r} n^{r}}+\frac{C_{2}(r)}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{1}{n^{r+1}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r} n^{r}}+\frac{C_{2}(r)+1}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, our goal is to show for $n \geq N_{3}(r)$,

$$
-\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r} n^{r}}+\frac{C_{2}(r)+1}{n^{r+\frac{1}{2}}}<-\frac{C(r)^{2}}{2 n^{2 r-1}},
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C(r)^{2}}{2}<n^{r-1}\left[\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r}}-\frac{C_{2}(r)+1}{\sqrt{n}}\right] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for all $n \geq\left\lceil\left(\frac{2^{r+1}\left(C_{2}(r)+1\right)}{(r-1)!}\right)^{2}\right\rceil, \frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r+1}}-\frac{C_{2}(r)+1}{\sqrt{n}}>0$ and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& n^{r-1}\left[\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r}}-\frac{C_{2}(r)+1}{\sqrt{n}}\right]=n^{r-1}\left[\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r+1}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r+1}}-\frac{C_{2}(r)+1}{\sqrt{n}}\right]>n^{r-1} \frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r+1}} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, to prove (3.12), it is sufficient to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{r-1} \frac{(r-1)!}{2^{r+1}}>\frac{C(r)^{2}}{2} \text { which holds for all } n \geq\left\lceil\sqrt[r-1]{\left(\frac{2^{r} C(r)^{2}}{(r-1)!}\right)}\right\rceil \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{3}(r)=\max \left\{N_{1}(r), 2 r^{2},\left[\left(\frac{2^{r+1}\left(C_{2}(r)+1\right)}{(r-1)!}\right)^{2}\right\rceil,\left\lceil\sqrt[r-1]{\left(\frac{2^{r} C(r)^{2}}{(r-1)!}\right)}\right\rceil\right\} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.11) and (3.12), it follows that for $n \geq N_{3}(r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{r-1} \Delta^{r} \log \bar{p}(n)<\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{C(r)^{2}}{2 n^{2 r-1}}<\log \left(1+\frac{C(r)}{n^{r-1 / 2}}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.10) and (3.15) together imply that for $n \geq \max \left\{N_{2}(r), N_{3}(r)\right\}=N(r),(1.13)$ holds.
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